BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

November 5, 2020
Via WebEx Videoconference

Thursday, November 5, 2020

8:45 a.m.  

Board of Regents
Via WebEx Videoconference

Closed Session
Via WebEx Videoconference

Webex videoconference registration information and meeting materials can be found during the week of the meeting at wisconsin.edu/regents/meetingmaterials or may be obtained from Jess Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, 1860 Van Hise Hall, Madison, WI 53706, (608) 262-2324. Persons with disabilities requesting accommodations are asked to contact Jess Lathrop in advance of the meeting.
1. Calling of the Roll

2. Declaration of Conflicts

3. Updates and Introductions

4. Approval of the records of the October 8, 2020 Meeting of the UW System Board of Regents and the October 20, 2020 Special Meeting of the UW System Board of Regents

5. Report of the Board President
   A. Update on the UW-Stevens Point and UW-River Falls chancellor search processes
   B. Comment on the November 3rd election
   C. Regents Opportunity Scholarship

6. Report of the System President
   A. Update on COVID-19 response
   B. Fall enrollment
   C. Chief Diversity Officer search process
   D. Alliant Energy Awards

7. Stop the COVID Spread! Coalition

8. UW-Milwaukee: Request for authority to sell a parcel of improved land

9. UW-Madison: Request to approve a contract with Gold Country, Inc.

10. UW-Madison: Request to approve a contract with Shield T3, LLC

11. Odyssey Project: Empowering Families to Overcome Adversity and Achieve Dreams through Higher Education

13. Resolution of appreciation for Regent Emeritus Torrey Tiedeman’s service on the UW System Board of Regents

14. Resolution of appreciation for Regent Emeritus Janice Mueller’s service on the UW System Board of Regents

15. Regent communications, petitions, and memorials

16. Closed Session – Move into closed session to consider a UW-Madison honorary degree nomination, as permitted by s. 19.85(1)(f), Wis. Stats.

17. Adjourn
STOP THE COVID SPREAD! COALITION: UW SYSTEM UNIVERSITIES WORKING WITH WISCONSIN’S BUSINESSES AND COMMUNITIES TO ADDRESS THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

REQUESTED ACTION

Information only.

SUMMARY

The University of Wisconsin System has joined with 30 businesses, health care, and advocacy groups from around the state in the “Stop the COVID Spread” Coalition, to work together to raise public awareness about the seriousness of the pandemic and the critical need for preventative measures.

The Coalition recently released a 30-second video, featuring UW System President Tommy Thompson, that is running as a public service announcement on broadcast channels statewide, as well as part of a paid digital campaign.

Leaders from three UW System universities and three prominent health or business organizations will offer their perspectives on side-by-side efforts to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 and address the health emergency.

President Thompson, former Wisconsin Governor and United States Secretary of Health and Human Services, will also address more broadly the UW System’s vital partnership with Wisconsin’s businesses and communities to collaboratively fight the pandemic.

Presenters

- Michael Alexander, Chancellor, UW-Green Bay
- Rebecca Blank, Chancellor, UW-Madison
- James Schmidt, Chancellor, UW-Eau Claire
- Eric Borgerding, President and CEO, Wisconsin Hospital Association
- Kristine Hillmer, President and CEO, Wisconsin Restaurant Association
- Nancy Wenzel, CEO, Wisconsin Association of Health Plans
AUTHORITY TO SELL A PARCEL OF IMPROVED LAND,  
UW-MILWAUKEE

REQUESTED ACTION

Adoption of Resolution 8., granting authority to sell a parcel of improved land.

Resolution 8. That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of UW-Milwaukee and the President of the UW System, the UW System Board of Regents grants authority to sell a 3.52-acre parcel of land with improvements located at 3230 East Kenwood Boulevard, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

SUMMARY

The Board of Regents owns a parcel of land improved with an 18,096 square foot single family house. This historic house, constructed in 1923, is used for administrative offices as well as sporadic meeting space. While the house is large and conducive to hosting small conferences and entertaining, it is located approximately ten minutes from UW-Milwaukee’s main campus and the interior is not ADA accessible. Given that UW-Milwaukee does not anticipate having funds available to renovate the facility in the foreseeable future, as well as the fact that there are other accessible conference center options located on campus, UW-Milwaukee has determined the costs of maintaining and improving the property significantly outweigh any benefits it currently provides.

Presenter

• Alex Roe, Senior Associate Vice President for Capital Planning and Budget

BACKGROUND

The historic three-story, 18,096 net square foot Tudor Revival style mansion was designed by architect Fitzhugh Scott. In 1949, the Board of Regents of Normal Schools approved the donation of the house by Gertrude MacLaren as well as spending $120,000 of funds supplied from the state Post-War Construction and Building Fund towards improvements and furnishings. Initially, the property was used as a women’s dormitory for the Milwaukee
Teachers College. In 1964, it was converted into a conference center and administrative offices. The house is located on 3.90 acres on the shoreline of Lake Michigan with approximately 175 feet of lake frontage. The interior architecture reflects the period with vaulted ceilings, leaded glass windows, stone gargoyles, and a sprawling partitioned floor plan. A Request for Proposal to either lease or purchase the property was issued in July 2020. A number of proposals for both options were received and negotiations are underway with the top proposer(s).

In preparation for a sale, one appraisal was completed. Several offers exceeded the market value determined in the first appraisal. As per policy, a second appraisal is underway. In addition, two easements must be recorded prior to the sale. The first is a permanent easement for twin 30”-wide freshwater intake pipes that travel along the north side of the site and provide fresh water to the campus. The second easement is pursuant to s. 700.4, Wis. Stats. - Uniform Conservation Easement Act and s. 44.41 (3), Wis. Stat.s – Protection of Listed Property; if a state agency transfers or sells any property listed on the state register of historical properties, it shall reserve a conservation easement to be transferred to and held by the state historical society, which secures its right to assure the property is appropriately preserved and maintained.

Related Policies

- Regent Policy Document 13-2, “Real Property Contracts: Signature Authority and Approval”

ATTACHMENTS

A) UW-Milwaukee: Proposed Land Sale Map
Sources: UW System Administration, State of Wisconsin, Wisconsin State Cartographers Office, US Census Bureau

This map is for reference purposes only.
UW-MADISON CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT WITH GOLD COUNTRY, INC.

REQUESTED ACTION

Adoption of Resolution 9, approving the amendment to the contractual agreement between the Board of Regents and Gold Country, Inc.

Resolution 9. That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the amendment to the contractual agreement between the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, doing business as UW-Madison, and Gold Country, Inc.

SUMMARY

UW-Madison is seeking approval by the Board of Regents to enter into an amendment to the existing agreement with Gold Country, Inc. (“Gold Country”) to operate retail locations in various University Athletics facilities for the Division of Intercollegiate Athletics (“Athletics”).

After receiving Board of Regents approval, the Parties entered into a Merchandising Rights and Facilities Rental Agreement with an effective date of July 1, 2016 (“Agreement”), under which Gold Country obtained the rights to sell merchandise in various University Athletics facilities in exchange for certain financial considerations.

Since 2016, Gold Country has been a valuable partner to Athletics and has provided Athletics over $1.2 million of merchandise sales revenue. However, the COVID-19 pandemic and related restrictions have prevented the Parties from operating under the Agreement as contemplated. Gold Country has not yet been allowed to open the retail locations, and there is appropriately little foot-traffic in the facilities. Accordingly, the yearly guarantees contained in the Agreement are unreasonable given the circumstances. This proposed amendment will allow the parties to determine whether it is possible to responsibly and profitably sell merchandise in University Athletics facilities during what the amendment refers to as the “Pandemic Period”.
The Parties agree that temporary modification of the financial considerations and operation expectations under the Agreement are appropriate as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The proposed amendment reduces the $300,000 guarantee to $0, and keeps the $25,000 contribution in product value and the commission rate at 20%.

**Presenter**

- Laurent Heller, Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administrative Affairs, UW-Madison

**BACKGROUND**

Regent Policy Document 13-1 requires any grant or contract with private, profit-making organizations in excess of $1,000,000 be presented to the Board of Regents for formal approval prior to execution.

**Related Policies**

- Regent Policy Document 13-1, “General Contract Signature Authority, Approval, and Reporting”
UW-MADISON CLINICAL LABORATORY SERVICES AND ECOSYSTEM AGREEMENT WITH SHIELD T3, LLC

REQUESTED ACTION

Adoption of Resolution 10., approving the Clinical Laboratory Services and Ecosystem Agreement between the Board of Regents and Shield T3, LLC.

Resolution 10. That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the Clinical Laboratory Services and Ecosystem Agreement between the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, doing business as UW-Madison, and Shield T3 for large-scale, rapid COVID-19 testing of UW-Madison students and staff.

SUMMARY

UW-Madison has implemented a robust testing regime to identify students, faculty and staff that have the coronavirus. Currently, students and employees living and working in UW-Madison residence halls are tested weekly, and free testing is available at several locations on the campus for other students, faculty and staff. In addition, surveillance testing of cohorts of students living off campus, as well as faculty and staff from various parts of campus, is being conducted.

While this testing regime and the public health protocols in place on campus have helped keep the positivity rate among students and employees to around 1% since the end of September, having the ability to test more frequently will help ensure that positive cases are identified even earlier, further limiting the chance for the virus to spread.

Accordingly, UW-Madison is looking to partner with Shield T3 to provide large-scale, rapid COVID-19 testing on campus, including the ability to test all students who will be on campus in the spring semester twice per week. Shield T3 is a limited liability company recently formed by the University of Illinois System to expand the reach of the saliva-based test pioneered by researchers at the University of Illinois. Under the Agreement, such testing and related services will be available on the UW-Madison campus by the beginning of the spring semester.
Under this agreement, Shield T3 will locate a mobile testing lab to deliver high-throughput PCR-RT testing for COVID-19 on the UW-Madison campus. Staffed by Shield T3 employees, this highly automated mobile lab will provide up to 10,000 tests per day.

Under the agreement, UW-Madison will also receive access to a mobile app that can be used to make rapid notifications of test results and perform anonymized close contact notifications to users who may have been in close contact with others who have tested positive, among other functions.

The agreement provides this testing at a price of $25 per test, with the possibility of lower prices if conditions allow for use of pooled testing, or if materials costs can be reduced over the course of the partnership. This price compares very favorably to the going market rate for PCR tests of $100 per test. UW-Madison will be charged for actual tests performed, which can be scaled up or down as needed, but does guarantee a minimum quantity of 15,000 tests per week after implementation and running through the spring semester for a minimum total expenditure of $6,375,000.

Taken in total, this partnership will provide significant new tools and capacity to strengthen UW-Madison’s public health protocols and posture in the face of the global pandemic. The campus plans to significantly expand testing for students, staff, and faculty as needed as these capabilities come online in early 2021.

UW-Madison and Shield T3 have previously entered into a “Preliminary Clinical Laboratory Services and Ecosystem Agreement” which contemplates a limited amount of testing, allowing both parties to begin work on the project. Upon approval by the Board of Regents, the requested Agreement will replace the Preliminary Agreement, and govern the parties’ relationship moving forward.

**Presenter:**

- Laurent Heller, Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, UW-Madison

**BACKGROUND**

Regent Policy Document 13-1 requires any grant or contract with private, profit-making organizations in excess of $1,000,000 be presented to the Board of Regents for formal approval prior to execution.

**Related Policies**

- Regent Policy Document 13-1, “General Contract Signature Authority, Approval, and Reporting”
ODYSSEY PROJECT: EMPOWERING FAMILIES TO OVERCOME ADVERSITY AND ACHIEVE DREAMS THROUGH HIGHER EDUCATION

REQUESTED ACTION

No action is required; this item is for information only.

SUMMARY

The Odyssey Project is a nationally-recognized, multidisciplinary program that takes a whole-family approach to breaking the cycle of generational poverty through access to education. The Odyssey Project consists of four core programs:

- The **Odyssey Course** provides a free, interdisciplinary humanities class for 30 adult learners each year that helps them rediscover the joy of learning and gain new pride in themselves while earning six UW-Madison credits in a supportive community.

- **Odyssey Junior** offers an educational enrichment program to help youth discover their interests and gain confidence in self-expression; it focuses on children whose parents and grandparents are participating in the Odyssey Course.

- **Onward Odyssey** supports graduates of the Odyssey Course as they continue toward degrees by offering additional college classes, academic and financial advising, and wrap-around services empowering them to overcome obstacles on their journey towards greater economic stability.

- **Odyssey Beyond Bars** offers both for-credit and enrichment classes to incarcerated learners, extending Odyssey's life-changing humanities programming into the state's prison system. In the Fall of 2019, Odyssey Beyond Bars taught a semester-long English composition course at Oakhill Correctional Institution, the first UW-Madison for-credit class taught in a Wisconsin prison in over 100 years.
Presenters will share information about how the Odyssey Project uses education to inspire and motivate people to better themselves, their families, and their communities.

**Presenters**

- Emily Auerbach, Ph.D., Professor of English; Co-Director/Founder, UW Odyssey Project
- Corey Saffold, Regent and UW-Whitewater student; Graduate of Odyssey 2006 and father/grandfather of graduates of Odyssey and Odyssey Junior 2020
- Keena Atkinson, Graduate of Odyssey 2010, graduate of UW 2015; business owner
- Peter Moreno, J.D., Faculty Associate; Director, Odyssey Beyond Bars
- Kevin Mullen, Ph.D., Assistant Professor of Continuing Studies; Co-Director, UW Odyssey Project

**BACKGROUND**

The UW Odyssey Project, founded in 2003, has empowered over 500 low-income students to begin a journey out of poverty. Graduates of the program report life-changing effects for themselves and their children. They read more, are more likely to vote, and see improved school performance in their children. Students also report significant increases in self-confidence and goal setting. Three-fourths of Odyssey graduates go on to take additional college classes, and dozens now have certificates and degrees, including master's degrees. On average, students' incomes have risen $18,000 within seven years of graduation. Odyssey graduates have formed businesses and foundations, directed plays, launched bilingual radio shows, published writings, and run for state office.

Over 90% of Odyssey students are people of color, most are single parents, and many have faced obstacles such as eviction, incarceration, teen pregnancy, or domestic abuse. Odyssey addresses economic and racial disparities shown to be the worst in the country.\(^1\) Black Wisconsinites are unemployed at nearly three times the rate of white residents and are approximately 11 times more likely to be incarcerated.\(^2\) The poverty rate for Wisconsin’s Black residents is over three times the white poverty rate.

The UW Odyssey Project addresses these systemic inequities by providing free access to higher education and wrap-around support to adult learners who historically have been

---

1. 24/7 Wall St., “Black and White Inequality in All 50 States,” August 18, 2017
2. Ibid.
excluded from college and made to feel they do not belong. As one single Black father said, “The Odyssey Project helped me unwrap my gifts and rewrite the story of my life.”

ATTACHMENTS

A) Supplemental materials regarding the Odyssey Project

---

Item 12.

APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RULE ORDERS, ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS AND FISCAL ESTIMATE, NOTICES OF SUBMITTAL TO THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING FOR CHAPTERS UWS 4, 7, 11, AND 17

REQUESTED ACTION

Adoption of Resolution 12, approving the Administrative Code Rule Orders, Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate, Notices of Submittal to the Legislative Council, and Notice of Public Hearing for Chs. UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17, Wis. Admin. Code, “Procedures for Dismissal,” “Dismissal of Faculty in Special Cases,” “Dismissal of Academic Staff for Cause,” and “Student Nonacademic Disciplinary Procedures.”

Resolution 12. That, upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the Administrative Code Rule Order, Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate, Notice of Submittal to the Legislative Council, and Notice of Public Hearing for Chs. UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17, Wis. Admin. Code, “Procedures for Dismissal,” “Dismissal of Faculty in Special Cases,” “Dismissal of Academic Staff for Cause,” and “Student Nonacademic Disciplinary Procedures.”

SUMMARY

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 requires that all educational institutions that receive federal funds prohibit sex discrimination in their education programs and activities (34 C.F.R. Part 106). The U.S. Department of Education (ED) has engaged in rulemaking to further examine and clarify schools’ responsibilities in responding to reports of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking involving faculty, staff, and students. On May 5, 2020, the Department released a final rule with which all recipient educational institutions were required to comply by August 14, 2020.

The University of Wisconsin System (UW System) has already implemented emergency rules to modify Chapters UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code to comply with the substantive and procedural requirements provided in the new federal
The UW System is now seeking permanent rule changes to comply with these same requirements after the emergency rules expire.

The proposed permanent rules do not substantively differ from the emergency rules currently in effect. Additional changes in the proposed permanent rules (Attachment A) include minor adjustments to language, such as changing “formal complaint” to “formal Title IX complaint”; the reorganization or creation of new subchapters to distinguish between Title IX and non-Title IX misconduct; and revisions to UWS 17.17, “Sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure,” to clarify that the university may also choose to address allegations of sexual misconduct with non-disciplinary measures outside the UWS 17 disciplinary process.

The rule orders, which contain the proposed rule language and a plain language analysis of each rule, were submitted to the Wisconsin Legislative Council Clearinghouse on October 27, 2020, pursuant to s. 227.14(1), Wis. Stats. (Attachment B)

The Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate (Attachment C) was made available for public comment on the UW System’s website for 14 days from October 12, 2020 through October 26, 2020. No comments on the economic impact analysis or fiscal estimate were received. It is anticipated that the UW System will incur extra costs to comply with federal Title IX requirements through staffing additional hearing officers and advisors to represent parties during cross examination, as well as providing additional resources and training for staff. The potential consequence of noncompliance is revocation of federal funding, which totaled nearly $1 billion for the UW System in 2019.

A public hearing on the proposed rules is required and will be held on November 30, 2020, pending Board approval of the public hearing notice (Attachment D). The hearing will be preceded by a public comment period with options to submit comments by mail, e-mail, or online.

**Presenter**

- Sarah Harebo, UW System Title IX and Clery Administrator

**BACKGROUND**

The UW System seeks to modify the University of Wisconsin Board of Regents (Board) administrative rules, Ch. UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17. All UW System institutions would be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

The Board has statutory authority for Chapters UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17 under Wis. Stat. 36.09(1)(a), Wis. Stat. 36.15(3), and Wis. Stat. 36.35(1).
Outline of Major Substantive Changes Included in the Current Emergency Rules and Retained in the Proposed Permanent Rules

Title IX Sexual Misconduct and Non-Title IX Sexual Misconduct

The new federal regulations narrow the scope of conduct to which Title IX applies but specify that schools are not prohibited from addressing a broader scope of conduct under institution conduct codes. The UW System is committed to continue to address all forms of sexual misconduct, regardless of whether they fall within the scope of federal Title IX. Under the proposed changes, allegations of sexual misconduct that do not fall within the scope of Title IX will continue to be addressed using student and employee conduct codes.

Definitions

The new federal regulations require the adoption of the definitions for sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking from the federal Clery and Violence Against Women Acts. Previous UWS code definitions mirrored the Wisconsin criminal statutes.

The definition of sexual harassment for Title IX purposes is stated to include quid pro quo sexual harassment and “unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is so severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an university sponsored or supported activity.” Prior to these changes, the standard mirrored Title VII in requiring that conduct be “severe or pervasive (and objectionably offensive).” Conduct that meets the Title VII standard but does not meet the new Title IX standard will continue to be addressed under UW System conduct codes.

The new federal regulations outline the instances of sexual misconduct to which schools are required to respond. A school must respond when: (1) the school has actual knowledge of sexual harassment; (2) that occurred within the school’s education program or activity; (3) against a person in the United States. The regulations define “education program or activity” to include situations over which the school exercised substantial control as well as buildings owned or controlled by student organizations officially recognized by a postsecondary institution, such as fraternity and sorority houses. The proposed code language specifies the procedures to be used by UW System schools in addressing sexual misconduct that meets the new definitions and falls within the scope of Title IX, as well as the procedures to be used in addressing sexual misconduct that falls outside of the scope of Title IX.
The proposed language adds a definition of sexual exploitation to the list of sexual misconduct that UW System schools will address. This change is in line with the majority of peer institutions, including most Big Ten universities. Sexual exploitation is defined as “a person taking nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person.” Under our current policies, such conduct sometimes falls outside of the definitions of sexual misconduct and must be addressed through other policies. This change will officially recognize sexual exploitation as a form of sexual misconduct.

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct Procedures**

**Notice**

The new federal regulations require that notice to parties of formal Title IX complaints outline the grievance process, explain the allegations of sexual misconduct with sufficient detail, include a statement that the respondent is presumed not responsible, inform parties of their right to an advisor and to review evidence, and cite to UW System code provisions that prohibit making a false statement.

**Mandatory Dismissal and Discretionary Dismissal**

The federal regulations define certain Title IX cases which must be dismissed by a school and certain Title IX cases which may be dismissed but are not required to be. Universities are required to dismiss allegations that do not meet the definitions of sexual misconduct under Title IX, that did not occur during the university's education program or activity, or that occurred outside of the United States. Universities may still address these under other codes of conduct. A school has the discretion to dismiss a complaint if it is formally withdrawn in writing, if the respondent is no longer enrolled or employed by the school, or if circumstances are such that it prevents the school from gathering sufficient evidence to reach a determination. A discretionary dismissal requires notice and specified reasons for discretionary dismissal of the complaint. The parties have the right to appeal a university's mandatory dismissal or discretionary dismissal of a Title IX complaint.

**Investigation**

Under the federal regulations, investigations of formal Title IX complaints must be conducted by an assigned investigator and must allow the parties an opportunity to present witnesses and evidence as well as review the evidence provided. Investigators are not permitted to make official findings
of responsibility but may make recommended findings. The new Title IX regulations require that official findings be made only after a hearing.

Hearing

The federal regulations require that all postsecondary schools conduct live hearings with cross-examination conducted directly, orally, and in real time for all Title IX cases. Cross-examination is to be conducted by the party's advisor; direct party questioning is not permitted. A hearing officer must determine the relevance of each question and explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant. At a live hearing, if a party does not already have an advisor, the institution must provide, without fee or charge, an advisor of the school's choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party.

Previous Action or Discussion

The Board last discussed this topic at its August 5, 2020 meeting when it approved emergency rules necessary to revise Chapters 4, 7, 11, and 17 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. This discussion occurred after the preliminary hearing and public comment period regarding the scope statements, which the Board approved at its July 9, 2020 meeting. Prior to that, the Board of Regents amended UWS Chapters 4, 7, 11, and 17 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code in April 2015 through Resolutions 10476, 10477, and 10478, all of which addressed the Dear Colleague Letter updates from ED.

Related Policies

- Regent Policy Document 14-2, “Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence”
- Chapter UWS 4, Wis. Admin. Code: “Procedures for Dismissal”
- Chapter UWS 7, Wis. Admin. Code: “Dismissal of Faculty in Special Cases”
- Chapter UWS 11, Wis. Admin. Code: “Dismissal of Academic Staff for Cause”
- Chapter UWS 17, Wis. Admin. Code: “Student Nonacademic Disciplinary Procedures”
- UW System Administrative Policy 1261, “Personnel Files”
- UW System Administrative Policy 1275, “Recruitment Policies”

ATTACHMENTS

A) Draft permanent rule language
B) Rule Orders and Notices of Submittal to Legislative Council
C) Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate
D) Notice of Public Hearing on the proposed rules
Chapter UWS 4
PROCEDURES FOR FACULTY DISMISSAL AND FOR DISMISSAL AND DISCIPLINE IN TITLE IX CASES

Subchapter I - General
UWS 4.01 Dismissal for cause.
UWS 4.015 Definitions.

Subchapter II - Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Non-Title IX Related Cases
UWS 4.016 Subchapter II Definitions
UWS 4.02 Responsibility for charges.
UWS 4.03 Standing faculty committee.
UWS 4.04 Hearing.
UWS 4.05 Adequate due process.
UWS 4.06 Procedural guarantees.
UWS 4.07 Recommendations to the chancellor to and the regents.
UWS 4.08 Board review.
UWS 4.09 Suspension from duties.
UWS 4.10 Date of dismissal.

Subchapter III - Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Title IX Related Cases
UWS 4.11 Subchapter III Definitions.
UWS 4.12 Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct.
UWS 4.12 Definitions.
UWS 4.13 Application of Title IX misconduct disciplinary procedure.
UWS 4.14 Dismissal of formal Title IX complaint and related appeal.
UWS 4.15 Investigation of Title IX misconduct allegations.
UWS 4.16 Review of evidence.
UWS 4.17 Final investigative report.
UWS 4.18 Standing faculty committee and hearing examiner.
UWS 4.19 Adequate due process.
UWS 4.20 Procedural guarantees.
UWS 4.21 Hearing committee or hearing examiner findings and recommendations to the chancellor.
UWS 4.22 Chancellor’s decision.
UWS 4.23 Appeal to board.
UWS 4.24 Suspension from duties.

Subchapter I - General
UWS 4.01 Dismissal for cause.

(1) Any faculty member having tenure may be dismissed only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. Any faculty member having a probationary appointment may be dismissed prior to the end of the faculty member’s term of appointment only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. A decision not to renew a probationary appointment or not to grant tenure does not constitute a
(2) A faculty member is entitled to enjoy and exercise all the rights and privileges of a United States citizen, and the rights and privileges of academic freedom as they are generally understood in the academic community. This policy shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal exists. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal is on the administration.

(3) Faculty dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in UWS 4.1211, shall be governed by ss. UWS 4.11 to 4.24. Section UWS 4.24._UWS 4.01 to (1) and (2) and ss. UWS 4.02 to 4.10 shall may not apply to faculty dismissal based on Title IX misconduct.

UWS 4.015  Definitions. The following terms shall have the meaning given below and shall apply to ss. UWS 4.01 to UWS 4.10In this chapter:

(1) “Clear and convincing evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person to have a firm belief that a proposition is more likely true than not true. It is a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence.”

(2) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 4.12.

(3) “Complaint” means an allegation against a faculty member reported to an appropriate university official.

(3m) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in this section and s. UWS 4.12. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(4) “Consult” or “consulting” means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and discretionary issues.

(5) “Dating violence” means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(6) “Domestic violence” means felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth complainant who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin as per ss.
813.12(1)(am) and 968.075, Stats.

Note: See ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075

(6m) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically and/or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol and/or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments; capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

(7) “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence.”

(8) “Reporting Party” means one or more individuals or groups filing a complaint as defined in sub. (3). A reporting party may also be a complainant as defined in sub. (2).

(9) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

(a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

(b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as per s. 944.06, Stats.

(d) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as per 948.02, Stats.

(10) “Sexual Exploitation” means when an individual attempts, takes or threatens to take, nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include, but are not limited to:

(a) Engaging in the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:

1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.
2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.

3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.

(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in the complainant’s presence without the consent of the complainant, or inducing the complainant to do the same.

(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual activity.

(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making the complainant vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.

(e) Coercing the complainant to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.

(f) Threatening distribution of any of the following, to coerce someone into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:

1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.

2. Other information of a sexual nature involving the complainant, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

(11) “Stalking" means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(9)

Subchapter II - Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Non-Title IX Related Cases

UWS 4.016 Subchapter II Definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Complainant" means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual exploitation as defined in this section.

(2) “Sexual harassment" means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more any of the following:

(a) Quid Pro Quo
1. An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct— or 
2. An employee of the institution either explicitly or implicitly conditions the provision of an academic, professional, or employment-related opportunity, aid, benefit, or service on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

(b) Hostile Environment

a)—1. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard:

The conduct is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity; or

2. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe or pervasive, and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an university sponsored or supported activity or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive academic, working, or program or activity related environment.

(10) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

(a)—“Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

(b)—“Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c)—“Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law (See s. 944.06, Stats.)

“Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as provided in s. 944.06, Stats. (11) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

UWS 4.02  Responsibility for charges.

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin system receives a complaint against a faculty member which the chancellor deems substantial and which, if true, might lead to dismissal under s. UWS 4.01, the chancellor, or designee, shall within a reasonable time initiate an investigation and shall, prior to reaching a decision on filing charges, offer to discuss the matter informally with the faculty member. For complaints of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual
exploitation, or stalking, the chancellor, or designee, shall appoint the Title IX Coordinator, or designee, to initiate an investigation in accordance with applicable policies. The chancellor, or designee, shall also offer to discuss the matter informally with the complainant, and provide information regarding rights under this chapter. Both the faculty member and the complainant shall have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice at any meeting or proceeding that is part of the institutional disciplinary process. A faculty member may be dismissed only after receipt of a written statement of specific charges from the chancellor as the chief administrative officer of the institution and, if a hearing is requested by the faculty member, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. If the faculty member does not request a hearing, action shall proceed along normal administrative lines but the provisions of ss. UWS 4.02, 4.09, and 4.10 shall still apply.

(2) Any formal statement of specific charges for dismissal sent to a faculty member shall be accompanied by a statement of the appeal procedures available to the faculty member.

(3) The statement of charges shall be served personally, by electronic means, or by certified mail, return receipt requested. If such service cannot be made within 20 days, service shall be accomplished by first class mail and by publication as if the statement of charges were a summons and the provisions of s. 801.11 (1) (c), Stats., were applicable. Such service by mailing and publication shall be effective as of the first insertion of the notice of statement of charges in the newspaper. If the statement of charges includes sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the statement shall be provided to the complainant upon request, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

UWS 4.03 Standing faculty committee.

The faculty of each institution shall provide a standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under this chapter. This standing faculty committee shall operate as the hearing agent for the board pursuant to s. 227.46 (4), Stats., and conduct the hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, prepare a summary of the evidence and transmit such record and summary along with its recommended findings of law and decision to the board according to s. UWS 4.07.

UWS 4.04 Hearing.

If the faculty member requests a hearing within 20 days of notice of the statement of charges (25 days if notice is by first class mail and publication), such a hearing shall be held not later than 20 days after the request except that this time limit may be enlarged by mutual written consent of the parties, or by order of the hearing committee. The request for a hearing shall be addressed in writing to the chairperson of the standing faculty committee created under s. UWS 4.03.

UWS 4.05 Adequate due process.

(1) A fair hearing for a faculty member whose dismissal is sought under s. UWS 4.01 shall include the following:
(a) Service of written notice of hearing on the specific charges at least 10 days prior to the hearing;

(b) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary evidence upon the basis of which dismissal is sought;

(c) A right to be heard in the faculty member’s defense;

(d) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representatives, and to offer witnesses;

(e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. If the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the hearing committee may reasonably restrict the faculty member or the complainant from questioning each other;

(f) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, provided at no cost;

(g) Written findings of fact and decision based on the hearing record;

(h) Admissibility of evidence governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats.

(2) If the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in sub. (1) (a) to (h), except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

UWS 4.06 Procedural guarantees.

(1) Any hearing held shall comply with the requirements set forth in s. UWS 4.05. The following requirements shall also be observed:

   (a) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause is on the administration or its representatives;

   (am) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence;

   (b) No faculty member who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness shall be qualified to sit on the committee in that case;

   (c) The hearing shall be closed unless the faculty member under charges requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open (see subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law);
(d) The faculty hearing committee may, on motion of either party, and, if the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, on the motion of the complainant, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the faculty hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the faculty equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty establishing the standing committee under s. UWS 4.03;

(e) The faculty hearing committee shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges;

(f) If the faculty hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the committee within the provisions of the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty of the institution in establishing the standing faculty committee under s. UWS 4.03;

(g) If a proceeding on charges against a faculty member not holding tenure is not concluded before the faculty member's appointment would expire, the faculty member may elect that such proceeding be carried to a final decision. Unless the faculty member so elects in writing, the proceeding shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment;

(h) If a faculty member whose dismissal is sought has requested a hearing, discontinuance of the proceeding by the institution is deemed a withdrawal of charges and a finding that the charges were without merit;

(i) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the administration and the faculty member, with board approval, at any time prior to a final decision by the board;

(j) Adjournment shall be granted to enable the parties, including the complainant, to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.

UWS 4.07 —Recommendations: to the chancellor: to and the regents.

(1) The faculty hearing committee shall send to the chancellor and to the faculty member concerned, as soon as practicable after conclusion of the hearing, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its report, findings, and recommendations. The committee may determine that while adequate cause for discipline exists, some sanction less severe than dismissal is more appropriate. Within 20 days after receipt of this material the chancellor shall review it and afford the faculty member an opportunity to discuss it. The chancellor shall prepare a written recommendation within 20 days following the meeting with the
faculty member, unless the chancellor’s proposed recommendation differs substantially from that of the committee. If the chancellor's proposed recommendations differ substantially from those of the faculty hearing committee, the chancellor shall promptly consult the faculty hearing committee and provide the committee with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to forwarding the recommendation. If the recommendation is for dismissal, the recommendation shall be submitted through the president of the system to the board. A copy of the faculty hearing committee's report and recommendations shall be forwarded through the president of the system to the board along with the chancellor's recommendation. A copy of the chancellor's recommendation shall also be sent to the faculty member concerned and to the faculty committee. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all rights provided to the faculty member in this paragraph, including the right to receive a copy of the chancellor's recommendation, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(2) Disciplinary action other than dismissal may be taken by the chancellor, after affording the faculty member an opportunity to be heard on the record, except that, upon written request by the faculty member, such action shall be submitted as a recommendation through the president to the board together with a copy of the faculty hearing committee's report and recommendation. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in this paragraph.

UWS 4.08 Board review.

(1) If the chancellor recommends dismissal, the board shall review the record before the faculty hearing committee and provide an opportunity for filing exceptions to the recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and for oral arguments, unless the board decides to drop the charges against the faculty member without a hearing or the faculty member elects to waive a hearing. This hearing shall be closed unless the faculty member requests an open hearing (see subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law). For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have the same opportunity for filing exceptions to the recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and for oral arguments, as the faculty member.

(2) If, after the hearing, the board decides to take action different from the recommendation of the faculty hearing committee and/or the chancellor, then before taking final action the board shall consult with the faculty hearing committee and/or the chancellor, as appropriate.

(3) If a faculty member whose dismissal is sought does not request a hearing pursuant to s. UWS 4.04 the board shall take appropriate action upon receipt of the statement of charges and the recommendation of the chancellor.

(4) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the faculty member and complainant shall be simultaneously notified of the board's final decision.
UWS 4.09 Suspension from duties.

Pending the final decision as to dismissal, the faculty member shall not normally be relieved of duties; but if, after consultation with appropriate faculty committees the chancellor finds that substantial harm to the institution may result if the faculty member is continued in the faculty member’s position, the faculty member may be relieved immediately of the faculty member’s duties, but their pay shall continue until the board makes its decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 7.06 (1) in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 7.06 shall apply.

UWS 4.10 Date of dismissal.

A decision by the board ordering dismissal shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

Subchapter III - Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Title IX Related Cases

UWS 4.11 Subchapter III Definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of Title IX misconduct as defined in this section.

(2) “Education program or activity” means, for purposes of Title IX misconduct only, locations, events, or circumstances at which the university exercised substantial control over both the faculty member and the context in which the misconduct occurred, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

(3) “Formal Title IX complaint” means, for the purposes of Title IX misconduct only, a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against a faculty member and requesting that the university investigate the allegations. At the time of filing of the formal Title IX complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal complaint may be filed in person, by mail, or electronic mail, or any other method designated by the university. A formal Title IX complaint shall include a physical or digital signature of the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

(4) “Respondent” means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of Title IX misconduct as defined in this section.

(5) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

(a) An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard, the conduct is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.

(6) “Title IX misconduct” means sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence as defined in this section.

**UWS 4.12 Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct.**

(1) The board may dismiss a faculty member for cause, or impose lesser discipline on a faculty member, for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in Title IX misconduct as defined in s. UWS 4.11.

(2) Title IX misconduct allegations against faculty shall follow the disciplinary procedure in ss. UWS 4.11 to 4.24. The board may dismiss a faculty member having tenure only for just cause and may otherwise discipline a faculty member having tenure only after due notice and hearing. The board may dismiss a faculty member having a probationary appointment prior to the end of the faculty member’s term of appointment only for just cause and may otherwise discipline the faculty member only after due notice and hearing.

(3) A faculty member is entitled to enjoy and exercise all the rights and privileges of a United States citizen, and the rights and privileges of academic freedom as they are generally understood in the academic community. These rights and privileges shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, exists.

(4) The faculty member is presumed to be not responsible for the alleged Title IX misconduct until a final decision regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary process. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or of grounds for other discipline, is on the university administration.

**UWS 4.12 Definitions.** In this chapter:

(1) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of Title IX misconduct, as defined in this section.

(2) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in this section and s. UWS 4.015. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(3) “Consult” or “consulting” means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and discretionary issues.

(4) “Dating violence” means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant, and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the
length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the
persons involved in the relationship.

(5) “Domestic violence” means felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or
former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant
shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the
complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the
complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person
against an adult or youth complainant who is protected from that person’s acts under the
domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin. (See ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075).

(6) “Education program or activity” means, for purposes of Title IX Compliant only, locations,
events, or circumstances at which the university exercised substantial control over both the
faculty member and the context in which the misconduct occurred, and also includes any building
owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

(7) “Formal complaint” means, for the purposes of Title IX complaint only, a document filed by a
complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault,
dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against a faculty member and requesting that the
university investigate the allegations. At the time of filing of the formal complaint, the
complainant shall be participating in or attempting to participate in an educational program or
activity. A formal complaint may be filed in person, by mail, or electronic mail, or any other
method designated by the university. A formal complaint shall include a physical or digital
signature of the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

(8) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed
rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or
blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs
are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of
alcohol or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability
to make informed, rational judgments; and capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the
act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent
indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

(9) “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that
a proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and
convincing evidence.”

(10) “Respondent” means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sexual
misconduct as defined in this section.

(11) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20

(a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body
part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of
the complainant.

(b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose
of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where
the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their
temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the
degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as provided in s. 944.06, Stats.
(d) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as provided in s. 948.02, Stats.

(12) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:
   a) An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct;
   b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard:
      a. Is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.
      b. The conduct is so severe, pervasive, or objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an institution’s education program or activity, or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive academic, working, or program or activity-related environment.

(13) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(14) “Title IX misconduct” means sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence.

UWS 4.13 Application of Title IX misconduct disciplinary procedure. This disciplinary procedures under ss. UWS 4.13 to 4.23 procedure for Title IX misconduct will be used only when all of the following requirements are met:

1. There is a formal Title IX complaint alleging Title IX misconduct on the basis of sex.
2. The conduct occurred in the United States.
3. The conduct occurred within a university’s education program or activity.
4. The complainant shall be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the university at the time of filing the formal Title IX complaint.
5. The complainant or Title IX coordinator have submitted a formal Title IX complaint.

UWS 4.14 Dismissal of formal Title IX complaint and related appeal.

1. The university shall dismiss a formal Title IX complaint consisting of allegations that meet any of the following conditions:
   a. The alleged conduct would not constitute sexual harassment if proved.
(b) The alleged conduct did not occur in a university education program or activity.

(c) The alleged conduct did not involve actions against someone physically located in the United States.

(2) The university may dismiss a formal Title IX complaint under any of the following circumstances:

(a) The complainant formally requests in writing to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint.

(b) The faculty member is no longer employed by the university.

(c) Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination on the allegations contained in the formal Title IX complaint.

(3) The university generally shall decide whether to dismiss a formal Title IX complaint within 30 days of receipt of the formal complaint, but the university may extend that timeline as necessary. If a formal complaint is dismissed, then the university shall provide notice of the dismissal and reasons therefore to the faculty member and complainant in writing.

(4) Within 20 days of receipt of the notice of dismissal, the complainant or faculty member may appeal the dismissal by filing a written appeal with the chancellor. The complainant or faculty member may appeal on any of the following bases:

(a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.

(b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the dismissal that could affect the outcome of the matter.

(c) The university employee making the dismissal decision had a conflict of interest or bias for the faculty member or against the complainant, or against complainants or respondents generally, that affected the dismissal decision.

(5) The chancellor shall provide the faculty member and complainant the opportunity to provide a written statement supporting or challenging the dismissal. The chancellor shall simultaneously issue a decision to the complainant and the faculty member within 30 days of receipt of a written appeal. The chancellor’s decision shall include the chancellor’s rationale for the decision and shall be final.

(6) The dismissal of a formal Title IX formal complaint does not preclude the university from otherwise pursuing discipline or dismissal against the faculty member under other administrative rules or university policies.

UWS 4.15 Investigation of Title IX misconduct allegations.

(1) Unless the university dismisses a formal complaint, the university shall appoint an investigator to conduct an investigation of the allegations in the formal complaint.
(2) The investigator shall provide the faculty member and the complainant with a notice of investigation. The notice shall include all of the following:

(a) The grievance process, including informal resolution options.

(b) The allegations of Title IX misconduct with sufficient detail for the faculty member to prepare a response to the allegations, including but not limited to, the identity of the complainant as well as the date and location of the incident if available.

(c) A statement affirming the faculty member is presumed not responsible for the alleged violation.

(d) The faculty member and complainant have the right to an advisor of their choice.

(e) The faculty member and complainant have the right to inspect and review the evidence.

(f) Information about any code of conduct rules which prohibit the faculty member or the complainant from knowingly making false statements or submitting false information during the disciplinary process.

(3) The faculty member and complainant shall receive an amended notice of investigation any time additional charges are added during the course of an investigation. Formal complaints involving more than one complainant or respondent may be consolidated if they arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

(4) The university’s investigator shall do all of the following:

(a) Provide both the faculty member and the complainant an equal opportunity to provide witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, who may be interviewed by the investigator, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.

(b) Not restrict the ability of either the faculty member or complainant to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.

(c) Provide the faculty member and complainant the same opportunity to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice during meetings relating to the investigation but may limit the participation by the advisor so long as those limits are applied equally.

(d) Provide both the faculty member and the complainant an equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from a faculty member, complainant, or other source, so that the faculty member and complainant can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.
As part of its investigation and disciplinary process, the university may not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a faculty member's or complainant’s records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the faculty member or complainant, unless the university obtains the faculty member’s or complainant’s voluntary, written consent to do so in relation to the investigation and disciplinary process.

The university’s investigator generally shall complete the investigation and issue a final investigative report within 90 days of the investigator’s appointment. However, the investigator may extend the investigation’s time frame where circumstances warrant.

UWS 4.16 Review of evidence.

Prior to completion of the final investigative report, the investigator shall send to the faculty member and complainant and their respective advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the investigation for inspection and review by the faculty member and the complainant. The evidence may be provided in an electronic format or a hard copy. The evidence provided includes evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from the faculty member, complainant or other source, to permit the faculty member and complainant to meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

The faculty member and the complainant shall have at least 10 days to submit a written response to the evidence. The investigator shall consider any written responses prior to completion of the final investigative report.

UWS 4.17 Final investigative report.

The investigator shall create a final investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and send the report to the faculty member and, the complainant, and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the faculty member and complainant at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The university shall, upon receipt of the final investigative report, proceed to schedule a live hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless both the faculty member and the complainant waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

UWS 4.18 Standing faculty committee and hearing examiner.

The chancellor of each university, in consultation with faculty representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a Title IX misconduct hearing examiner. The chancellor shall select a hearing examiner shall be selected by the chancellor pursuant to these policies to hear faculty dismissal and discipline cases. Additionally, the faculty of
each university shall provide a standing hearing committee charged with hearing faculty
dismissal and discipline cases. The chancellor shall appoint the presiding member of the
hearing committee, who may be a hearing examiner. The university shall decide whether the
matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee will hear the matter.

(2) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner described in sub. (1) shall conduct the
hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, and transmit such record along with factual
findings and decision to the chancellor. The hearing shall be held not later than 45 days
after completion of the final investigative report except that this time limit may be extended
by the hearing committee or the hearing examiner.

UWS 4.19 Adequate due process.

(1) A fair hearing for a faculty member against whom dismissal or other discipline is sought
shall include all of the following:

(a) Service of written notice of a live hearing on the allegations in the formal complaint at
least 10 days prior to the hearing.

(b) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary and other evidence
upon the basis of which dismissal or other discipline is sought.

(c) A right to be heard for the complainant and faculty member’s defense member to be
heard on their own behalf.

(d) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representatives, and to offer witnesses. The
faculty member’s or complainant’s advisor or counsel may ask all witnesses relevant
questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility. Credibility
determinations, however, may not be made based on a person’s status as a complainant,
respondent, or witness. If the faculty member or complainant does not have an advisor,
the university shall provide the faculty member or the complainant, without charge, an
advisor of the university’s choice to conduct cross-examination on behalf of the faculty
member or complainant. The advisor may be an attorney.

(e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. The faculty member’s or
complainant’s advisor shall conduct cross examination shall be conducted directly,
oral, and in real time by the faculty member’s advisor. The faculty member and the
complainant may not personally conduct cross examination. If the faculty member, the
complainant, or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, the
hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not rely on any statement of the faculty
member, complainant, or witness in reaching its findings and recommendations.
However, the hearing committee or hearing examiner may not draw a negative
inference in reaching its findings and recommendations based solely on the absence of
a faculty member, complainant, or witness from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-
examination or other questions.

(f) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, made available at
no cost for inspection and review.
Written findings of fact and recommendations based on the hearing record. The written findings of fact and recommendations shall include all of the following:

1. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX misconduct.

2. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s completion of written findings and recommendations, including any notifications to the faculty member and the complainant, interviews with the faculty member, the complainant, and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather evidence, and hearings held.

3. Conclusions regarding the application of the university’s conduct rules and policies to the facts; a statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a recommendations regarding responsibility, any disciplinary sanction recommended to be imposed, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s educational program or activity will be provided to the complainant.

4. The university’s procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and faculty member to appeal.

Admissibility of evidence shall be governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats. Only relevant questions may be asked of the faculty member, the complainant, and any witnesses. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall determine whether a question is relevant and explain the decision to exclude a question as not relevant. Questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions or evidence are offered to prove that someone other than the faculty member committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or unless the questions or evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with the faculty member and are offered to prove consent.

The hearing may be conducted with all participants physically present in the same location, or at the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s discretion, any or all participants may appear at the hearing virtually, with technology enabling the participants simultaneously to see and hear each other. Upon the faculty member’s or complainant’s request, the university shall provide for the hearing to occur with the faculty member and complainant located in separate rooms with technology enabling the hearing committee or hearing examiner, the faculty member, and the complainant to simultaneously see and hear witnesses answering questions.

The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in sub. (1) to (i).

UWS 4.20 Procedural guarantees.
(1) Any hearing held shall comply with the requirements set forth in UWS 4.19. The hearing shall observe the preceding section. All of the following requirements shall also be observed:

(a) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause to support dismissal, or of grounds to support other discipline, is on the university administration.

(am) The standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) No faculty member who participated in the investigation of a formal Title IX complaint, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to sit on the hearing committee addressing that complaint. No university employee or other person who participated in the investigation of a formal Title IX complaint, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to serve as the hearing examiner addressing that complaint.

(c) The hearing shall be closed unless the faculty member or the complainant requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open (see subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law).

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(d) The hearing committee may, on motion of the complainant or the faculty member, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the faculty equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty establishing the standing committee under this rule.

(e) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges unless the person holding the privilege has waived it. The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall follow the evidentiary rules in s. UWS 4.19(1)(h).

(f) If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the hearing committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the hearing committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the hearing committee within the provisions of the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty of the institution in establishing the standing faculty committee under this policy.

(g) If the Title IX disciplinary process described in ss. UWS 4.11 to 4.24 against a faculty member not holding tenure is not concluded before the faculty member's appointment would expire, the faculty member may elect that such process be carried to a final decision. Unless the faculty member so elects in writing, the process shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment.
(h) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the university administration, the complainant, and the faculty member.

(i) Delay or adjournment of the hearing for good cause may be granted. Good cause includes any of the following:

1. The need to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.
2. To ensure the presence of the faculty member or the complainant, an advisor, or a witness.
3. To provide language assistance or accommodation of disabilities.
4. To accommodate concurrent law enforcement activity.

UWS 4.21 Hearing committee or hearing examiner findings and recommendations to the chancellor.

The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall simultaneously send to the chancellor, to the complainant, and to the faculty member concerned, within 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or otherwise as soon as practicable, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its factual findings and recommendations.

UWS 4.22 Chancellor’s decision.

(1) Within 20 days after receipt of the record and findings and recommendations from the hearing committee or the hearing examiner the chancellor shall review those materials and afford the faculty member and the complainant an opportunity to discuss them. The chancellor’s decision shall be based on the record created before the hearing committee or the hearing examiner. The chancellor shall prepare a written decision within 20 days after completing the meetings with the faculty member and the complainant, unless the chancellor’s proposed decision differs substantially from the recommendations of the hearing committee or hearing examiner. If the chancellor's proposed decision differs substantially from those recommendations, the chancellor shall promptly consult the hearing committee or the hearing examiner and provide the committee or the hearing examiner with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to making a decision.

(2) The chancellor may adopt the hearing committee or hearing examiner’s findings and recommendations as the chancellor’s decision. The chancellor shall explain in the decision any substantial differences from those findings and recommendations.

(3) The chancellor’s decision shall be simultaneously sent to the faculty member concerned, the complainant, and to the hearing committee or the hearing examiner within 45 days of the chancellor’s receipt of the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s materials. The chancellor’s decision also shall be submitted through the president of the system to the board, accompanied by a copy of the hearing committee's or hearing examiner’s findings and
recommendations. The chancellor’s decision and the findings and recommendations shall be forwarded through the president of the system to the board for its review.

**UWS 4.23 Appeal to board.**

1. The board shall provide the faculty member and the complainant an opportunity for filing exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and for oral arguments, unless the faculty member and the complainant waive in writing the right to file exceptions and for oral arguments. The hearing of any oral arguments shall be closed unless the faculty member or the complainant requests an open hearing.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

2. The faculty member or complainant may file written exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and the board shall conduct its review of the chancellor’s decision, on any of the following bases:

   a. Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.

   b. New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the live hearing that could affect the outcome of the matter.

   c. The Title IX coordinator, investigator, the chancellor, the hearing examiner, or the hearing committee members had a conflict of interest or bias for or against the faculty member or complainant, or against complainants and respondents generally, that affected the outcome.

3. If the board decides to take action different from the decision of the chancellor, then before taking final action the board shall consult with the chancellor.

4. The board shall make its decision based on the record created before the hearing committee or hearing examiner. Within 60 days of receipt of the chancellor’s decision, or otherwise as soon as practicable, the board shall simultaneously notify the faculty member and the complainant of the board's final decision, which shall include the board’s rationale for its decision.

5. A decision by the board ordering dismissal of a faculty member shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

**UWS 4.24 Suspension from duties.** Pending the final decision on dismissal or other discipline, the faculty member may not normally be relieved of duties; but if, after consultation with appropriate faculty committees the chancellor finds that substantial harm to the university may result if the faculty member is continued in the faculty member’s position, the faculty member may be relieved immediately of the faculty member’s duties, but the faculty member’s pay shall continue until a final decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 7.06 in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 7.06 shall apply.
Chapter UWS 7
DISMISSAL OF FACULTY IN SPECIAL CASES

UWS 7.01 Declaration of policy.

University faculty members are responsible for advancing the university's missions of teaching, research, and public service. The fulfillment of these missions requires public trust in the integrity of the institution and in all members of the university community. The university's effectiveness, credibility, and ability to maintain public trust are undermined by criminal activity that poses a substantial risk to the safety of others, that seriously impairs the university's ability to fulfill its missions, or that seriously impairs the faculty member's fitness or ability to fulfill the faculty member's duties. Situations involving such serious criminal misconduct by faculty members must be addressed and resolved promptly to ensure that public trust is maintained and that the university is able to advance its missions. The Board of Regents therefore adopts the procedures in this chapter for identifying and responding to those instances in which a faculty member has engaged in serious criminal misconduct.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; 2015 Wis. Act 330 s. 20: am. Register April 2016 No. 724, eff. 5-1-16.

UWS 7.015 Definitions.

(1) “Clear and convincing evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person to have a firm belief that a proposition is more likely true than not true. It is a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence.”

(2) “Complainant” means any individual who is reported to have been subjected to sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking as defined in s. UWS 4.015.

(2) “Affected party” means any student, employee, visitor, or an individual participating in a university program or activity, who is a victim of a faculty member’s serious criminal misconduct.

(3) “Complaint” means an allegation against a faculty member reported to an appropriate university official.

(4) “Consult” or “consulting” means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and discretionary issues.

(5) “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence.”

(6) “Serious criminal misconduct” is defined in s. UWS 7.02.

History: CR 15-061: cr. Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16; s. 35.17 correction in (2) Register June 2016 No. 726.
UWS 7.02 Serious criminal misconduct.

(1) In this chapter, "serious criminal misconduct" means:

(a) Pleading guilty or no contest to, or being convicted of a felony, in state or federal court, where one or more of the conditions in par. (b), (c), (d) or (e) are present, and the felony involves any of the following:

1. Causing serious physical injury to another person.

2. Creating a serious danger to the personal safety of another person.


4. Theft, fraud or embezzlement.

5. Criminal damage to property.

6. Stalking or harassment.

(b) A substantial risk to the safety of members of the university community or others is posed.

(c) The university's ability, or the ability of the faculty member's colleagues, to fulfill teaching, research or public service missions is seriously impaired.

(d) The faculty member's fitness or ability to fulfill the duties of the faculty member’s position is seriously impaired.

(e) The opportunity of students to learn, do research, or engage in public service is seriously impaired.

(2) Conduct, expressions, or beliefs which are constitutionally protected, or protected by the principles of academic freedom, shall not constitute serious criminal misconduct.

(3) Except as otherwise expressly provided, a faculty member who has engaged in serious criminal misconduct shall be subject to the procedures set forth in ss. UWS 7.03 to 7.06.

(4) Any act required or permitted by ss. UWS 7.03 to 7.06 to be done by the chancellor may be delegated to the provost or another designee pursuant to institutional policies approved by the Board of Regents under s. UWS 2.02.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; 2015 Wis. Act 330 s. 20: am. (4) Register April 2016 No. 724, eff. 5-1-16.

UWS 7.03 Dismissal for cause.

(1) Any faculty member having tenure may be dismissed only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. Any faculty member having a probationary appointment may be dismissed prior to the end of the term of appointment only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing.
(2) Just cause for dismissal includes, but is not limited to, serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 7.02.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 7.04 Reporting responsibility.

Any faculty member who is charged with, pleads guilty or no contest to, or is convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1)(a), in state or federal court, shall immediately report that fact to the chancellor.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 7.05 Expedited process.

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin System receives a report under s. UWS 7.04 or other credible information that a faculty member has pleaded guilty or no contest to, or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1)(a), in state or federal court, the chancellor shall:

(a) Within 3 working days of receipt of the report or information, inform the faculty member of its receipt and, after consulting with appropriate institutional governance representatives, appoint an investigator to investigate the report or information and to advise the chancellor as to whether to proceed under this section or ch. UWS 4. If the university knows the identity of an affected party is known to the university, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of the report or information at the same time as the faculty member.

(b) Upon appointing an investigator and notifying the faculty member, afford the faculty member 3 working days in which to request that the investigator be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In the event that the chancellor determines that a request for disqualification should be granted, the chancellor shall, within 2 working days of the determination, appoint a different investigator. The faculty member shall have the opportunity to request that any second or subsequent investigators be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause.

(2) The investigator shall complete and file a report with the chancellor not later than 10 working days following the investigator's appointment.

(3) Within 3 working days of receipt of the investigator's report, the chancellor shall consult with appropriate institutional governance representatives and decide whether to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to this chapter, to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to ch. UWS 4, to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, or to discontinue the proceedings.

(a) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to this chapter, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(b) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to ch. UWS 4, the chancellor shall file charges and proceed in accordance with the provisions of that chapter and implementing institutional policies. If, during the course of such proceedings under ch. UWS 4, the chancellor receives a report under s. UWS 7.04 or other credible information that the faculty member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1)(a), and one or more of the conditions listed...
in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (b) through (e) are present, the chancellor may, at that point, elect to follow the procedures for dismissal pursuant to this chapter.

(c) If the chancellor decides to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, the procedures under chs. UWS 4 and 6, and implementing institutional policies, shall be followed.

(4) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (a), the faculty member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 4.03. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 4.05 to 4.06, except that the hearing shall be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor shall be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(5) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (a), the faculty member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 4.03. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 4.05 to 4.06, except that the hearing shall be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor shall be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(5a) Within 3 working days of receipt of the findings and recommendation of the committee under sub. (4), the chancellor shall prepare a written recommendation on the matter.

(b) If the recommendation is for dismissal, the chancellor shall transmit it to the board for review.

(c) Disciplinary action other than dismissal may be taken by the chancellor, whose decision shall be final, unless the board at its option grants a review on the record at the request of the faculty member. The faculty member shall receive a copy of the chancellor's final decision. If the university knows the identity of an affected party is known to the university, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to provide the affected party a copy of the chancellor's final decision at the same time as the faculty member.

(6) Upon receipt of the chancellor's recommendation, the full board shall review the record before the institutional hearing committee and shall offer an opportunity for filing exceptions to the recommendation, and for oral argument. The full board shall issue its decision on the matter within 15 working days of receipt of the chancellor's recommendation. If the university knows the identity of an affected party is known to the university, the board shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of its decision at the same time as the faculty member.

(7) If a faculty member whose dismissal is sought under sub. (3) (a) does not proceed with the hearing before the institutional hearing committee as provided in sub. (4), the board shall take appropriate action within 10 working days of receipt of the statement of charges and the recommendation of the chancellor.

(8) The administration or its representatives shall have the burden of proof to show that just cause exists for dismissal under this chapter. The administration must demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the faculty member engaged in serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 7.02.

(9) The chair of the faculty hearing body, subject to the approval of the chancellor, may extend the time limits set forth in this section if the parties are unable to obtain, in a timely manner, relevant and material testimony, physical evidence or records, or where due process otherwise requires.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; CR 15-061: am. (1) (a), (b), (5) (c), (6), r. and recr. (8) Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.
UWS 7.06  Temporary suspension without pay.

(1) The chancellor, after consulting with appropriate faculty governance representatives, may suspend a faculty member from duties without pay pending the final decision as to dismissal where:

   (a) The faculty member has been charged with a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) and the chancellor, after following the provisions of s. UWS 7.05 (1) through (3), finds, in addition, that there is a substantial likelihood 1) that one or more of the conditions listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (b) through (e) are present, and 2) that the faculty member has engaged in the conduct as alleged; or

   (b) The faculty member is unable to report for work due to incarceration, conditions of bail or similar cause; or

   (c) The faculty member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (a) and one or more of the conditions listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (b) through (e) are present.

(2) If the chancellor finds that the conditions in sub. (1) are present, he or she shall immediately notify the faculty member, in writing, of the intent to impose a suspension without pay, and shall, within 2 working days, provide the faculty member with an opportunity to be heard with regard to the matter. The faculty member may be represented by counsel or another at this meeting.

(3) If, after affording the faculty member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines to suspend without pay, the chancellor shall inform the faculty member of the suspension, in writing. The chancellor's decision to suspend without pay under this section shall be final, except that:

   (a) If the chancellor later determines that the faculty member should not be dismissed, the chancellor may discontinue the proceedings, or may recommend a lesser penalty to the board, and, except as provided in par. (c), shall order the payment of back pay for any period of the suspension for which the faculty member was willing and able to report for work.

   (b) If the board later determines that the faculty member should not be dismissed, the board may order a lesser penalty and shall order the payment of back pay for any period of the suspension for which the faculty member was willing and able to report for work.

   (c) If the chancellor or board later determines, under par. (a) or (b), to recommend or impose as a lesser penalty the suspension of the faculty member without pay, then any period of suspension without pay so recommended or ordered shall be offset by the period of any suspension without pay actually served by the faculty member.

(4) If, after affording the faculty member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines that the conditions in sub. (1) are not present or that a suspension without pay is otherwise not warranted, the provisions of s. UWS 4.09 shall apply.

History: CR 06-078; cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.
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Subchapter I - General

UWS 11.01  Dismissal for cause-indefinite academic staff appointments.

(1) A member of the academic staff holding an indefinite appointment may be dismissed only for just cause under ss. UWS 11.02 through 11.106 or for reasons of budget or program under ch. UWS 12.

(2) The board's policy is that members of the academic staff are entitled to enjoy and exercise all rights of United States citizens and to perform their duties in accordance with appropriate professional codes of ethics. This policy shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal exists. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal is on the administration.

(3) Just cause for dismissal includes, but is not limited to, serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.102.

(4) Indefinite appointment academic staff dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.1413, shall be governed by ss. UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26. Sections UWS 11.01 to UWS 11.12 may not apply to academic staff dismissal based on Title IX misconduct.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 236, eff. 11-1-75; CR 06-078: am. (1), cr. (3) Register May 2007, No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.
UWS 11.015  Definition. The following terms shall have the meaning given below and shall apply to UWS 11.01 to UWS 11.12 In this chapter:

(1) “Clear and convincing evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person to have a firm belief that a proposition is more likely true than not true. It is a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence.”

(2) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in this section. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(3) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.14.

(4) “Complaint” means an allegation against an academic staff member reported to an appropriate university official.

(5) “Consult” or “consulting” means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and discretionary issues.

(6) “Dating violence” means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(6m) “Domestic violence” means felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth complainant who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin. (See as per ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075), Stats.

(6m7) “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence.”

(8) “Reporting Party” means one or more individuals or groups filing a complaint as defined in sub. (3). A reporting party may also be a complainant as defined in sub. (2).

(9) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:
a) An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

a) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard:

1. Is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity; or

2. Is so severe or pervasive, and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in a university-sponsored or supported activity, or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive academic, working, or program or activity related environment.

(10) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

a) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

b) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law (See s. 944.06, Stats.)

“Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as per s. 948.02, Stats.

(11) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others, or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(12) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in this section and s. UWS 11.14. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(13) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol and/or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments; capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.
“Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence.”

“Reporting Party” means one or more individuals or groups filing a complaint as defined in sub. (3). A reporting party may also be a complainant as defined in sub. (2).

“Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

(a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

(b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as per s. 944.06, Stats.

(d) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as per s. 948.02, Stats.

“Sexual exploitation” occurs when an individual attempts, takes or threatens to take, nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include, but are not limited to:

(a) Engaging in the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:

1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.

2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.

3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant(s).

(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in the complainant’s presence without the consent of the complainant, or inducing the complainant to do the same.
(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual contact or sexual intercourse activity.

(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making the complainant vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.

(e) Coercing the complainant to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.

(f) Threatening distribution of any of the following, to coerce someone into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:

1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.

2. Other information of a sexual nature involving the complainant, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

(11) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007, No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; CR 15-059: r. and recr. Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16; correction in (2), (8) made under 35.17, Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726.

Subchapter II - Procedures for Academic Staff Dismissal in Non-Title IX Related Cases

UWS 11.016 Subchapter II definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Complainant” as means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual exploitation, as defined in this section.

(2) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies any of the following:

(a) Quid Pro Quo

1. An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

2. An employee of the institution either explicitly or implicitly conditions the provision of an academic, professional, or employment-related opportunity, aid, benefit, or service on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

(b) Hostile Environment
1. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.

2. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe or pervasive and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an university sponsored or supported activity.

UWS 11.02  Responsibility for charges.

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution receives an allegation which concerns an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment which appears to be substantial and which, if true, might lead to dismissal under s. UWS 11.01, the chancellor shall request within a reasonable time that the appropriate dean, director, or designee investigate the allegation. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the chancellor shall direct the Title IX Coordinator, or designee, to initiate an investigation in accordance with applicable policies. The dean, director, or designee shall offer to discuss it informally with the academic staff member, and, if the allegation involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, with the complainant and provide information of rights under this chapter. Both the academic staff member and the complainant shall have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice at any meeting or proceeding that is part of the institutional disciplinary process. If such an investigation and discussion does not result in a resolution of the allegation and if the allegation is deemed sufficiently serious to warrant dismissal, the dean, director, or designee shall prepare a written statement of specific charges. A member of the academic staff may be dismissed only after receipt of such a statement of specific charges and, if a hearing is requested by the academic staff member, after a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of this chapter and the subsequently adopted procedures of the institution. If the staff member does not request a hearing, dismissal action shall proceed along normal administrative lines but the provisions of ss. UWS 11.02, 11.08, and 11.09 shall apply. In those cases where the immediate supervisor of the academic staff member concerned is a dean or director, the chancellor shall, to avoid potential prejudice, designate an appropriate administrative officer to act for the dean or director under this section.

(2) Any formal statement of specific charges shall be served personally, by electronic means, or by certified mail, return receipt requested. If such service cannot be made within 20 days, service shall be accomplished by first class mail and by publication as if the statement of charges were a summons and the provisions of s. 801.11 (1) (c), Stats., were applicable. Such service by mailing and publication shall be effective as of the first insertion of the notice of statement of charges in the newspaper. If the formal statement of specific charges involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation or stalking, the formal statement
shall be provided to the complainant upon request, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

**History:** Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; correction in (2) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register, June, 1995, No. 474; 2015 Wis. Act 330: am. (2) Register April 2016 No. 724, eff. 5-1-16; CR 15-059: am. (1), (2) Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16; merger of (2) treatments by 2015 Wis. Act 335 and CR 15-059 under s. 13.92 (4) (bm), Stats., Register September 2016 No. 729.

UWS 11.03 Hearing body.

(1) The chancellor of each institution shall provide for a hearing body charged with hearing dismissal cases and making a report and recommendations under this chapter. Throughout this chapter, the term “hearing body” is used to indicate either a hearing committee or a hearing examiner as designated in the institutional procedures. This hearing body shall operate as the hearing agent for the chancellor pursuant to s. 227.46 (4), Stats., and conduct the hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, prepare a summary of the evidence and transmit such record and summary along with its recommended findings of fact and decision to the chancellor according to s. UWS 11.07.

(2) With the concurrence of the faculty and the academic staff advisory committee of each institution, the chancellor may provide that dismissal for cause of a member of the academic staff having teaching responsibilities may be heard by the hearing body specified in s. UWS 4.03. If so provided, the hearing shall be held pursuant to the provisions of ch. UWS 11.

**History:** Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; correction in (1) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register, June, 1995, No. 474; correction in (1) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register May 2007 No. 617.

UWS 11.04 Hearing. If the staff member requests a hearing within 20 days from the service of the statement of charges (25 days if notice is by first class mail and publication), such hearing shall be held not later than 20 days after the request, except that this time limit may be extended by mutual consent of the parties or by order of the hearing body. The request for a hearing shall be addressed in writing to the hearing body established pursuant to s. UWS 11.03. Service of written notice of hearing on the specific charges shall be provided at least 10 days prior to the hearing.

**History:** Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75.

UWS 11.05 Adequate due process.

(1) Each institution shall develop policies and procedures to provide for a fair hearing upon request in the event of dismissal. A fair hearing for an academic staff member whose dismissal is sought under s. UWS 11.01 shall include the following:
(a) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary evidence upon the basis of which dismissal is sought;

(b) A right to be heard in their defense;

(c) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representative, and to offer witnesses;

(d) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the hearing committee may reasonably restrict the academic staff member and the complainant from questioning each other;

(e) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, provided at no cost;

(f) Written findings of fact and decision based on the hearing record;

(g) Admissibility of evidence governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats.

(2) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the academic staff member in s. UWS 11.05 (1) (a) to (g), except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; correction made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register, June, 1995, No. 474; correction in (1) (g) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register May 2007 No. 617; CR 15-059: am. (1) (c), (d), cr. (2); Register June 2016 No. 726; correction in (2) under 35.17, Stats., Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.

UWS 11.06 Procedural guarantees.

(1) The following requirements shall also be observed:

(a) Any person who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness shall not be qualified to participate as a member of the hearing body;

(b) The hearing shall be closed unless the staff member under charges requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open (see subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law);

(c) The hearing body shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude
immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges;

(d) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause is on the administration or its representatives;

(dm) For complaints of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence;

(e) If a staff member whose dismissal is sought has requested a hearing, discontinuance of the proceeding by the institution is deemed a withdrawal of charges and a finding that the charges were without merit;

(f) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the administration and the staff member, with the chancellor's approval, at any time prior to a final decision by the chancellor; or when appropriate, with the board's approval prior to a final decision by the board;

(g) Adjournments shall be granted to enable either party to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.

(2) If the institutional policies and procedures provide that dismissal cases be heard by a hearing committee, the following requirements shall be observed:

(a) The committee may, on motion of either party, and, if the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, on the motion of the complainant, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of replacements equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the policies and procedures adopted by the institution;

(b) If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the committee within the provisions of the policies and procedures adopted by the institution.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; correction in (1) (b) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register, April, 2001, No. 544; CR 15-059: cr. (1) (dm), am. (1) (f), (2) (a) Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.
UWS 11.07  Recommendations to the chancellor. The hearing body shall send to the chancellor and to the academic staff member concerned, as soon as practicable after conclusion of a hearing, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its report, findings, and recommendations. After reviewing the matter on record and considering arguments if submitted by the parties, the chancellor shall issue a decision. In that decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the academic staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the academic staff member. The academic staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall be notified of the chancellor's decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member, grants review based on the record. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all rights provided to the academic staff member in this paragraph.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; CR 15-059: am. Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.

UWS 11.08  Suspension from duties. Pending the final decision as to dismissal, the academic staff member with an indefinite appointment shall not be relieved of duties, except where, after consulting with the appropriate administrative officer, the chancellor finds that substantial harm may result if the staff member is continued in their position. Where such determination is made, the staff member may be relieved of their position immediately, or be assigned to another administrative unit, but their salary shall continue until the chancellor makes a decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 11.105 (1) in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 11.105 shall apply.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; CR 06-078: am. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 11.09  Date of dismissal. A decision by the chancellor ordering dismissal shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75.

UWS 11.10  Board review. A member of the academic staff on indefinite appointment who has been dismissed for cause by the chancellor following a hearing may appeal this action to the board. Any appeal must be made within 30 days of the date of the decision of the chancellor to dismiss. Upon receiving an appeal the board shall review the case on the record. Following such review the board may confirm the chancellor's decision, or direct a different decision, or approve a further hearing before the board with an opportunity for filing exceptions to the hearing body's recommendations or the chancellor's decision and for oral argument on the record. If further review with opportunity for oral argument on the record is provided, this review shall be closed
unless the staff member requests an open hearing. (See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.) All decisions of the board, whether after review on the record or after oral argument, shall be expressed in writing and shall indicate the basis for such decision. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have the same opportunity to appeal, file exceptions to the recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and oral arguments, as provided to the academic staff member.

History: Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; correction made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register, April, 2001, No. 544; CR 15-059: am. Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.

UWS 11.101—Dismissal for cause in special cases—indefinite academic staff appointments.
A member of the academic staff holding an indefinite appointment may be dismissed for serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.102.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 11.102—Serious criminal misconduct.

(1) In this chapter, “serious criminal misconduct” means:

(a) Pleading guilty or no contest to, or being convicted of a felony, in state or federal court, where one or more of the conditions in par. (b), (c), (d), or (e) are present, and the felony involves any of the following:

1. Causing serious physical injury to another person.
2. Creating a serious danger to the personal safety of another person.
4. Theft, fraud or embezzlement.
5. Criminal damage to property.
6. Stalking or harassment.

(b) A substantial risk to the safety of members of the university community or others is posed.

(e) The university’s ability, or the ability of the academic staff member’s colleagues, to fulfill teaching, research or public service missions is seriously impaired.

(d) The academic staff member’s fitness or ability to fulfill the duties of their position is seriously impaired.
The opportunity of students to learn, do research, or engage in public service is seriously impaired.

Conduct, expressions, or beliefs which are constitutionally protected, or protected by the principles of academic freedom, shall not constitute serious criminal misconduct.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, an academic staff member who has engaged in serious criminal misconduct shall be subject to the procedures set forth in ss. UWS 11.103 to 11.106.

Any act required or permitted by ss. UWS 11.103 to 11.106 to be done by the chancellor may be delegated to the provost or another designee pursuant to institutional policies forwarded to the Board of Regents under s. UWS 9.02.

“Affected party” means any student, employee, or visitor, or an individual participating in a university program or activity, who is a victim of serious criminal misconduct by a faculty member.

UWS 11.103 — Reporting responsibility. Any academic staff member who is charged with, pleads guilty or no contest to, or is convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), in state or federal court, shall immediately report that fact to the chancellor.

UWS 11.104 — Expedited process.

Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin System receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment has pleaded guilty or no contest to, or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), in state or federal court, the chancellor shall:

Within 3 working days of receipt of the report or information, inform the academic staff member of its receipt and, after consulting with appropriate institutional governance representatives, appoint an investigator to investigate the report or information and advise the chancellor as to whether to proceed under this section or ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.25. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of the report or information at the same time as the academic staff member.
(b) Upon appointing an investigator and notifying the academic staff member, afford the academic staff member 3 working days in which to request that the investigator be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In the event that the chancellor determines that a request for disqualification should be granted, the chancellor shall, within 2 working days of the determination, appoint a different investigator. The academic staff member shall have the opportunity to request that any second or subsequent investigators be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause.

(2) The investigator shall be complete and file a report with the chancellor not later than 10 working days following the investigator's appointment.

(3) Within 3 working days of receipt of the investigator's report, the chancellor shall consult with appropriate institutional governance representatives and decide whether to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.25, to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, or to discontinue the proceedings.

(a) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(b) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor shall proceed in accordance with the provisions of those sections of this chapter and implementing institutional policies. If, during the course of proceedings under ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that the academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), and one or more of the factors listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present, the chancellor may, at that point, elect to follow the procedures for dismissal pursuant to this section.

(c) If the chancellor decides to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, the procedures under ch. UWS 13 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, and implementing institutional policies, shall be followed.

(d) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.25, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(4) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (a), the academic staff member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 11.03. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 11.05 to 11.06, except that
the hearing must be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor must be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(a) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (a), the academic staff member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 11.03. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 11.05 to 11.06, except that the hearing must be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor must be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(b) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (c), the academic staff member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee or hearing examiner charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 11.23. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 11.21 to 11.23, except that the hearing must be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor must be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(5) Within 3 working days of receipt of the findings and recommendation of the committee under sub. (4), the chancellor shall prepare a written decision on the matter. In the decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the staff member. The staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to provide the affected party a copy of the chancellor's final decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member, grants a review based on the record.

(6) The administration or its representatives shall have the burden of proof to show that just cause exists for dismissal under this chapter. The administration must demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the academic staff member engaged in serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.102.

(7) The chair of the academic staff hearing body, subject to the approval of the chancellor, may extend the time limits set forth in this section if the parties are unable to obtain, in a timely manner, relevant and material testimony, physical evidence or records, or where due process otherwise requires.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; CR 15-059: am. (1) (a), (b), (5), r. and reec. (6) Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.
(a) The academic staff member has been charged with a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a) and the chancellor, after following the provisions of s. UWS 11.104 (1) through (3), finds, in addition, that there is a substantial likelihood 1) that one or more of the conditions listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present, and 2) that the academic staff member has engaged in the conduct as alleged; or

(b) The academic staff member is unable to report for work due to incarceration, conditions of bail or similar cause; or

(c) The academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or been convicted of a felony of the type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a) and one or more of the conditions in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present.

(2) If the chancellor finds that the conditions in sub. (1) are present, he or she shall immediately notify the academic staff member, in writing, of the intent to impose a suspension without pay, and shall, within 2 working days, provide the academic staff member with an opportunity to be heard with regard to the matter. The academic staff member may be represented by counsel or another at this meeting.

(3)

(a) If, after affording the academic staff member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines to suspend without pay, the chancellor shall inform the academic staff member of the suspension, in writing. The chancellor’s decision to suspend without pay under this section shall be final, except that:

(b) If the chancellor later determines that the academic staff member should not be dismissed the chancellor may discontinue the proceedings, or may impose a lesser penalty, and except as provided in par. (c), shall order the payment of back pay for any period of the suspension for which the academic staff member was willing and able to report for work;

(c) If the chancellor later determines, under par. (a) or (b), to recommend or impose as a lesser penalty the suspension of the academic staff member without pay, then any period of suspension without pay so recommended or ordered shall be offset by the period of any suspension without pay actually served by the academic staff member.

(4) If, after affording the academic staff member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines that the conditions in sub. (1) are not present or that a suspension without pay is otherwise not warranted, the provisions of s. UWS 11.08 shall apply.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 11.106—Board review. A member of the academic staff on an indefinite appointment who has been dismissed for serious criminal misconduct may appeal this action to the board as provided
in s. UWS 11.10. If the identity of an affected party is known to the university, the board shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of its decision at the same time as the academic staff member.

**History:** CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

**UWS 11.11 Dismissal for cause-fixed term or probationary academic staff appointments.**

A member of the academic staff holding a probationary appointment, or a member of the academic staff holding a fixed term appointment and having completed an initial specified period of time, may be dismissed prior to the end of the contract term only for just cause or for reasons of budget or program under ch. UWS 12. A nonrenewal of such an appointment is not a dismissal under this section. A dismissal shall not become effective until the individual concerned has received a written notification of specific charges and has been offered an opportunity for a hearing before the appropriate dean or director or his/her designee. If such hearing is requested, a determination of just cause and notification of dismissal shall be made by the dean or director or designee. If no hearing is requested the dismissal is effected by the specifications in the original notification of charges. The hearing before the dean, director, or designee shall provide the academic staff member with an opportunity to present evidence and argument concerning the allegations. Dismissal shall be effective immediately on receipt of written notification of the decision of the dean or director or designee unless a different dismissal date is specified by the dean or director. Dismissals for cause shall be appealable by filing an appeal with the hearing body established under s. UWS 11.03. The burden of proof as to the existence of just cause on appeal shall be on the administration or the authorized official. The provisions of s. UWS 11.04, procedural guarantees, contained in ss. UWS 11.05 and 11.06 and the review provisions of s. UWS 11.07, shall be applicable to the appeal proceeding. In no event, however, shall a decision favorable to the appellant extend the term of the original appointment. If a proceeding on appeal is not concluded before the appointment expiration date, the academic staff member concerned may elect that such proceeding be carried to a final decision. Unless such election is made in writing, the proceeding shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment. If the chancellor ultimately decides in favor of the appellant, salary lost during the interim period between the effective date of dismissal and the date of the chancellor's decision or the end of the contract period, whichever is earlier, shall be restored. In those cases where the immediate supervisor of the academic staff member concerned is a dean or director, the chancellor shall, to avoid potential prejudice, designate an appropriate administrative officer to act for the dean or director under this section. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have all procedural rights provided to the academic staff member in this section and the standard of proof shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. Dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in UWS 11.1413, shall be governed by UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26.

**History:** Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75; CR 15-059: am. Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.
UWS 11.12 Dismissal for cause-teaching members of the academic staff. The policies and procedures of each institution may provide that dismissal for cause of a member of the academic staff having teaching responsibilities and holding a probationary appointment or a fixed term appointment may proceed under ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10. If the institutional policies and procedures do not specifically make such provisions, dismissal for cause shall be made pursuant to s. UWS 11.11. Dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct as defined in UWS 11.4413 shall be governed by UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26.

UWS 11.13 Subchapter III - Procedures for Academic Staff Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct. Related Cases

UWS 11.13 Subchapter III definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) The board may dismiss an academic staff member for cause, or impose lesser discipline on an academic staff member, for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, and domestic violence as those terms are defined in s. UWS 11.14 (“Title IX misconduct”):

(2) Title IX misconduct allegations against academic staff shall follow the disciplinary procedure in s. UWS 11.13—s. UWS 11.26. An academic staff member may be dismissed only for just cause and may otherwise be disciplined only after due notice and hearing.

(3) The board's policy is that members of the academic staff are entitled to enjoy and exercise all rights of United States citizens and to perform their duties in accordance with appropriate professional codes of ethics. This policy shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, exists. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, is on the administration.

(4) The academic staff member is presumed to be not responsible for the alleged Title IX misconduct until a final decision regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary process. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds other discipline, is on the university administration.

UWS 11.14 Definitions.
As used in s. UWS 11.13-11.26, the following terms shall have the meaning given below:

(1) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual Title IX misconduct, as defined in this section.

(2) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in this section and s. UWS 11.015. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.
(3) "Consult" or "consulting" means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and
discretionary issues.

(4) "Dating violence" means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship
of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant, and where the existence of such a relationship
shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the
type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(5) "Domestic violence" means felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or
former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a
child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a
spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the
domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth
complainant who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of
Wisconsin. (See ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075)

(6) "Education program or activity" means, for purposes of Title IX misconduct only,
locations, events, or circumstances over which the university exercised substantial control
over both the respondent and the context in which the misconduct occurred, and also
includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially
recognized by the university.

(7) "Formal Title IX complaint" means, for the purposes of a Title IX misconduct only, a
document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual
harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against an
academic staff member and requesting that the institution investigate the allegations. At the
time of filing the formal Title IX complaint, the complainant must be participating in or
attempting to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal complaint may be
filed in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, or any other method designated by the
university. A formal Title IX complaint must include a physical or digital signature of
the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

(8) "Incapacitation" means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed rational
judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may
result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of
incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol or drugs affects a person’s
decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments;
capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is
based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the
perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

(9) "Preponderance of the evidence" means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a
proposition is more probably true than not. It is a lower standard of proof than "clear and convincing
evidence."

(10) "Respondent" means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of
Title IX misconduct as defined in this section.

(11) "Sexual assault" means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C.
1092(f)(6)(A)(v); 34 CFR 668.46(a):
a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as per s. 944.06, Stats.

c) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as per s. 948.02, Stats.

(12) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

(a) An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

(b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard, the conduct is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.

a) The conduct is so severe, pervasive, or objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an institution’s education program or activity, or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive academic, working, or program or activity-related environment.

(13) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others, or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(6) “Title IX misconduct” means sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence as defined in this section.

UWS 11.14 Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct.

(1) The board may dismiss an academic staff member for cause, or impose lesser discipline on an academic staff member, for Title IX misconduct as those terms are defined in s. UWS 11.13.

(2) Title IX misconduct allegations against academic staff shall follow the disciplinary procedure in ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26. An academic staff member may be dismissed only for just cause and may otherwise be disciplined only after due notice and hearing.
The board's policy is that members of the academic staff are entitled to enjoy and exercise all rights of United States citizens and to perform their duties in accordance with appropriate professional codes of ethics. This policy shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, exists. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, is on the administration.

The academic staff member is presumed to be not responsible for the alleged Title IX misconduct until a final decision regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary process. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds other discipline, is on the university administration.

UWS 11.15 Application of Title IX misconduct disciplinary procedure. This disciplinary procedure for Title IX misconduct will be used only when all of the following requirements are met:

1. There is a formal Title IX complaint alleging Title IX misconduct on the basis of sex.
2. The conduct occurred in the United States as defined in s. UWS 4.12.
3. The conduct occurred within the university’s education programs or activities.
4. The complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the university at the time of filing the formal Title IX complaint.
5. The complainant or Title IX Coordinator have submitted a written formal Title IX complaint as defined in s. UWS 4.12.

UWS 11.16 Dismissal of formal Title IX complaint and related appeal.

1. The university must dismiss formal Title IX complaints consisting of allegations that meet any of the following conditions:
   a. The alleged conduct would not constitute sexual harassment Title IX misconduct if proved.
   b. The alleged conduct did not occur in a university education program or activity.
   c. The alleged conduct did not involve actions against someone physically located in the United States.

2. The university may dismiss formal Title IX complaints under any of the following conditions: circumstances:
   a. The complainant formally requests in writing to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint.
   b. The academic staff member is no longer employed by the university.
   c. Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination on the allegations contained in the formal Title IX complaint.
(3) The university generally shall decide whether to dismiss a formal Title IX complaint within 30 days of receipt of the formal complaint, but the university may extend that timeline as necessary. If a formal complaint is dismissed, then the university must provide notice of the dismissal and reasons therefore to the academic staff member and complainant in writing.

(4) Within 20 days of receipt of the notice of dismissal, the complainant or academic staff member may appeal the dismissal by filing a written appeal with the chancellor. The complainant or academic staff member may appeal on any of the following bases:

(a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.

(b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the dismissal that could affect the outcome of the matter.

(c) The university employee making the dismissal decision had a conflict of interest or bias for the academic staff member or against the complainant, or against complainants or respondents generally, that affected the dismissal decision.

(5) The chancellor shall provide the academic staff member and complainant the opportunity to provide a written statement supporting or challenging the dismissal. The chancellor shall simultaneously issue a decision to the complainant and the academic staff member within 20 days of receipt of a written appeal. The chancellor’s decision on the appeal of a dismissal shall be final.

(6) The dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint does not preclude the university from otherwise pursuing discipline against the academic staff member under other administrative rules or university policies.

UWS 11.17 Investigation of Title IX misconduct allegations.

(1) Unless the university dismisses a formal complaint, the university shall appoint an investigator to conduct an investigation of the allegations in the formal complaint.

(2) The investigator shall provide the academic staff member and the complainant with a notice of investigation. The notice must include all of the following:

(a) Information about the grievance process, including informal resolution options.

(b) The allegations of Title IX sexual misconduct with sufficient detail for the academic staff member to prepare a response to the allegations, including the identity of the complainant as well as the date and location of the incident if available.

(c) A statement affirming the academic staff member is presumed not responsible for the alleged violation until the disciplinary process finds otherwise.

(d) The academic staff member and complainant have the right to an advisor of their choice.
(e) The academic staff member and complainant have the right to inspect and review the evidence.

(f) Information about any code of conduct rules which prohibit the academic staff member or the complainant from knowingly making false statements or submitting false information during the disciplinary process.

(3) The parties shall receive an amended notice of investigation any time additional charges are added during the course of an investigation. Formal Title IX complaints involving more than one complainant or respondent may be consolidated if they arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

(4) The university’s investigator shall meet the following requirements:

(a) Provide both the academic staff member and the complainant an equal opportunity to provide witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, who may be interviewed by the investigators and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.

(b) Not restrict the ability of either the academic staff member or complainant to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.

(c) Provide the academic staff member and complainant the same opportunity to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice during meetings relating to the investigation but may limit the participation by the advisor so long as those limits are applied equally.

(d) Provide both the academic staff member and the complainant an equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from an academic staff member, complainant, or other source, so that the academic staff member and complainant can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(5) As part of its investigation and disciplinary process, the university may not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use an academic staff member's or complainant’s records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the academic staff member or complainant, unless the university obtains the academic staff member’s or complainant’s voluntary, written consent to do so in relation to the investigation and disciplinary process.

(6) The university’s investigator generally shall complete the investigation and issue a final investigative report within 90 days of the investigator’s appointment. However, the investigator may extend the investigation’s time frame where circumstances warrant.
UWS 11.18 Review of evidence.

(1) Prior to completion of the final investigative report, the investigator shall send to the academic staff member and complainant and their respective advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the investigation for inspection and review by the academic staff member and the complainant. The evidence may be provided in an electronic format or a hard copy. The evidence provided includes evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from the academic staff member, complainant or other source to permit the academic staff member and complainant to meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(2) As part of its investigation and disciplinary process, the university may not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use an academic staff member's or complainant’s records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the academic staff member or complainant, unless the university obtains the academic staff member’s or complainant’s voluntary, written consent to do so in relation to the investigation and disciplinary process.

(3) The academic staff member and the complainant shall have at least 10 days to submit a written response to the initial investigative report and related evidence. The investigator shall consider any written responses prior to completion of the final investigative report.

UWS 11.19 Final investigative report. The investigator shall create a final investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and send the report to the academic staff member and the complainant, and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the academic staff member and complainant, at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The university shall, upon receipt of the final investigative report, proceed to schedule a live hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the academic staff member and the complainant both waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing.
UWS 11.20 Standing academic staff committee and hearing examiner.

(1) The chancellor of each university, in consultation with academic staff representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a Title IX misconduct hearing examiner ("The chancellor shall select a hearing examiner"). A hearing examiner shall be selected by the chancellor pursuant to these policies to hear academic staff dismissal and discipline cases. Additionally, the academic staff of each university shall provide a standing committee ("hearing committee") charged with hearing academic staff dismissal and discipline cases. The chancellor shall appoint the presiding member of the hearing committee, who may be a hearing examiner. The university shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee will hear the matter.

(2) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall conduct the hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, and transmit such record along with factual findings and decision to the chancellor. The hearing shall be held no later than 45 days after completion of the final investigative report except that this time limit may be extended by the hearing committee or the hearing examiner.

UWS 11.21 Hearing Adequate due process.

(1) A fair hearing for an academic staff member against whom dismissal or other discipline is sought shall include all of the following:

(a) Service of written notice of a live hearing on the allegations in the formal complaint at least 10 days prior to the hearing.

(b) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary and other evidence upon the basis of which dismissal or other discipline is sought.

(c) A right for the complainant and academic staff member to be heard in his or her defense on their own behalf.

(d) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representatives, and to offer witnesses. The academic staff member’s or complainant’s advisor or counsel may ask all witnesses relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility. Credibility determinations, however, may not be made based on a person’s status as a complainant, respondent, or witness. If the academic staff member or complainant does not have an advisor, the university shall provide the academic staff member or complainant, without charge, an advisor of the university’s choice to conduct cross-examination on behalf of the academic staff member or complainant. The advisor may be an attorney.

(e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. The academic staff member’s or complainant’s advisor shall conduct cross examination and in real time by the academic staff member’s advisor. The academic staff member and the complainant may not personally conduct cross examination. If the academic staff member, the complainant, or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, the hearing committee or the hearing
examiner may not rely on any statement of the academic staff member, complainant, or witness in reaching its findings and recommendations. However, the hearing committee or hearing examiner may not draw a negative inference in reaching its findings and recommendations based solely on the absence of an academic staff member, complainant, or witness from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.

(f) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, made available at no cost for inspection and review.

(g) Written findings of fact supporting the decision based on the hearing record. The written findings of fact and decision shall include all of the following:

1. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX misconduct as defined in this chapter.

2. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s decision, including any notifications to the academic staff member and the complainant, interviews with the academic staff member, the complainant, and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather evidence, and hearings held.

3. Conclusions regarding the application of the university’s conduct rules and policies to the facts; a statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a determination regarding responsibility, any disciplinary sanction recommended to be imposed, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s educational program or activity will be provided to the complainant.

4. The university’s procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and academic staff member to appeal.

(h) Admissibility of evidence shall be governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats. Only relevant questions may be asked of the academic staff member, the complainant, and any witnesses. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall determine whether a question is relevant and explain the decision to exclude a question as not relevant. Questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions or evidence are offered to prove that someone other than the academic staff member committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or unless the questions or evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with the academic staff member and are offered to prove consent.

(i) The hearing may be conducted with all participants physically present in the same location, or at the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s discretion, any or all participants may appear at the hearing virtually, with technology enabling the participants simultaneously to see and hear each other. Upon the academic staff member’s or complainant’s request, the university shall provide for the hearing to
occur with the academic staff member and complainant located in separate rooms with technology enabling the hearing committee or hearing examiner, the academic staff member, and the complainant to simultaneously see and hear witnesses answering questions.

(2) The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the academic staff member in sub. (1) (a) to (i).

UWS 11.22 Procedural guarantees.

(1) Any hearing held shall comply with the requirements set forth in the preceding section. All of the following requirements shall also be observed:

(a) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause to support dismissal, or of adequate causegrounds to support other discipline, is on the university administration.

(b) The standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence.

(c) No academic staff member who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or who participated in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to sit on the hearing committee in that case.

(d) No university employee or other person who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or who participated in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to serve as the hearing examiner in that case.

(e) The hearing shall be closed unless the academic staff member or the complainant requests an open hearing; in which case it shall be open as per.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(f) The hearing committee may, on motion of the complainant or the academic staff member, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the academic staff equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the academic staff establishing the standing committee under this rule.

(g) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges unless the person holding the privilege has waived it. The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall follow the evidentiary rules in s. UWS 11.21(1)(h).
If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the hearing committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the hearing committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the hearing committee within the provisions of the rules and procedures adopted by the academic staff of the institution in establishing the standing academic staff committee under this policy.

If the Title IX disciplinary process described in ss. UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26 against an academic staff member is not concluded before the academic staff member's appointment would expire, the academic staff member may elect that such process be carried to a final decision. Unless the academic staff member so elects in writing, the process shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment.

Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the university administration, the complainant, and the academic staff member.

Delay or adjournment of the hearing for good cause may be granted. Good cause includes any of the following:

1. The need to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.
2. To ensure the presence of the academic staff member or the complainant, an advisor, or a witness.
3. To provide language assistance or accommodation of disabilities.
4. To accommodate concurrent law enforcement activity.

UWS 11.23 Hearing committee or hearing examiner findings and recommendations to the chancellor.

The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall simultaneously send to the chancellor, to the complainant, and to the academic staff member concerned, within 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or otherwise as soon as practicable, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its factual findings and recommendations.

UWS 11.24 Chancellor’s decision

(1) After reviewing the matter on the record and considering any arguments if submitted by the parties, the chancellor shall issue a decision. The chancellor may adopt the hearing committee or hearing examiner’s findings and recommendations as the chancellor’s decision. The chancellor shall explain in the decision any substantial differences from those findings and recommendations. If the chancellor's proposed decision differs substantially from those recommendations, the chancellor shall promptly consult the hearing committee or the hearing examiner and provide the committee or the hearing examiner with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to making a decision. In that decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the academic staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of
the academic staff member. The academic staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's
decision in writing. The complainant shall be notified of the chancellor's decision at the same
time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon
request of the academic staff member or complainant, grants review based on the record.

(2) The chancellor’s decision shall be based on the record created before the hearing
committee or hearing examiner, and the chancellor shall include the chancellor’s rationale in the
decision. The chancellor’s decision shall be simultaneously sent to the academic staff member
concerned, the complainant, and to the hearing committee or the hearing examiner within 45
days of the chancellor’s receipt of the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s materials. A
decision by the chancellor ordering dismissal shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

UWS 11.25 Appeal to the board.

(1) A member of the academic staff who has been dismissed for cause by the chancellor
following a hearing may file an appeal of the chancellor’s decision to the board. Any appeal
shall be made within 30 days of the date of the decision of the chancellor to dismiss. The board shall provide the academic staff member and the
complainant an opportunity for filing written exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and for
oral arguments, unless the academic staff member and the complainant waive in writing the
right to file exceptions and for oral arguments. The hearing of any oral arguments shall be
closed unless the academic staff member or the complainant requests an open hearing. See
subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(2) The academic staff member or complainant may file written exceptions to the chancellor’s
decision, and the board shall conduct its review of the chancellor’s decision, on any of the
following bases:

(a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.

(b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the live hearing that
could affect the outcome of the matter.

(c) The Title IX coordinator, investigator, the chancellor, the hearing examiner, or the
hearing committee members had a conflict of interest or bias for or against the
academic staff member or complainant, or against complainants and respondents
generally, that affected the outcome.

(3) If the board decides to take action different from the decision of the chancellor, then
before taking final action the board shall consult with the chancellor.

(4) The board shall make its decision based on the record created before the hearing
committee or hearing examiner. Within 60 days of receipt of the chancellor’s decision, or
otherwise as soon as practicable, the board shall simultaneously notify the academic staff
member and the complainant of the board's final decision, which shall include the board’s
rationale for its decision.
A decision by the board ordering dismissal of an academic staff member shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

**UWS 11.26 Suspension from duties in Title IX misconduct dismissal cases.** Pending the final decision as to dismissal, an academic staff member with an indefinite appointment may not be relieved of duties, except where, after consulting with the appropriate administrative officer, the chancellor finds that substantial harm may result if the staff member is continued in his or her position. Where such determination is made, the staff member may be relieved of his or her position immediately, or be assigned to another administrative unit, but his or her salary shall continue until the chancellor makes a decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 11.105 in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 11.105 shall apply.

**History:** Cr. Register, October, 1975, No. 238, eff. 11-1-75.

**Subchapter IV - Procedures for Dismissal for cause in special cases - indefinite academic staff appointments.**

**UWS 11.27 Subchapter IV definitions.** In this subchapter:

(1) “Affected party” means any student, employee, visitor, or an individual participating in a university program or activity, who is a victim of an academic staff member’s serious criminal misconduct.

**UWS 11.28 Dismissal for cause in special cases - indefinite academic staff appointments.** A member of the academic staff holding an indefinite appointment may be dismissed for serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.29.

**History:** CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

**UWS 11.29 Serious criminal misconduct.**

(1) In this chapter, “serious criminal misconduct” means:

(a) Pleading guilty or no contest to, or being convicted of a felony, in state or federal court, where one or more of the conditions in par. (b), (c), (d), or (e) are present, and the felony involves any of the following:

1. Causing serious physical injury to another person.
2. Creating a serious danger to the personal safety of another person.
4. Theft, fraud or embezzlement.
5. Criminal damage to property.

6. Stalking or harassment.

(b) A substantial risk to the safety of members of the university community or others is posed.

(c) The university's ability, or the ability of the academic staff member's colleagues, to fulfill teaching, research or public service missions is seriously impaired.

(d) The academic staff member's fitness or ability to fulfill the duties of their position is seriously impaired.

(e) The opportunity of students to learn, do research, or engage in public service is seriously impaired.

(2) Conduct, expressions, or beliefs which are constitutionally protected, or protected by the principles of academic freedom, shall not constitute serious criminal misconduct.

(3) Except as otherwise expressly provided, an academic staff member who has engaged in serious criminal misconduct shall be subject to the procedures set forth in ss. UWS 11.103 to 11.106.

(4) Any act required or permitted by ss. UWS 11.103 to 11.106 to be done by the chancellor may be delegated to the provost or another designee pursuant to institutional policies forwarded to the Board of Regents under s. UWS 9.02.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; 2015 Wis. Act 330 s. 20: am. (4) Register April 2016 No. 724, eff. 5-1-16.

UWS 11.30 Reporting responsibility. Any academic staff member who is charged with, pleads guilty or no contest to, or is convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), in state or federal court, shall immediately report that fact to the chancellor.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 11.31 Expedited process.

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin System receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment has pleaded guilty or no contest to, or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), in state or federal court, the chancellor shall:

(a) Within 3 working days of receipt of the report or information, inform the academic staff member of its receipt and, after consulting with appropriate institutional
governance representatives, appoint an investigator to investigate the report or information and advise the chancellor as to whether to proceed under this section or ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of the report or information at the same time as the academic staff member.

(b) Upon appointing an investigator and notifying the academic staff member, afford the academic staff member 3 working days in which to request that the investigator be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In the event that the chancellor determines that a request for disqualification should be granted, the chancellor shall, within 2 working days of the determination, appoint a different investigator. The academic staff member shall have the opportunity to request that any second or subsequent investigators be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause.

(2) The investigator shall be complete and file a report with the chancellor not later than 10 working days following the investigator's appointment.

(3) Within 3 working days of receipt of the investigator's report, the chancellor shall consult with appropriate institutional governance representatives and decide whether to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, or to discontinue the proceedings.

(a) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(b) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor shall proceed in accordance with the provisions of those sections of this chapter and implementing institutional policies. If during the course of proceedings under ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that the academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), and one or more of the factors listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present, the chancellor may, at that point, elect to follow the procedures for dismissal pursuant to this section.

(c) If the chancellor decides to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, the procedures under ch. UWS 13 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, and implementing institutional policies, shall be followed.
(4) If charges seeking dismissal are filed under sub. (3) (a), the academic staff member shall be afforded a hearing before the institutional standing committee charged with hearing dismissal cases and making recommendations under s. UWS 11.03. The hearing shall provide the procedural guarantees enumerated under ss. UWS 11.05 to 11.06, except that the hearing must be concluded, and written findings and a recommendation to the chancellor must be prepared, within 15 working days of the filing of charges.

(5) Within 3 working days of receipt of the findings and recommendation of the committee under sub. (4), the chancellor shall prepare a written decision on the matter. In the decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the staff member. The staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to provide the affected party a copy of the chancellor's final decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member, grants a review based on the record.

(6) The administration or its representatives shall have the burden of proof to show that just cause exists for dismissal under this chapter. The administration shall demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the academic staff member engaged in serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.102.

(7) The chair of the academic staff hearing body, subject to the approval of the chancellor, may extend the time limits set forth in this section if the parties are unable to obtain, in a timely manner, relevant and material testimony, physical evidence or records, or where due process otherwise requires.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07; CR 15-059: am. (1) (a), (b), (5), r. and recr. (6) Register June 2016 No. 726, eff. 7-1-16.

UWS 11.32 Temporary suspension from duties without pay.

(1) The chancellor, after consulting with appropriate academic staff governance representatives, may suspend an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment from duties without pay pending the final decision as to dismissal where:

(a) The academic staff member has been charged with a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a) and the chancellor, after following the provisions of s. UWS 11.104 (1) through (3), finds, in addition, that there is a substantial likelihood 1) that one or more of the conditions listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present, and 2) that the academic staff member has engaged in the conduct as alleged; or

(b) The academic staff member is unable to report for work due to incarceration, conditions of bail or similar cause; or
(c) The academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or been convicted of a felony of the type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a) and one or more of the conditions in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e) are present.

(2) If the chancellor finds that the conditions in sub. (1) are present, he or she shall immediately notify the academic staff member, in writing, of the intent to impose a suspension without pay, and shall, within 2 working days, provide the academic staff member with an opportunity to be heard with regard to the matter. The academic staff member may be represented by counsel or another at this meeting.

(3)

(a) If, after affording the academic staff member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines to suspend without pay, the chancellor shall inform the academic staff member of the suspension, in writing. The chancellor's decision to suspend without pay under this section shall be final, except that:

(b) If the chancellor later determines that the academic staff member should not be dismissed the chancellor may discontinue the proceedings, or may impose a lesser penalty, and except as provided in par. (c), shall order the payment of back pay for any period of the suspension for which the academic staff member was willing and able to report for work;

(c) If the chancellor later determines, under par. (a) or (b), to recommend or impose as a lesser penalty the suspension of the academic staff member without pay, then any period of suspension without pay so recommended or ordered shall be offset by the period of any suspension without pay actually served by the academic staff member.

(4) If, after affording the academic staff member the opportunity to be heard, the chancellor determines that the conditions in sub. (1) are not present or that a suspension without pay is otherwise not warranted, the provisions of s. UWS 11.08 shall apply.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.

UWS 11.33 Board review. A member of the academic staff on an indefinite appointment who has been dismissed for serious criminal misconduct may appeal this action to the board as provided in s. UWS 11.10. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the board shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of its decision at the same time as the academic staff member.

History: CR 06-078: cr. Register May 2007 No. 617, eff. 6-1-07.
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Note: Chapter UWS 17 as it existed on August 31, 1996 was repealed and a new chapter UWS 17 was created effective September 1, 1996. Chapter UWS 17 as it existed on August 31, 2009, was repealed and a new chapter UWS 17 was created effective September 1, 2009.

**Subchapter I - General**

UWS 17.01 Policy statement. The missions of the University of Wisconsin System and its individual institutions can be realized only if the university's teaching, learning, research, and service activities occur in living and learning environments that are safe and free from violence, harassment, fraud, theft, disruption and intimidation. In promoting such environments, the university has a responsibility to address student nonacademic misconduct; this responsibility is separate from and independent of any civil or criminal action resulting from a student's conduct. This chapter defines nonacademic misconduct, provides university procedures for effectively addressing misconduct, and offers educational responses to misconduct. The University of Wisconsin System is committed to respecting students' constitutional rights. Nothing in this chapter is intended to restrict students' constitutional rights, including rights of freedom of speech or to peaceably assemble with others.

UWS 17.02 Definitions. In this chapter:

(1) “Chief administrative officer” means the chancellor of an institution or their designees.

(2) “Clear and convincing evidence" means information that would persuade a reasonable person to have a firm belief that a proposition is more likely true than not true. It is a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence."

(2m) “Complainant" means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16.

(2g) “Consent" means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other conduct defined in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in s. UWS 17.16. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(3) “Days" means calendar days.

(4) “Delivered" means sent by electronic means to the student's official university email address and, in addition, provided by any of the following methods:

(a) Given personally.

(b) Placed in the student's official university mailbox.

(c) Mailed by regular first-class United States mail to the student's current address as maintained by the institution.
“Disciplinary file” means the record maintained by the student affairs officer responsible for student discipline.

“Disciplinary probation” means a status in which a student may remain enrolled in the university only upon the condition that the student complies with specified standards of conduct or other requirements or restrictions on privileges, for a specified period of time, not to exceed two years.

“Disciplinary sanction” means any action listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) taken in response to student nonacademic misconduct.

“Education program or activity” includes means, for purposes of a Title IX Complaint misconduct only, locations, events, or circumstances over which the university exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the relevant misconduct occurs, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

“Expulsion” means termination of student status with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.

“Formal complaint” is, for the purposes of a Title IX complaint only, a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against an academic staff member or a student and requesting that the institution investigate the allegations. At the time of filing of the formal Title IX complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal Title IX complaint may be filed in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, or any other method designated by the university. A formal Title IX complaint shall include a physical or digital signature of the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

“Hearing examiner” means an individual, other than the investigating officer, appointed by the chief administrative officer in accordance with s. UWS 17.06 (2) for the purpose of conducting a hearing under s. UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18.

“Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments; capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

“Institution” means any university, or an organizational equivalent designated by the board.
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(11) "Investigating officer" means an individual, or their designee, appointed by the chief administrative officer of each institution, to conduct investigations of nonacademic misconduct under this chapter.

(12) "Nonacademic misconduct hearing committee" or "committee" means the committee appointed pursuant to s. UWS 17.07 to conduct hearings under s. UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18.

(12m) “Party” refers to a respondent or complainant involved in a disciplinary procedure under 17.12 or 17.18-Subchapter III.

(13) “Preponderance of the evidence” means information that would persuade a reasonable person that a proposition is more probably true than not true. It is a lower standard of proof than “clear and convincing evidence” and is the minimum standard for a finding of responsibility under this chapter.

(13m) “Respondent," for conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, means any student who was registered for study in an institution for the academic period, or between academic periods for continuing students, when the misconduct occurred and has been reported to have violated UWS 17.09 or UWS 17.16

(14) “Student" means any person who is registered for study in an institution for the academic period in which the misconduct occurred, or between academic periods, for continuing students.

(15) “Student affairs officer" means the dean of students or, student affairs officer, or other personnel designated by the chief administrative officer to coordinate disciplinary hearings and carry out duties described in this chapter.

(16) “Suspension" means a loss of student status for a specified length of time, not to exceed two years, with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.

(17) “University lands" means all real property owned by, leased by, or otherwise subject to the control of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

UWS 17.03 Consistent institutional policies. Each institution is authorized to adopt policies consistent with this chapter. A copy of such policies shall be filed with the Board of Regents and the University of Wisconsin System office of academic affairs.

UWS 17.04 Notice to students. Each institution shall publish ch. UWS 17 on its website and shall make ch. UWS 17 and any institutional policies implementing ch. UWS 17 freely available to students through the website or other means.

UWS 17.05 Designation of investigating officer. The chief administrative officer of each institution shall designate an investigating officer or officers for allegations of student nonacademic misconduct. The investigating officer shall investigate student nonacademic misconduct and initiate procedures for nonacademic misconduct under ss. UWS 17.11 and UWS 17.17. For allegations involving sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, the Title IX Coordinator or designee shall serve as the investigating officer.
UWS 17.06 Nonacademic misconduct hearing examiner.
   (1) The chief administrative officer of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a student nonacademic misconduct hearing examiner to fulfill the responsibilities of the nonacademic misconduct hearing examiner in this chapter.

   (2) A hearing examiner shall be selected by the chief administrative officer pursuant to the policies adopted under sub. (1).

UWS 17.07 Nonacademic misconduct hearing committee.
   (1) The chief administrative officer of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the establishment of a student nonacademic misconduct hearing committee to fulfill the responsibilities of the nonacademic misconduct hearing committee in this chapter.

   (2) A student nonacademic misconduct hearing committee shall consist of at least three persons, including at least one student, except that no such committee shall be constituted with a majority of members who are students. The presiding officer, who may be the hearing examiner designated pursuant to s. UWS 17.06, shall be appointed by the chief administrative officer. The presiding officer and at least one other member shall constitute a quorum at any hearing held pursuant to due notice.

UWS 17.08 Nonacademic misconduct occurring on or outside of university lands.
   (1) Misconduct on university lands. Except as provided in s. UWS 17.08 (2), the provisions contained in this chapter shall apply to the student conduct described in ss. UWS 17.09 and UWS 17.16 that occurs on university lands or at university-sponsored events.

   (2) Misconduct outside of university lands. The provisions contained in this chapter may apply to the student conduct described in ss. UWS 17.09 and UWS 17.16 that occurs outside of university lands only when, in the judgment of the investigating officer, the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest. In determining whether the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest, the investigating officer shall consider whether the conduct meets one or more of the following conditions:

   (a) The conduct constitutes or would constitute a serious criminal offense, regardless of the existence of any criminal proceedings.

   (b) The conduct indicates that the student presented or may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of themselves or others.

   (c) The conduct demonstrates a pattern of behavior that seriously impairs the university's ability to fulfill its teaching, research, or public service missions.

Subchapter II - Procedures for Student Nonacademic Discipline in Non-Sexual Misconduct Cases

UWS 17.09 Conduct subject to disciplinary action under ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.15. In accordance with s. UWS 17.08, the university may discipline a student for engaging in, attempting to engage
in, or assisting others to engage in any of the following types of nonacademic misconduct. Conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09 shall use the disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes detailed in ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.15. However, at the university’s discretion, conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, when arising out of the same facts and circumstances as sexual misconduct defined in s. 17.16, may be consolidated with such charges and addressed with the sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes detailed in ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

(1) DANGEROUS CONDUCT. Conduct that endangers or threatens the health or safety of oneself or another person.

(2) HARASSMENT. Conduct defined in s. 947.013, Stats.

(3) HAZING. Conduct defined in s. 948.51, Stats.

(4) ILLEGAL USE, POSSESSION, MANUFACTURE, OR DISTRIBUTION OF ALCOHOL OR CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES. Use, possession, manufacture, or distribution of alcoholic beverages or of marijuana, narcotics, or other controlled substances, except as expressly permitted by law or university policy.

(5) UNAUTHORIZED USE OF OR DAMAGE TO PROPERTY. Unauthorized possession of, use of, moving of, tampering with, damage to, or destruction of university property or the property of others.

(6) DISRUPTION OF UNIVERSITY-AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES. Conduct that obstructs or impairs university-run or university-authorized activities, or that interferes with or impedes the ability of a person to participate in university-run or university-authorized activities.

(7) FORGERY OR FALSIFICATION. Unauthorized possession of or fraudulent creation, alteration, or misuse of any university or other governmental document, record, key, electronic device, or identification.

(8) MISUSE OF COMPUTING RESOURCES. Conduct that involves any of the following:

   (a) Failure to comply with laws, license agreements, and contracts governing university computer network, software, and hardware use.

   (b) Use of university computing resources for unauthorized commercial purposes or personal gain.

   (c) Failure to protect a personal password or university-authorized account.

   (d) Breach of computer security, invasion of privacy, or unauthorized access to university computing resources.

(9) FALSE STATEMENT OR REFUSAL TO COMPLY REGARDING A UNIVERSITY MATTER. Making a knowingly false oral or written statement to any university employee or agent of the
university regarding a university matter, or refusal to comply with a reasonable request on a university matter.

(10) V IOLATION OF CRIMINAL LAW. Conduct that constitutes a criminal offense as defined by state or federal law.

(11) S ERIOUS AND REPEATED VIOLATIONS OF MUNICIPAL LAW. Serious and repeated off-campus violations of municipal law.

(12) V IOLATION OF CH. UWS 18. Conduct that violates ch. UWS 18, including, but not limited to, provisions regulating fire safety, theft, and dangerous weapons.

(13) V IOLATION OF UNIVERSITY RULES. Conduct that violates any published university rules, regulations, or policies, including provisions contained in university contracts with students.

(14) N ONCOMPLIANCE WITH DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS. Conduct that violates a sanction, requirement, or restriction imposed in connection with previous disciplinary action.

(15) R ETALIATION. Intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured in ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

UWS 17.10 Disciplinary sanctions.

(1) The disciplinary sanctions that may be imposed for nonacademic misconduct, in accordance with the procedures of ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.13, and ss. 17.17 to 17.19 are any of the following:

(a) A written reprimand.
(b) Denial of specified university privileges.
(c) Payment of restitution.
(d) Educational or service sanctions, including community service.
(e) Disciplinary probation.
(f) Imposition of reasonable terms and conditions on continued student status.
(g) Removal from a course in progress.
(h) Enrollment restrictions on a course or program.
(i) Suspension.
(j) Expulsion.

(2) One or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in sub. (1) may be imposed for an incident of nonacademic misconduct.

(3) Disciplinary sanctions shall not include the termination or revocation of student financial aid; however, this shall not be interpreted as precluding the individual operation of rules or standards governing eligibility for student financial aid under which the imposition of a disciplinary sanction could result in disqualification of a student for financial aid.
UWS 17.11 Disciplinary procedure.

(1) PROCESS. The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) for conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09.

(2) CONFERENCE WITH RESPONDENT. When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the investigating officer shall promptly contact the respondent in person, by telephone, or by electronic mail to offer to discuss the matter, review the investigating officer's basis for believing that the respondent engaged in nonacademic misconduct, and to afford the respondent an opportunity to respond. If the respondent fails to respond to the investigating officer, the investigating officer may proceed to make a determination on the basis of the available information.

(3) DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT NO DISCIPLINARY SANCTION IS WARRANTED. If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (2) or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did not in fact occur, or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted under the circumstances, the matter shall be considered resolved without the necessity for further action. The investigating officer shall notify the respondent.

(4) PROCESS FOLLOWING DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT NONACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED.

(a) If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (2) or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did occur and that one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWS 17.10 (1) should be recommended, the investigating officer shall prepare a written report which shall contain all of the following:

1. A description of the alleged misconduct.
2. A description of all information available to the university regarding the alleged misconduct.
4. Notice of the respondent's right to a hearing.
5. A copy of this chapter and of the institutional procedures adopted to implement this section.

(b) The written report shall be delivered to the respondent.

(c) A respondent who receives a written report under this section has the right to a hearing under s. UWS 17.12 to contest the determination that nonacademic misconduct occurred, the choice of disciplinary sanctions, or both.
1. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g), and if the respondent desires a hearing, the respondent shall file a written request with the student affairs officer within 10 days of the date the written report is delivered to the respondent. If the respondent does not request a hearing within this period, the determination of nonacademic misconduct shall be regarded as final, and the disciplinary sanction sought shall be imposed.

2. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j), the investigating officer shall forward a copy of the written report under par. (b) to the student affairs officer. The student affairs officer shall, upon receipt of the written report, proceed under s. UWS 17.12 to schedule a hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the respondent waives, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

UWS 17.12  Hearing.

(1) A respondent who requests a hearing, or for whom a hearing is scheduled under s. UWS 17.11 (4) (c) 2. for conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee.

(2) If a respondent requests a hearing under s. UWS 17.11 (4) (c) 1., or a hearing is required to be scheduled under s. UWS 17.11 (4) (c) 2., the student affairs officer shall take the necessary steps to convene the hearing and shall schedule it within 15 days of receipt of the request or written report. The hearing shall be conducted within 45 days of receipt of the request or written report, unless a different time period is mutually agreed upon by the respondent and investigating officer or is ordered or permitted by the hearing examiner or committee.

(3) No less than 5 days in advance of the hearing, the hearing examiner or committee shall obtain from the investigating officer, in writing, a full explanation of the facts upon which the determination of misconduct was based, and shall provide the respondent with access to or copies of the investigating officer's explanation, together with any other materials provided to the hearing examiner or committee by the investigating officer, including any additional available information of the type described in s. UWS 17.11 (4) (a) 2.

(4) The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the following guidance and requirements:

(a) The hearing process shall further the educational purposes and reflect the university context of nonacademic misconduct proceedings. The process need not conform to state or federal rules of criminal or civil procedure, except as expressly provided in ch. UWS 17.

(b) The respondent shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present information and witnesses, the right to be heard on their own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by an advisor of the respondent's choice. The advisor may be a lawyer. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (h), the advisor may counsel the respondent but may not directly question adverse witnesses, present information or witnesses, or speak on behalf of the respondent except at the discretion of the hearing examiner or committee. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (i) or (j), or where the respondent...
has been charged with a crime in connection with the same conduct for which the
disciplinary sanction is sought, the advisor may question adverse witnesses, present
information and witnesses, and speak on behalf of the respondent. In accordance with the
educational purposes of the hearing, the respondent is expected to respond on their own
behalf to questions asked of them during the hearing.

(c) The hearing examiner or committee:

1. Shall admit information that has reasonable value in proving the facts, but may
   exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony.

2. Shall observe recognized legal privileges.

3. May take reasonable steps to maintain order, and to adopt procedures for the
   questioning of a witness appropriate to the circumstances of that witness's
   testimony, provided, however, whatever procedure is adopted, the respondent is
   allowed to effectively question the witness.

(d) The hearing examiner or committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record
shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a
file of the exhibits offered at the hearing. The respondent may access the record, except
as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(e) The hearing examiner or committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written
statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing.

(f) A hearing examiner's or committee's finding of nonacademic misconduct shall be
based on one of the following:

1. Clear and convincing evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of those
   listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j).

2. A preponderance of the evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of
   those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g).

(g) The hearing examiner or committee may impose one or more of the disciplinary
sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g) that differs from the recommendation of
the investigating officer. Sanctions under s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j) may not be imposed
unless previously recommended by the investigating officer.

(h) The hearing shall be conducted by the hearing examiner or committee, and the
university's case against the respondent shall be presented by the investigating officer or
their designee.

(i) The decision of the hearing examiner or committee shall be prepared within 14 days of
the hearing, and delivered to the respondent, excluding information that may be
precluded by state or federal law. The decision shall become final within 14 days of the
date on the written decision, unless an appeal is taken under s. UWS 17.13.
(j) If the respondent fails to appear at a schedule hearing and to proceed, the hearing examiner or committee may issue a decision based upon the information provided.

(k) Disciplinary hearings are subject to the Wisconsin open meetings law and may be closed if the respondent requests a closed hearing or if the hearing examiner or committee determines that it is necessary to hold a closed hearing, as permitted under the Wisconsin open meetings law. Deliberations of the committee shall be held in closed session, in accordance with s. 19.85, Stats. As such, proper notice and other applicable rules shall be followed.

UWS 17.13 Appeal to the chancellor.

(1) For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, where the sanction prescribed by the hearing examiner or committee is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j), the respondent may appeal in writing to the chief administrative officer within 14 days of the date of the written decision to review the decision of the hearing examiner or committee, based upon the record.

(2) The chief administrative officer has 30 days from receipt of an appeal to respond and shall sustain the decision unless the chief administrative officer finds any of the following:

(a) The information in the record does not support the findings or decision.

(b) Appropriate procedures were not followed which resulted in material prejudice to the respondent.

(c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law.

(3) If the chief administrative officer makes a finding under sub. (2), they may return the matter for consideration, or may invoke an appropriate remedy of their own. The chief administrative officer's decision shall be communicated to the respondent.

UWS 17.14 Discretionary appeal to the Board of Regents. For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, institutional decisions under ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.13 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any respondent within 14 days of the final institutional decision.

UWS 17.15 Settlement. For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, the procedures set forth in this chapter allow the university and a respondent to enter into a settlement agreement regarding the alleged misconduct, after proper notice has been given. Any such agreement and its terms shall be in writing and signed by the respondent and the investigating officer or student affairs officer. The case is concluded when a copy of the signed agreement is delivered to the respondent.

Subchapter III - Procedures for Student Nonacademic Discipline in Sexual Misconduct Cases

UWS 17.16 Sexual Misconduct subject to disciplinary action under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21. In accordance with s. UWS 17.08, the university may discipline a student for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in any of the following types of nonacademic misconduct. Conduct Sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16 (‘‘sexual
misconduct shall use the disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes
detailed in ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

(1) SEXUAL HARASSMENT. When: Conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies any of the
following:

(4) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a
person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using any of the
following legal “reasonable person” standard:
(a) The conduct is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively
denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.
(b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when
using the legal “reasonable person” standard, is so severe, or pervasive or and
objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering
with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in
institutions sponsored or supported activity, or creates an
intimidating, hostile, or offensive academic, working, or program or activity
related environment.

(2) SEXUAL ASSAULT. An offense that meets the definition of rape, fondling, incest, or statutory
(a) Rape: The penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus, with any body
part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of another person, without the
consent of the complainant.
(b) Fondling: The touching of the private body parts of another person for the purpose
of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances
where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of
temporary or permanent mental incapacity.
(c) Incest: Sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within
the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law (See as per s. 944.06, Stats.)
(d) Statutory Rape: Sexual intercourse with a person who is under the statutory age of
consent (See as per s. 948.02, Stats.)

(3) DATING VIOLENCE. Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social
relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of
such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the
length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between
the persons involved in the relationship.

(4) DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. Felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current
or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the
complainant shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has
cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly
situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth individual who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin. (See as per ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075, Stats.)

(5) Stalking. Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(6) Sexual exploitation. Occurs when an individual attempts, takes, or threatens to take nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include, but are not limited to:

(a) Engaging in any of the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:

1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of one or more complainants.

2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of one or more complainants.

3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the same sexual activity or private body parts of one or more complainants.

(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in another person’s presence without the consent of that person, or inducing another person to do the same.

(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual contact or sexual intercourse.

(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making another person vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.

(e) Coercing another person to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.

(f) Threatening distribution of any of the following, to coerce someone into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:

1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of one or more complainants.

2. Other information of a sexual nature, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

UWS 17.17 Sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure.

(1) Process.

(a) The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1), for sexual misconduct defined in s. UWS 17.16, and Conduct described in s.
UWS 17.09 when may be consolidated with sexual misconduct charges pursuant to this section and consistent with s. UWS 17.08.

(a) The university may consolidate disciplinary procedures as to allegations of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of sexual misconduct arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

(b) In consultation with the complainant, the university may choose to address allegations of sexual misconduct with non-disciplinary measures outside the procedures of s. UWS 17. Non-disciplinary measures may include supportive measures and protective measures for complainant, which may or may not involve the respondent.

(2) Title IX Misconduct. As required by 34 CFR Part 106, a sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure either a complainant or the Title IX Coordinator may file a formal Title IX complaint as defined in 17.02(8m). Unless a formal Title IX complaint is dismissed under subsection 2(b) or 2(c), sexual misconduct under this section shall also be considered a “Title IX Complaint” and require associated process.

(a) The university shall dismiss a formal Title IX complaint that does not meet all of the following requirements are met:

1. A “formal complaint,” as defined in 17.02(8m), is either filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator.

2. The alleged conduct is on the basis of sex and meets the definitions of sexual harassment, as defined in s. UWS 17.16(1)(a) or sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, as defined in s. UWS 17.16 (2) to (54), and (6).

3. The alleged conduct occurred within a university “education program or activity,” as defined in s. UWS 17.02(7m).

4. The alleged conduct occurred against the complainant while in the United States.

5. The complainant is participating in or attempting to participate in the university’s education program or activity at the time they file the complaint.

(e) The university shall dismiss a Title IX Complaint that does not meet all the requirements of s. sub. (1)(a) 1. to 5.

(d) The university may dismiss a formal Title IX complaint if any of the following conditions are met at any time during the disciplinary procedure or hearing:

1. The complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the complainant would like to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint or any allegations therein.

2. The respondent is no longer enrolled by the university.
3. Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal Title IX complaint or allegations therein.

**(e)** Upon dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint, the university shall promptly send written notice of the dismissal and reason therefore simultaneously to the complainant and respondent. The complainant and respondent have the right to appeal the dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint under s. UWS 17.19(1).

**(d)** Dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint does not preclude other university action under ch. UWS 17.

**(g)** The university may consolidate disciplinary procedures as to allegations of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of sexual misconduct arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

**NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION.** When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the investigating officer shall promptly distribute a written Notice of Investigation in person, by telephone or by electronic mail, to the complainant and respondent. The Notice of Investigation shall include all of the following:

**(a)** Details known at the time of issuing notice, including the following:
   1. The identities of the complainant and respondent involved in the incident, if known.
   2. The conduct allegedly constituting sexual misconduct.
   3. The date and location of alleged incident, if known.

**(b)** Notice to the complainant and respondent that they may have an advisor of their choice, who may be an attorney.

**(c)** Notice to the complainant and respondent that they may inspect and review evidence collected during the investigation.

**(d)** Notice of s. UWS 17.09(9), false statement or refusal to comply regarding a university matter.

**(e)** Notice that the respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged sexual misconduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary procedure.

**(f)** Notice if the sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure also involves a Title IX Complaint.

**(g)** Information about the nonacademic misconduct process available in ch. UWS 17 and about any available informal resolution process.

**(h)** If, during the course of an investigation, the university decides to investigate allegations that are not included in the Notice of Investigation, the university shall send an amended Notice of Investigation with additional allegations.
INVESTIGATION. During the investigation, the investigating officer shall meet all of the following requirements:

(a) Provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.
(b) Not restrict the ability of either party to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.
(c) Provide the parties with the same opportunities to have others present during any grievance proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, and not limit the choice or presence of advisor for either the complainant or respondent in any meeting or grievance proceeding; however, the recipient may establish restrictions regarding the extent to which the advisor may participate in the proceedings, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties.
(d) Provide, to anyone whose participation is invited or expected, written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all hearings, investigative interviews, or other meetings, with sufficient time for the person to prepare to participate.
(e) Not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a party's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party, unless the university obtains that party's voluntary, written consent to do so for a grievance process under this section.

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE. Prior to completion of the final investigative report, as described in sub-s. UWS 17.17(5), the university shall provide the complainant and respondent and their advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the university’s investigation that is directly related to the allegations of sexual misconduct in an electronic format or hard copy.

(a) The evidence subject to review includes information upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from a party or other source, so that each party can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(b) The complainant and respondent shall have at least 10 days to submit a written response to the evidence, which the investigator shall consider prior to completion of the final investigative report.

FINAL INVESTIGATIVE REPORT. The investigator shall create an investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence.

(a) The final investigative report shall be delivered simultaneously to the respondent and complainant and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing.
(b) The final investigative report may contain recommended determinations as to whether sexual misconduct occurred, and specification of any sanction recommended.

(c) After receipt of the final investigative report, the complainant and respondent have the right to a hearing under s. UWS 17.18 for a formal determination as to whether sexual misconduct occurred, potential disciplinary sanctions, or both.

(d) Upon distribution of the final investigative report to the complainant and respondent, the university shall proceed under s. UWS 17.18 to schedule a hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the complainant and respondent waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing or otherwise voluntarily choose to proceed with a settlement agreement (or informal resolution) under s. UWS 17.21.

UWS 17.18 Hearing (Sexual Misconduct).

(1) The university shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee shall hear the matter.

(2) The university shall take the necessary steps to convene the hearing and shall schedule it within 15 days of the distribution of the final investigative report. The hearing shall be conducted within 45 days of the distribution of the final investigative report, unless a different time period is mutually agreed upon by the complainant, respondent and university or is ordered or permitted by the hearing examiner or committee.

(3) No less than 10 days in advance of the hearing, the hearing examiner or committee shall obtain from the investigating officer, in writing, the final investigative report and any additional available information of the type described in s. UWS 17.17(4).

(4) The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with all of the following guidance and requirements:

(a) The hearing process shall further the educational purposes and reflect the university context of nonacademic misconduct proceedings. The process need not conform to state or federal rules of criminal or civil procedure, except as expressly provided in ch. UWS 17.

(b) Both the complainant and respondent shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present information and witnesses, the right to be heard on their own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice. The advisor may be a lawyer. In accordance with the educational purposes of the hearing, the complainant and respondent are expected to respond on their own behalf to questions asked of them during the hearing.

(c) The hearing examiner or committee:

1. Shall admit information that has reasonable value in proving the facts, but may exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony.

2. May not permit questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior unless:
i. Such questions and evidence about the complainant’s prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or

ii. If the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

3. Shall observe recognized legal privileges including, but not limited to, those described in s. UWS 17.17(3)(e).

4. May take reasonable steps to maintain order, and to adopt procedures for the questioning of a witness appropriate to the circumstances of that witness's testimony, provided, however, whatever procedure is adopted, the advisors for the complainant and respondent are allowed to effectively question the party or witness.

5. Cross examination must be conducted directly, orally, and in real time by the party’s advisor and never by. A party may not personally conduct cross examination.

(a) If a party does not have an advisor at the hearing to conduct cross-examination, the university will provide someone, without fee or charge, who may or may not be an attorney, to conduct cross-examination.

(b) Before a party or witness answers a cross-examination or other question, the hearing examiner or committee first determine whether a question is relevant or not and explain any decision to exclude those questions as not relevant.

(c) The hearing examiner or committee may not draw an inference regarding responsibility based solely on a party’s or a witness’s absence from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination questions.

(d) At hearings involving a Title IX Complaint misconduct, if a party or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, then the hearing examiner or committee may not rely on any statement of that party or witness made prior to or during the hearing in reaching a determination regarding responsibility.

6. If a party fails to appear at a scheduled hearing and to proceed, the hearing examiner or committee may issue a decision based upon the information provided except as described in sub (5)(div).

7. The hearing examiner or committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a file of all evidence presented at the hearing. The respondent and the complainant may access the record, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

8. The hearing examiner or committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing, using the preponderance of the evidence standard. The written report shall include all of the following:
(a) Identification of the allegations potentially constituting sexual misconduct.
(b) A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the initial complaint through the determination, including any notifications to the complainant and respondent, interviews with the complainant and respondent and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather other evidence, and hearings held.
(c) Findings of fact supporting the determination.
(d) Conclusions regarding the application of ch. UWS 17 to the facts.
(e) A statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a determination regarding responsibility under s. UWS 17 and this subchapter, including any Title IX Complaint misconduct, any disciplinary sanctions the university imposes on the respondent, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s education program or activity will be provided by the university to the complainant.
(f) The hearing examiner or committee may impose one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (j).
(g) Procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal.

(9) The decision of the hearing examiner or committee shall be prepared within 14 days of the hearing, and delivered simultaneously to the respondent and the complainant, excluding information that may be precluded by state or federal law. If an appeal is filed, the decision regarding responsibility becomes final either on the date that the university provides the complainant and respondent with the written determination of the result of the appeal, if an appeal is filed, or if an appeal is not filed, the decision regarding responsibility becomes final once the last date on which an appeal would no longer be considered timely passes.

(10) Disciplinary hearings are subject to the Wisconsin open meetings law and may be closed if the respondent or complainant requests a closed hearing. A closed hearing may also be held if the hearing examiner or committee determines that it is necessary to hold a closed hearing, as permitted under the Wisconsin open meetings law. Deliberations of the committee shall be held in closed session, in accordance with s. 19.85, Stats. As such, proper notice and other applicable rules shall be followed.

UWS 17.19 Appeal to the chancellor (Sexual Misconduct).

(1) The respondent or complainant may appeal in writing to the chief administrative officer within 14 days of the date of the written decision for a review, based on the record, of the following:

(a) A dismissal of formal Title IX complaint.
(b) The written decision of the hearing examiner or committee.

(2) The chief administrative officer has 30 days from receipt of an appeal to respond in writing simultaneously to both the complainant and respondent and shall sustain the decision unless the chief administrative officer finds any of the following:
(a) The information in the record does not support the findings or decision.

(b) A procedural irregularity affected the outcome of the matter.

(c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law.

(d) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made that could affect the outcome of the matter.

(e) The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing examiner, or a member of the hearing committee had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individual complainant or respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

(3) If the chief administrative officer makes a finding under sub. (2), the chief administrative officer may return the matter for consideration, or may invoke an appropriate remedy of their own. The chief administrative officer's written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result shall be communicated simultaneously to the respondent and complainant.

(4) When an appeal is filed, the chief administrative officer shall notify the other party in writing and give both the complainant and respondent a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement supporting or challenging the outcome.

UWS 17.20 — Settlement (Sexual Misconduct). UWS 17.20 — Discretionary appeal to the Board of Regents. University decisions under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.19 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any party within 14 days of the final university decision. If the board of regents grants a review upon the record, it shall:

(1) Notify the other party in writing and give both the complainant and respondent a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement supporting or challenging the outcome.

(2) Issue a written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result and provide the written decision simultaneously to both the complainant and respondent.

UWS 17.21 — Settlement.

(1) The procedures set forth in this chapter allow the university, the respondent, and the complainant to voluntarily enter into a settlement agreement (or informal resolution) regarding the alleged misconduct, any time after written notice the Notice of Investigation has been distributed to the complainant and respondent and prior to any final determination regarding responsibility. Any such agreement and its terms shall be in writing and signed by both the complainant and the respondent, and the Title IX Coordinator or designee. If except in any of the following circumstances:

(a) There is no identified complainant.
(b) The complainant has chosen not to participate in proceedings pursuant to this subchapter.

(c) The complainant has withdrawn the disciplinary procedure, formal Title IX complaint.

(2) In the circumstances described in subsection (1), the agreement and its terms may be in writing and signed by only the respondent and the Title IX Coordinator or designee. The case is concluded when a copy of the signed agreement is delivered to the complainant and respondent. At any time prior to agreeing to a resolution, either party has the right to withdraw from the settlement process and resume the process under UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

UWS 17.21—Discretionary appeal to the Board of Regents (Sexual Misconduct). Subchapter IV – Discipline, Petition for Restoration, and Emergency Suspension

University decisions under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.19 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any party within 14 days of the final university decision. If the board of regents grants a review upon the record, it will:

(1) Notify the other party in writing and give both the complainant and respondent a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement supporting or challenging the outcome.

(2) Issue a written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result and provide the written decision simultaneously to both the complainant and respondent.

UWS 17.22 Effect of discipline within the institution. A respondent who, at the time of commencement, is subject to a continuing disciplinary sanction under s. UWS 17.10 (1) or unresolved disciplinary charges as a result of a report under s. UWS 17.11 or UWS 17.17 shall not be awarded a degree during the pendency of the sanction or disciplinary proceeding.

UWS 17.23 Effect of suspension or expulsion within the university system.

(1) Suspension or expulsion shall be systemwide in effect and shall be noted on an individual's transcript, with suspension noted only for the duration of the suspension period.

(2) An individual who is suspended from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system until the suspension has expired by its own terms, except as provided in s. UWS 17.24.

(3) An individual who is expelled from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system, except as provided in s. UWS 17.24.

(4) An individual who is in a state of suspension or expulsion from the university under this chapter, or who leaves or withdraws from the university while under nonacademic misconduct charges under this chapter, may not be present on any campus without the written consent of the chief administrative officer of that campus.
Upon completion of a suspension period, an individual who is academically eligible may re-enroll in the institution which suspended them, provided all conditions from previous disciplinary sanctions have been met.

**UWS 17.24 Petition for restoration of rights after suspension or expulsion.** A respondent who has been suspended may petition to have their student status, rights, and privileges restored before the suspension has expired by its own terms under s. UWS 17.23 (2). A respondent who has been expelled may petition for the right to apply for readmission. The petition shall be in writing and directed to the chief administrative officer of the institution from which the respondent was suspended or expelled, or, if applying to a different University of Wisconsin institution, is seeking admission. The chief administrative officer shall make the readmission decision. In cases of sexual misconduct, the readmission decision should be made in consultation with the Title IX Coordinator and the complainant should be notified of any change to the disciplinary outcome, and the complainant, if enrolled as a student at the time of the petition, shall be provided opportunity to respond regarding any review of responsibility findings.

**UWS 17.25 Emergency suspension.**

(1) The chief administrative officer may impose an emergency suspension on a respondent, pending final institutional action on a report of nonacademic misconduct, in accordance with the procedures of this section.

(2) The chief administrative officer of each institution may impose an emergency suspension on a respondent when all of the following conditions are met:

(a) The investigating officer has made a reasonable attempt to offer the respondent the opportunity for discussion, either in person or by telephone.

(b) The investigating officer recommends a sanction of suspension or expulsion.

(c) The chief administrative officer concludes, based on the available information, that the misconduct occurred and that the respondent's continued presence on campus meets one or more of the following conditions:

1. Would constitute a potential for serious harm to the respondent.

2. Would constitute a potential for serious harm to others.

3. Would pose a threat of serious disruption of university-run or university-authoriz

4. Would constitute a potential for serious damage to university facilities or property.

(d) In cases of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, the chief administrative officer has made reasonable attempts to consult with the complainant and offer protective measures.
(3) If the chief administrative officer determines that an emergency suspension is warranted under sub. (2), the chief administrative officer shall promptly have written notification of the emergency suspension delivered to the respondent. In cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, the written notification of the emergency suspension shall be delivered simultaneously to the complainant and the respondent. The chief administrative officer's decision to impose an emergency suspension shall be effective immediately when delivered to the respondent and is final.

(4) Where an emergency suspension is imposed, the hearing on the underlying allegations of misconduct shall be held, either on or outside of university lands, within 21 days of the imposition of the emergency suspension, unless the respondent agrees to a later date.

(5) An emergency suspension imposed in accordance with this section shall be in effect until the decision in the hearing on the underlying charges pursuant to s. UWS 17.12 or 17.18 is rendered or the chief administrative officer rescinds the emergency suspension. In no case shall an emergency suspension remain in effect for longer than 30 days, unless the respondent agrees to a longer period.

(6) If the chief administrative officer determines that none of the conditions specified in sub. (2) (c) are present, but that misconduct may have occurred, the case shall proceed in accordance with s. UWS 17.12.

UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18, as applicable.
On October 27, 2020, the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System submitted a proposed rule to the Wisconsin Legislative Council Clearinghouse pursuant to s.227.14(1), Wis. Stats.

Analysis
The proposed rule affects Chapter UWS 4, relating to faculty dismissal procedures.

Statement of Scope
The scope statement for this rule, SS 083-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register No. 774A4 on June 19, 2020, and approved by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

Agency Procedure for Promulgation
A public hearing is required and will be held on November 30, 2020.

Agency Organizational Unit Primarily Responsible for Promulgating Rule
The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

Agency Contact Person
Sarah Harebo, UW System Title IX and Clery Administrator, 1220 Linden Drive, Van Hise Hall, Room 1848, Madison, WI; sharebo@uwsa.edu; (608) 262-6497.
IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE
BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
WISCONSIN SYSTEM

ORDER OF THE BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
WISCONSIN SYSTEM
AMENDING AND ADOPTING
PERMANENT RULES

The statements of scope for this rule, SS 083-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register 774A on June 19, 2020, and approved by Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

ORDER

An order of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System to amend UWS 4.01(1), UWS 4.015(5) and (6) and (11), UWS 4.02(1) and (3), 4.05(1)(c) and (1)(e) and (1)(g), 4.06(1)(am) and (1)(d) and (1)(g) 4.07(1) and (2), 4.08(1) and (4), and 4.09; repeal and recreate 4.015(intro.) and (2) and (3) and (4) and (9) and (10); and create Subchapter I, UWS 4.01(3), 4.015 (6m), Subchapter II, 4.016, and Subchapter III, relating to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct against faculty of the University of Wisconsin System.

Analysis prepared by the Board of Regents and the University of Wisconsin System.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ANALYSIS

Statutes interpreted:  s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.

Statutory authority:  s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.

Explanation of agency authority:

s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.: “The primary responsibility for governance of the system shall be vested in the board which shall enact policies and promulgate rules for governing the system.”

Related statute or rule:  N/A

Plain language analysis:

*Title IX Sexual Misconduct and Non-Title IX Sexual Misconduct*
The new federal regulations narrow the scope of conduct to which Title IX protections apply. However, the federal regulations specify that schools are not prohibited from addressing a broader scope of conduct under institutional codes of conduct. Under the new rule, allegations of sexual misconduct that do not fall within the scope of Title IX will continue to be addressed using student and employee conduct codes.

**Definitions**

The current rule defines sexual misconduct, such as sexual harassment and sexual assault, under the corresponding statutory definitions in the Wisconsin Statutes. The new federal regulations require adoption of definitions for sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking from the federal Clery and Violence Against Women Acts. Additionally, the new federal regulations define sexual harassment for Title IX purposes to include quid pro quo sexual harassment and hostile environment consisting of unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive.

The current rule allows University of Wisconsin System institutions to address allegations of sexual misconduct when the conduct occurs on university property, at university-sponsored events, or the conduct affects a substantial university interest. The new federal regulations narrow that definition to the following elements: (1) the school has actual knowledge of sexual harassment; (2) that occurred within the school’s education program or activity; (3) against a person in the United States. The regulations go on to define “education program or activity” to include situations over which the school exercised substantial control as well as buildings owned or controlled by student organizations officially recognized by a university, such as many fraternity and sorority houses. The new rule specifies the procedures University of Wisconsin System institutions must use in addressing sexual misconduct that meets the new definition and scope of the new federal regulations, as well as the procedures to be used in addressing sexual misconduct that falls outside of the scope of the regulations.

The current rule contains no definition for “sexual exploitation.” The new rule adds a definition of “sexual exploitation” to the list of sexual misconduct that University of Wisconsin System institutions address.

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct Procedures**

**Notice**

The current rule mentions several instances in which employees involved in an investigation of sexual misconduct must receive notice. The new federal regulations require notice to parties of formal Title IX complaints in more instances and in greater detail than the current rule provides. The new rule will update notice requirements to comply with the new federal regulations.

**Mandatory Dismissal and Discretionary Dismissal**
The current rule states that University of Wisconsin System institutions may or must dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct under certain circumstances. The new federal regulations define certain instances in which universities must or may dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct. For example, universities must dismiss allegations that do not meet the definitions of sexual misconduct under Title IX and may dismiss allegations if a complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint. A university may still address these dismissed complaints under other code of conduct provisions. The new regulations also grant the parties the right to appeal the university’s dismissal of allegations. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Investigation

Under the current rule, University of Wisconsin System institutions investigate allegations of sexual misconduct through formal investigations, the investigator provides the opportunity for both parties to meet with the investigator to discuss the allegations, the investigator provides the chancellor with a written report that may include recommended sanctions against the respondent, the chancellor, if appropriate, files dismissal charges against the faculty member and the faculty member is entitled to a hearing before a faculty committee. Under the federal regulations, universities must conduct investigation of formal Title IX complaints via an assigned investigator and must allow the parties an opportunity to present witnesses and evidence as well as review the evidence provided. Investigators must not make official findings of responsibility but may make recommended findings. The new rules incorporate changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Hearing

The current rule provides a faculty member facing charges of dismissal related to allegations of sexual misconduct with the right to a hearing before a faculty committee. The federal regulations require universities conduct live hearings with cross-examination conducted directly, orally, and in real time for all Title IX cases. At a live hearing, if a party does not have an advisor, the institution must provide, without fee or charge, an advisor of the school’s choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party. The parties’ advisors must perform cross-examination. A hearing officer or hearing committee must preside over the hearing and determine the relevance of each question and explain any decision to exclude a question. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides that "[N]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." The U.S. Department of Education has issued guidance
through Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance over the years which
established the federal agency's expectations for institutions of higher education that
receive federal funding. The new federal regulations are the first to interpret this law with
respect to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct and override any guidance
provided in the previous Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance. Please see
the Plain Language Analysis for further information related to the specific provisions
under the new federal regulations.

**Comparison with rules in adjacent states:**

The new federal regulations require all universities that receive federal funding to comply
with the regulations or risk losing federal funding. All universities that receive federal
funding are required to revise policies and procedures to comply with the federal
regulations.

**Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:**

Consulting with UW System and UW System institutions to determine how many Title
IX cases are anticipated for this year, as well as the cost of advisors and hearing officers.

**Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business:**

UW System posted its Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate on its website to
make it available for comment. UW System also informed the UW System institutions
that it had posted these documents. The documents remained posted on the website for 14
days from October 12, 2020 through October 26, 2020. No comments on the economic
impact or fiscal estimate were received.

**Fiscal Estimate:**

See attached Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate.

**Effect on small business:**

The new rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The new rule
applies specifically to University of Wisconsin System institutions only.

**Agency contact person:**

Sarah Harebo
Title IX and Clery Administrator
University of Wisconsin System Administration
1848 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53706;
Telephone 608-262-6497;
email address: sharebo@uwsa.edu.
Public Comments:

The Board of Regents will hold a public hearing on November 30, 2020 preceded by a public comment period related to the proposed rule. During the comment periods, comments could be submitted to the agency in any of the following ways: (1) on the web at https://www.wisconsin.edu/compliance/public-comment-form/; (2) by email to compliance@uwsa.edu; (3) at the public hearing; or (4) by mail to Jess Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, 1860 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin.

TEXT OF RULE

Section 1. Subchapter I – General of Chapter UWS 4 [precedes UWS 4.01] is created to read:

UWS 4
SUBCHAPTER I
GENERAL

Section 2. UWS 4.01(1) is amended to read:

UWS 4.01 Dismissal for cause.

(1) Any faculty member having tenure may be dismissed only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. Any faculty member having a probationary appointment may be dismissed prior to the end of his/her term of appointment only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. A decision not to renew a probationary appointment or not to grant tenure does not constitute a dismissal.

Section 3. UWS 4.01(3) is created to read:

(3) Faculty dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 4.11, shall be governed by ss. UWS 4.11 to UWS 4.24. Section UWS 4.01(1) and (2) and ss. UWS 4.02 to 4.10 may not apply to faculty dismissal based on Title IX misconduct.

Section 4. UWS 4.015(intro.), (2), (3), (4), (9), and (10) repealed and recreated to read:

UWS 4.015 Definitions. (intro.) In this chapter:

(2) “Complaint” means an allegation against a faculty member reported to an appropriate university official.

(3) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity
or other activity referenced in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in this section. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(4) “Consult” or “consulting” means thoroughly reviewing and discussing the relevant facts and discretionary issues.

(9) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

(a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

(b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as provided in s. 944.06, Stats.

(d) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as provided in s. 944.06, Stats.

(10) “Sexual Exploitation” means when an individual attempts, takes or threatens to take, nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include:

(a) Engaging in the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:

1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.
2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant,
3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.

(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in the complainant’s presence without the consent of the complainant, or inducing the complainant to do the same.

(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual activity.

(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making the complainant vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.

(e) Coercing the complainant to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.
(f) Threatening distribution of any of the following, to coerce someone into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:

1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.

2. Other information of a sexual nature involving the complainant, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

Section 5. UWS 4.015(5), (6), and (11) amended to read:

(5) “Dating violence” means violence committed by an employee against another person with whom they are in a “dating relationship” as defined in s. 813.12 (1) (ag), Stats. a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(6) “Domestic violence” means conduct defined as “domestic abuse” in ss. 813.12 (1) (am) and 968.075, Stats. felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth complainant who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin as per ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075, Stats.

(11) “Stalking” means conduct defined in s. 940.32, Stats. engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

Section 6. UWS 4.015(6m) created to read:

(6m) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically and/or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol and/or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments; capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.
Section 7. Subchapter II – Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Non-Title IX Related Cases of Chapter UWS 4 [precedes UWS 4.016] is created to read:

UWS 4
SUBCHAPTER II
PROCEDURES FOR FACULTY DISMISSAL IN NON-TITLE IX RELATED CASES

Section 8. UWS 4.016 is created to read:

UWS 4.016 Subchapter II Definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Complainant" means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual exploitation as defined in this section.

(2) “Sexual harassment" means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies any of the following:
   (a) Quid Pro Quo
       1. An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct; or
       2. An employee of the institution either, explicitly or implicitly, conditions the provision of an academic, professional, or employment-related opportunity, aid, benefit, or service on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct
   (b) Hostile Environment
       1. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity; or
       2. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe or pervasive and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an university sponsored or supported activity.

Section 9. UWS 4.02(1) and (3) is amended to read:

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin system receives a complaint against a faculty member which the chancellor deems substantial and which, if true, might lead to dismissal under s. UWS 4.01, the chancellor, or designee, shall within a reasonable time initiate an investigation and shall, prior to reaching a decision on filing charges, offer to discuss the matter informally with the faculty member. For complaints of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the
chancellor, or designee, shall appoint the Title IX Coordinator, or designee, to initiate an investigation in accordance with applicable policies. The chancellor, or designee, shall also offer to discuss the matter informally with the complainant, and provide information regarding rights under this chapter. Both the faculty member and the complainant shall have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice at any meeting or proceeding that is part of the institutional disciplinary process. A faculty member may be dismissed only after receipt of a written statement of specific charges from the chancellor as the chief administrative officer of the institution and, if a hearing is requested by the faculty member, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. If the faculty member does not request a hearing, action shall proceed along normal administrative lines but the provisions of ss. UWS 4.02, 4.09, and 4.10 shall still apply.

(3) The statement of charges shall be served personally, by electronic means, or by certified mail, return receipt requested. If such service cannot be made within 20 days, service shall be accomplished by first class mail and by publication as if the statement of charges were a summons and the provisions of s. 801.11 (1) (c), Stats., were applicable. Such service by mailing and publication shall be effective as of the first insertion of the notice of statement of charges in the newspaper. If the statement of charges includes sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the statement shall be provided to the complainant upon request, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

Section 10. UWS 4.05(1)(c), (1)(e), and (1)(g) are amended to read:

(c) A right to be heard in the faculty member’s defense;

(e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. If the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the hearing committee may reasonably restrict the faculty member or the complainant from questioning each other;

Section 11. UWS 4.06(1)(am), (1)(d), and (1)(g) are amended to read:

(am) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence;

(d) The faculty hearing committee may, on motion of either party, and, if the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, on the motion of the complainant, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the faculty hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the faculty equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of
replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty establishing the standing committee under s. UWS 4.03;

(g) If a proceeding on charges against a faculty member not holding tenure is not concluded before the faculty member's appointment would expire, he/she the faculty member may elect that such proceeding be carried to a final decision. Unless he/she the faculty member so elects in writing, the proceeding shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment;

Section 12. UWS 4.07(1) and (2) are amended to read:

(1) The faculty hearing committee shall send to the chancellor and to the faculty member concerned, as soon as practicable after conclusion of the hearing, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its report, findings, and recommendations. The committee may determine that while adequate cause for discipline exists, some sanction less severe than dismissal is more appropriate. Within 20 days after receipt of this material the chancellor shall review it and afford the faculty member an opportunity to discuss it. The chancellor shall prepare a written recommendation within 20 days following the meeting with the faculty member, unless his/her the chancellor’s proposed recommendation differs substantially from that of the committee. If the chancellor's proposed recommendations differ substantially from those of the faculty hearing committee, the chancellor shall promptly consult the faculty hearing committee and provide the committee with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to forwarding his/her the recommendation. If the recommendation is for dismissal, the recommendation shall be submitted through the president of the system to the board. A copy of the faculty hearing committee's report and recommendations shall be forwarded through the president of the system to the board along with the chancellor's recommendation. A copy of the chancellor's recommendation shall also be sent to the faculty member concerned and to the faculty committee. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all rights provided to the faculty member in this paragraph, including the right to receive a copy of the chancellor's recommendation, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(2) Disciplinary action other than dismissal may be taken by the chancellor, after affording the faculty member an opportunity to be heard on the record, except that, upon written request by the faculty member, such action shall be submitted as a recommendation through the president to the board together with a copy of the faculty hearing committee's report and recommendation. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in this paragraph.

Section 13. UWS 4.08(1) and (4) amended to read:

(1) If the chancellor recommends dismissal, the board shall review the record before the faculty hearing committee and provide an opportunity for filing exceptions to the
recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and for oral arguments, unless the board decides to drop the charges against the faculty member without a hearing or the faculty member elects to waive a hearing. This hearing shall be closed unless the faculty member requests an open hearing (see subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law). For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have the same opportunity for filing exceptions to the recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and for oral arguments, as the faculty member.

(4) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the faculty member and complainant shall be simultaneously notified of the board's final decision.

Section 14. UWS 4.09 is amended to read:

UWS 4.09 Suspension from duties. Pending the final decision as to his/her dismissal, the faculty member shall not normally be relieved of duties; but if, after consultation with appropriate faculty committees the chancellor finds that substantial harm to the institution may result if the faculty member is continued in his/her the faculty member’s position, the faculty member may be relieved immediately of his/her the faculty member’s duties, but his/her pay shall continue until the board makes its decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 7.06 (1) in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 7.06 shall apply.

Section 15. Subchapter III – Procedures for Faculty Dismissal in Title IX related Cases created to read:

UWS 4
SUBCHAPTER II
PROCEDURES FOR FACULTY DISMISSAL IN NON-TITLE IX RELATED CASES

UWS 4.11 Subchapter III Definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of Title IX misconduct, as defined in this section.

(2) “Education program or activity” means, for purposes of Title IX misconduct only, locations, events, or circumstances at which the university exercised substantial control over both the faculty member and the context in which the sexual harassment occurred, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

(3) “Formal complaint” means, for the purposes of a Title IX misconduct only, a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against a faculty member and requesting that the university investigate the allegations. At the time
of filing of the formal complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting
to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal complaint may be filed in
person, by mail, or electronic mail, or any other method designated by the university. A
formal complaint shall include a physical or digital signature of the complainant or the
Title IX Coordinator.

(4) “Respondent” means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of
Title IX misconduct as defined in this section.

(5) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of
the following:
   (a) An employee of the institution conditions the provisions of an aid, benefit, or
   service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in
   unwelcome sexual conduct.
   (b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed toward a student, an
   employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that,
   when using the legal “reasonable person” standard: the conduct is so severe,
   pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal
   access to the institution’s education program or activity.

(6) “Title IX misconduct” means sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating
violence, or domestic violence as defined in this section.

UWS 4.12 Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct.

(1) The board may dismiss a faculty member for cause, or impose lesser discipline on a
faculty member, for Title IX misconduct as defined in s. UWS 4.11.

(2) Title IX misconduct allegations against faculty shall follow the disciplinary procedure
in ss. UWS 4.11 to 4.24. The board may dismiss a faculty member having tenure only for
just cause and may otherwise discipline a faculty member having tenure only after due
notice and hearing. The board may dismiss a faculty member having a probationary
appointment prior to the end of the faculty member’s term of appointment only for just
cause and may otherwise discipline the faculty member only after due notice and hearing.

(3) A faculty member is entitled to enjoy and exercise all the rights and privileges of a
United States citizen, and the rights and privileges of academic freedom as they are
generally understood in the academic community. These rights and privileges shall be
observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal, or grounds for other
discipline, exists.

(4) The faculty member is presumed to be not responsible for the alleged Title IX
misconduct until a final decision regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the
disciplinary process. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or
of grounds for other discipline, is on the university administration.
UWS 4.13 Application of Title IX misconduct disciplinary procedure. This disciplinary procedure for Title IX misconduct will be used only when all of the following requirements are met:

(1) There is a formal Title IX complaint alleging Title IX misconduct on the basis of sex.

(2) The conduct occurred in the United States.

(3) The conduct occurred within a university’s education program or activity.

(4) The complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the university at the time of filing the complaint.

(5) The complainant or Title IX coordinator have submitted a formal Title IX complaint.

UWS 4.14 Dismissal of formal Title IX complaint and related appeal.

(1) The university shall dismiss a formal Title IX complaint consisting of allegations that meet any of the following conditions:
   (a) The alleged conduct would not constitute sexual harassment if proved.
   (b) The alleged conduct did not occur in a university program or activity.
   (c) The alleged conduct did not involve actions against someone physically located in the United States.

(2) The university may dismiss a formal Title IX complaint when any of the following applies:
   (a) The complainant formally requests in writing to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint.
   (b) The faculty member is no longer employed by the university.
   (c) Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination on the allegations contained in the formal Title IX complaint.

(3) The university generally shall decide whether to dismiss a formal Title IX complaint within 30 days of receipt of the formal complaint, but the university may extend that timeline as necessary. If a formal complaint is dismissed, then the university shall provide notice of the dismissal and reasons therefore to the faculty member and complainant in writing.

(4) Within 20 days of receipt of the notice of dismissal, the complainant may appeal the dismissal by filing a written appeal with the chancellor. The complainant may appeal on any of the following bases:
   (a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.
   (b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the dismissal that could affect the outcome of the matter.
(c) The university employee making the dismissal decision had a conflict of interest or bias for the faculty member or against the complainant, or against complainants generally, that affected the dismissal decision.

(5) The chancellor shall provide the faculty member and complainant the opportunity to provide a written statement supporting or challenging the dismissal. The chancellor shall simultaneously issue a decision to the complainant and the faculty member within 30 days of receipt of a written appeal. The chancellor’s decision shall include the chancellor’s rationale for the decision and shall be final.

(6) The dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint does not preclude the university from otherwise pursuing discipline against the faculty member under other administrative rules or university policies.

UWS 4.15 Investigation of Title IX misconduct allegations.
(1) Unless the university dismisses a formal complaint, the university shall appoint an investigator to conduct an investigation of the allegations in the formal complaint.

(2) The investigator shall provide the faculty member and the complainant with a notice of investigation. The notice shall include all of the following:
   (a) The grievance process, including informal resolution options.
   (b) The allegations of Title IX misconduct with sufficient detail for the faculty member to prepare a response to the allegations, including the identity of the complainant as well as the date and location of the incident if available.
   (c) A statement affirming the faculty member is presumed not responsible for the alleged violation.
   (d) The faculty member and complainant have the right to an advisor of their choice.
   (e) The faculty member and complainant have the right to inspect and review the evidence.
   (f) Information about any code of conduct rules which prohibit the faculty member or the complainant from knowingly making false statements or submitting false information during the disciplinary process.

(3). The faculty member and complainant shall receive an amended notice of investigation any time additional charges are added during the course of an investigation. Formal complaints involving more than one complainant or respondent may be consolidated if they arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

(4) The university’s investigator shall do all of the following:
   (a) Provide both the faculty member and the complainant an equal opportunity to provide witnesses (including fact and expert witnesses) who may be interviewed by the investigator, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.
   (b) Not restrict the ability of either the faculty member or complainant to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.
(c) Provide the faculty member and complainant the same opportunity to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice during meetings relating to the investigation but may limit the participation by the advisor so long as those limits are applied equally.

(d) Provide both the faculty member and the complainant an equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from a faculty member, complainant, or other source, so that the faculty member and complainant can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(5) As part of its investigation and disciplinary process, the university may not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a faculty member's or complainant’s records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the faculty member or complainant, unless the university obtains the faculty member’s or complainant’s voluntary, written consent to do so in relation to the investigation and disciplinary process.

(6) The university’s investigator generally shall complete the investigation and issue a final investigative report within 90 days of the investigator’s appointment. However, the investigator may extend the investigation’s time frame where circumstances warrant.

UWS 4.16 Review of evidence.

(1) Prior to completion of the final investigative report, the investigator shall send to the faculty member and complainant and their respective advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the investigation for inspection and review by the faculty member and the complainant. The evidence may be provided in an electronic format or a hard copy. The evidence provided includes evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from the faculty member, complainant or other source, to permit the faculty member and complainant to meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(2) The faculty member and the complainant shall be provided at least 10 days to submit a written response to the evidence. The investigator shall consider any written responses prior to completion of the final investigative report.

UWS 4.17 Final investigative report. The investigator shall create a final investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and send the report to the faculty member, the complainant, and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the
faculty member and complainant. The university shall, upon receipt of the final investigative report, proceed to schedule a live hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless both the faculty member and the complainant waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

UWS 4.18 Standing faculty committee and hearing examiner.

(1) The chancellor of each university, in consultation with faculty representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a Title IX conduct hearing examiner. The chancellor shall select a hearing examiner pursuant to these policies to hear faculty dismissal and discipline cases. Additionally, the faculty of each university shall provide a standing hearing committee charged with hearing faculty dismissal and discipline cases. The chancellor shall appoint the presiding member of the hearing committee, who may be a hearing examiner. The university shall decide whether a hearing examiner or a hearing committee will hear the matter.

(2) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner described in sub. (1) shall conduct the hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, and transmit such record along with factual findings and decision to the chancellor. The hearing shall be held not later than 45 days after completion of the final investigative report except that this time limit may be extended by the hearing committee or the hearing examiner.

UWS 4.19 Adequate due process.

(1) A fair hearing for a faculty member against whom dismissal or other discipline is sought shall include all of the following:
   (a) Service of written notice of a live hearing on the allegations in the formal complaint at least 10 days prior to the hearing.
   (b) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary and other evidence upon the basis of which dismissal or other discipline is sought.
   (c) A right to be heard in the faculty member’s defense.
   (d) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representatives, and to offer witnesses. The faculty member’s advisor or counsel may ask all witnesses relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility. Credibility determinations, however, may not be made based on a person’s status as a complainant, respondent, or witness. If the faculty member does not have an advisor, the university shall provide the faculty member, without charge, an advisor of the university’s choice to conduct cross-examination on behalf of the faculty member. The advisor may be an attorney.
   (e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. The faculty member’s or complainant’s advisor shall conduct cross examination directly, orally, and in real time. The faculty member and the complainant may not personally conduct cross examination. If the faculty member, the complainant, or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, the hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not rely on any statement of the faculty member, complainant, or witness in reaching its findings and recommendations.
However, the hearing committee or hearing examiner may not draw a negative inference in reaching its findings and recommendations based solely on the absence of a faculty member, complainant, or witness from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.

(f) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, made available at no cost for inspection and review.

(g) Written findings of fact and recommendations based on the hearing record. The written findings of fact and recommendations shall include all of the following:
   1. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX misconduct.
   2. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s completion of written findings and recommendations, including any notifications to the faculty member and the complainant, interviews with the faculty member, the complainant, and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather evidence, and hearings held.
   3. Conclusions regarding the application of the university’s conduct rules and policies to the facts; a statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a recommendations regarding responsibility, any disciplinary sanction recommended to be imposed, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s educational program or activity will be provided to the complainant.
   4. The university’s procedures and permissible bases for complainant and employee to appeal.

(h) Admissibility of evidence shall be governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats. Only relevant questions may be asked of the faculty member, the complainant, and any witnesses. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall determine whether a question is relevant and explain the decision to exclude a question as not relevant. Questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions or evidence are offered to prove that someone other than the faculty member committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or unless the questions or evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with the faculty member and are offered to prove consent.

(i) The hearing may be conducted with all participants physically present in the same location, or at the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s discretion, any or all participants may appear at the hearing virtually, with technology enabling the participants simultaneously to see and hear each other. Upon the faculty member’s request, the university shall provide for the hearing to occur with faculty member and complainant located in separate rooms with technology enabling the hearing committee or hearing examiner, the faculty member, and the complainant to simultaneously see and hear witnesses answering questions.

(2) The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in sub. (1) (a) to (i).
UWS 4.20 Procedural guarantees.

(1) Any hearing held shall comply with the requirements set forth in UWS 4.19. All of the following requirements shall also be observed:

(a) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause to support dismissal, or of grounds to support other discipline, is on the university administration.

(am) The standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) No faculty member who participated in the investigation of a formal Title IX complaint, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to sit on the hearing committee addressing that complaint. No university employee or other person who participated in the investigation of a formal Title IX complaint, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to serve as the hearing examiner addressing that complaint.

(c) The hearing shall be closed unless the faculty member or the complainant requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(d) The hearing committee may, on motion of the complainant or the faculty member, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the faculty equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty establishing the standing committee under this rule.

(e) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges unless the person holding the privilege has waived it. The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall follow the evidentiary rules in s. UWS 4.19(1)(h).

(f) If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the hearing committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the hearing committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the hearing committee within the provisions of the rules and procedures adopted by the faculty of the institution in establishing the standing faculty committee under this policy.

(g) If the Title IX disciplinary process described in ss. UWS 4.11 to 4.24 against a faculty member not holding tenure is not concluded before the faculty member's appointment would expire, the faculty member may elect that such process be carried to a final decision. Unless the faculty member so elects in writing, the process shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment.

(h) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the university administration, the complainant, and the faculty member.

(i) Delay or adjournment of the hearing for good cause may be granted. Good cause includes any of the following:
1. The need to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.
2. To ensure the presence of the faculty member or the complainant, an advisor, or a witness.
3. To provide language assistance or accommodation of disabilities.
4. To accommodate concurrent law enforcement activity.

UWS 4.21 Hearing committee or hearing examiner findings and recommendations to the chancellor. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall simultaneously send to the chancellor, to the complainant, and to the faculty member concerned, within 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or otherwise as soon as practicable, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its factual findings and recommendations.

UWS 4.22 Chancellor’s decision.

(1) Within 20 days after receipt of the record and findings and recommendations from the hearing committee or the hearing examiner the chancellor shall review those materials and afford the faculty member and the complainant an opportunity to discuss them. The chancellor’s decision shall be based on the record created before the hearing committee or the hearing examiner. The chancellor shall prepare a written decision within 20 days after completing the meetings with the faculty member and the complainant, unless the chancellor’s proposed decision differs substantially from the recommendations of the hearing committee or hearing examiner. If the chancellor's proposed decision differs substantially from those recommendations, the chancellor shall promptly consult the hearing committee or the hearing examiner and provide the committee or the hearing examiner with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to making a decision.

(2) The chancellor may adopt the hearing committee or hearing examiner’s findings and recommendations as the chancellor’s decision. The chancellor shall explain in the decision any substantial differences from those findings and recommendations.

(3) The chancellor’s decision shall be simultaneously sent to the faculty member concerned, the complainant, and to the hearing committee or the hearing examiner. The chancellor’s decision also shall be submitted through the president of the system to the board, accompanied by a copy of the hearing committee's or hearing examiner’s findings and recommendations. The chancellor’s decision and the findings and recommendations shall be forwarded through the president of the system to the board for its review.

Section 20. UWS 4.23 is created to read:

UWS 4.23 Appeal to board.

(1) The board shall provide the faculty member and the complainant an opportunity for filing exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and for oral arguments, unless the faculty member and the complainant waive in writing the right to file exceptions and for oral
arguments. The hearing of any oral arguments shall be closed unless the faculty member or the complainant requests an open hearing.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(2) The faculty member or complainant may file written exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and the board shall conduct its review of the chancellor’s decision, on any of the following bases:

(a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.
(b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the live hearing that could affect the outcome of the matter.
(c) The Title IX coordinator, investigator, the chancellor, the hearing examiner, or the hearing committee members had a conflict of interest or bias for or against the faculty member or complainant, or against complainants and respondents generally, that affected the outcome.

(3) If the board decides to take action different from the decision of the chancellor, then before taking final action the board shall consult with the chancellor.

(4) The board shall make its decision based on the record created before the hearing committee or hearing examiner. Within 60 days of receipt of the chancellor’s decision, or otherwise as soon as practicable, the board shall simultaneously notify the faculty member and the complainant of the board's final decision, which shall include the board’s rationale for its decision.

(5) A decision by the board ordering dismissal of a faculty member shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

UWS 4.24 Suspension from duties. Pending the final decision on dismissal or other discipline, the faculty member may not normally be relieved of duties; but if, after consultation with appropriate faculty committees the chancellor finds that substantial harm to the university may result if the faculty member is continued in the faculty member’s position, the faculty member may be relieved immediately of the faculty member’s duties, but the faculty member’s pay shall continue until a final decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 7.06 in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 7.06 shall apply.

Section 16. Effective Date. This rule shall take effect upon approval of the Governor and the Legislature.

(END OF TEXT OF RULE)
On October 27, 2020, the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System submitted a proposed rule to the Wisconsin Legislative Council Clearinghouse pursuant to s.227.14(1), Wis. Stats.

**Analysis**

The proposed rule affects Chapter UWS 7, relating to faculty dismissal procedures in special cases.

**Statement of Scope**

The scope statement for this rule, SS 084-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register No. 774A4 on June 19, 2020, and approved by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

**Agency Procedure for Promulgation**

A public hearing is required and will be held on November 30, 2020.

**Agency Organizational Unit Primarily Responsible for Promulgating Rule**

The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

**Agency Contact Person**

Sarah Harebo, UW System Title IX and Clery Administrator, 1220 Linden Drive, Van Hise Hall, Room 1848, Madison, WI; sharebo@uwsa.edu; (608) 262-6497.
STATE OF WISCONSIN
BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM
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PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE
BOARD OF
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WISCONSIN SYSTEM

ORDER OF THE BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
WISCONSIN SYSTEM
AMENDING AND ADOPTING
PERMANENT RULES

The statements of scope for this rule, SS 084-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register 774A4 on June 19, 2020, and approved by Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

ORDER

An order of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System to amend UWS 7.01, 7.02(1)(d), 7.03, and 7.05(1)(a) and (1)(b) and (3) and (5)(c) and (6) and (8); and repeal and recreate 7.015(2), relating to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct against faculty of the University of Wisconsin System.

Analysis prepared by the Board of Regents and the University of Wisconsin System.

ANALYSIS

Statutes interpreted: s. 36.09 2(1)(a), Stats.

Statutory authority: s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.

Explanation of agency authority:

s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.: “The primary responsibility for governance of the system shall be vested in the board which shall enact policies and promulgate rules for governing the system.”

Related statute or rule: N/A

Plain language analysis:

Title IX Sexual Misconduct and Non-Title IX Sexual Misconduct

The new federal regulations narrow the scope of conduct to which Title IX protections apply. However, the federal regulations specify that schools are not prohibited from addressing a broader scope of conduct under institutional codes of conduct. Under the
new rule, allegations of sexual misconduct that do not fall within the scope of Title IX will continue to be addressed using student and employee conduct codes.

Definitions

The current rule defines sexual misconduct, such as sexual harassment and sexual assault, under the corresponding statutory definitions in the Wisconsin Statutes. The new federal regulations require adoption of definitions for sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking from the federal Clery and Violence Against Women Acts. Additionally, the new federal regulations define sexual harassment for Title IX purposes to include quid pro quo sexual harassment and hostile environment sexual harassment consisting of unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive.

The current rule allows University of Wisconsin System institutions to address allegations of sexual misconduct when the conduct occurs on university property, at university-sponsored events, or the conduct affects a substantial university interest. The new federal regulations narrow that definition to the following elements: (1) the school has actual knowledge of sexual harassment; (2) that occurred within the school’s education program or activity; (3) against a person in the United States. The regulations go on to define “education program or activity” to include situations over which the school exercised substantial control as well as buildings owned or controlled by student organizations officially recognized by a university, such as many fraternity and sorority houses. The new rule specifies the procedures University of Wisconsin System institutions must use in addressing sexual misconduct that meets the new definition and scope of the new federal regulations, as well as the procedures to be used in addressing sexual misconduct that falls outside of the scope of the regulations.

The current rule contains no definition for “sexual exploitation.” The new rule adds a definition of “sexual exploitation” to the list of sexual misconduct that University of Wisconsin System institutions address.

Title IX Sexual Misconduct Procedures

Notice

The current rule mentions several instances in which employees involved in an investigation of sexual misconduct must receive notice. The new federal regulations require notice to parties of formal Title IX complaints in more instances and in greater detail than the current rule provides. The new rule will update notice requirements to comply with the new federal regulations.

Mandatory Dismissal and Discretionary Dismissal

The current rule states that University of Wisconsin System institutions may or must dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct under certain circumstances. The new federal
regulations define certain instances in which universities must or may dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct. For example, universities must dismiss allegations that do not meet the definitions of sexual misconduct under Title IX and may dismiss allegations if a complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint. A university may still address these dismissed complaints under other code of conduct provisions. The new regulations also grant the parties the right to appeal the university’s dismissal of allegations. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Investigation

Under the current rule, University of Wisconsin System institutions investigate allegations of sexual misconduct through formal investigations, the investigator provides the opportunity for both parties to meet with the investigator to discuss the allegations, the investigator provides the chancellor with a written report that may include recommended sanctions against the respondent, the chancellor, if appropriate, files dismissal charges against the faculty member and the faculty member is entitled to a hearing before a faculty committee. Under the federal regulations, universities must conduct investigation of formal Title IX complaints via an assigned investigator and must allow the parties an opportunity to present witnesses and evidence as well as review the evidence provided. Investigators must not make official findings of responsibility but may make recommended findings. The new rules incorporate changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Hearing

The current rule provides a faculty member facing charges of dismissal related to allegations of sexual misconduct with the right to a hearing before a faculty committee. The federal regulations require universities conduct live hearings with cross-examination conducted directly, orally, and in real time for all Title IX cases. At a live hearing, if a party does not have an advisor, the institution must provide, without fee or charge, an advisor of the school’s choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party. The parties’ advisors must perform cross-examination. A hearing officer or hearing committee must preside over the hearing and determine the relevance of each question and explain any decision to exclude a question. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides that "[N]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." The U.S. Department of Education has issued guidance through Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance over the years which established the federal agency's expectations for institutions of higher education that receive federal funding. The new federal regulations are the first to interpret this law with
respect to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct and override any guidance provided in the previous Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance. Please see the Plain Language Analysis for further information related to the specific provisions under the new federal regulations.

**Comparison with rules in adjacent states:**

The new federal regulations require all universities that receive federal funding to comply with the regulations or risk losing federal funding. All universities that receive federal funding are required to revise policies and procedures to comply with the federal regulations.

**Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:**

Consulting with UW System and UW System institutions to determine how many Title IX cases are anticipated for this year, as well as the cost of advisors and hearing officers.

**Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business:**

UW System posted its Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate on its website to make it available for comment. UW System also informed the UW System institutions that it had posted these documents. The documents remained posted on the website for 14 days from October 12, 2020 through October 26, 2020. No comments on the economic impact or fiscal estimate were received.

**Fiscal Estimate:**

See attached Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate.

**Effect on small business:**

The new rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The new rules apply specifically to University of Wisconsin System institutions only.

**Agency contact person:**

Sarah Harebo  
Title IX and Clery Administrator  
University of Wisconsin System Administration  
1848 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53706;  
Telephone 608-262-6497;  
email address: sharebo@uwsa.edu.

**Public Comments:**
The Board of Regents will hold a public hearing on November 30, 2020 preceded by a public comment period related to the proposed rule. During the comment periods, comments could be submitted to the agency in any of the following ways: (1) on the web at https://www.wisconsin.edu/compliance/public-comment-form/; (2) by email to compliance@uwsa.edu; (3) at the public hearing; or (4) by mail to Jess Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, 1860 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin.

TEXT OF RULE

Section 1. UWS 7.01 is amended to read:

UWS 7.01 Declaration of policy. University faculty members are responsible for advancing the university's missions of teaching, research, and public service. The fulfillment of these missions requires public trust in the integrity of the institution and in all members of the university community. The university's effectiveness, credibility, and ability to maintain public trust are undermined by criminal activity that poses a substantial risk to the safety of others, that seriously impairs the university's ability to fulfill its missions, or that seriously impairs the faculty member's fitness or ability to fulfill his or her the faculty member’s duties. Situations involving such serious criminal misconduct by faculty members shall be addressed and resolved promptly to ensure that public trust is maintained, and that the university is able to advance its missions. The Board of Regents therefore adopts the procedures in this chapter for identifying and responding to those instances in which a faculty member has engaged in serious criminal misconduct.

Section 2. UWS 7.015(2) is repealed and recreated to read:

(2) “Affected party” means any student, employee, visitor, or an individual participating in a university program or activity, who is a victim of a faculty member’s serious criminal misconduct.

Section 2. UWS 7.02(1)(d) is amended to read:

(d) The faculty member's fitness or ability to fulfill the duties of his or her the faculty member’s position is seriously impaired.

Section 3. UWS 7.03(1) is amended to read:

(1) Any faculty member having tenure may be dismissed only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. Any faculty member having a probationary appointment may be dismissed prior to the end of his or her the term of appointment only by the board and only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing.

Section 4. UWS 7.05(1)(a), (1)(b), (3), (5)(c), (6), and (7) is amended to read:
(1) Within 3 working days of receipt of the report or information, inform the faculty member of its receipt and, after consulting with appropriate institutional governance representatives, appoint an investigator to investigate the report or information and to advise the chancellor as to whether to proceed under this section or ch. UWS 4. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the affected party complainant shall be notified by the chancellor of the receipt report or information at the same time as the faculty member. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of the report or information at the same time as the faculty member.

(b) Upon appointing an investigator and notifying the faculty member, afford the faculty member 3 working days in which to request that the investigator be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In the event that the chancellor determines that a request for disqualification should be granted, the chancellor shall, within 2 working days of the determination, appoint a different investigator. The faculty member shall have the opportunity to request that any second or subsequent investigators be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have the disqualification rights that are afforded to the faculty member in this subsection.

(2) Within 3 working days of receipt of the investigator's report, the chancellor shall consult with appropriate institutional governance representatives and decide whether to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to this chapter, to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to ch. UWS 4, to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, or to discontinue the proceedings. The charges shall be served on the faculty member in the manner specified in UWS 4.02(3).

(a) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to this chapter, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(b) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the faculty member pursuant to ch. UWS 4, the chancellor shall file charges and proceed in accordance with the provisions of that chapter and implementing institutional policies. If, during the course of such proceedings under ch. UWS 4, the chancellor receives a report under s. UWS 7.04 or other credible information that the faculty member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (a), and one or more of the conditions listed in s. UWS 7.02 (1) (b) through (e) are present, the chancellor may, at that point, elect to follow the procedures for dismissal pursuant to this chapter.

(c) If the chancellor decides to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, the procedures under chs. UWS 4 and 6, and implementing institutional policies, shall be followed.

(5) Disciplinary action other than dismissal may be taken by the chancellor, whose decision shall be final, unless the board at its option grants a review on the record at the
request of the faculty member. The faculty member shall receive a copy of the chancellor’s final decision. The faculty member shall receive a copy of the chancellor's final decision. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to shall receive provide the affected party a copy of the chancellor's final decision at the same time as the faculty member and shall have the same right to a review of the record as the faculty member.

(6) Upon receipt of the chancellor's recommendation, the full board shall review the record before the institutional hearing committee and shall offer an opportunity for filing exceptions to the recommendation, as well as for oral argument. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the faculty member in this paragraph. The full board shall issue its decision on the matter within 15 working days of receipt of the chancellor's recommendation. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the board shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of its decision at the same time as the faculty member.

(8) The administration or its representatives shall have the burden of proof to show that just cause exists for dismissal under this chapter. The administration shall demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the faculty member engaged in serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 7.02., except in cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, in which the evidentiary standard shall be by a preponderance of the evidence.

Section 5. Effective Date. This rule shall take effect upon approval of the Governor and the Legislature.

(END OF TEXT OF RULE)
Notice of Submittal of Proposed Rule
to Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse

On October 27, 2020, the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System submitted a proposed rule to the Wisconsin Legislative Council Clearinghouse pursuant to s.227.14(1), Wis. Stats.

Analysis
The proposed rule affects Chapter UWS 11, relating to academic staff dismissal procedures for cause.

Statement of Scope
The scope statement for this rule, SS 082-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register No. 774A4 on June 19, 2020, and approved by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

Agency Procedure for Promulgation
A public hearing is required and will be held on November 30, 2020.

Agency Organizational Unit Primarily Responsible for Promulgating Rule
The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

Agency Contact Person
Sarah Harebo, UW System Title IX and Clery Administrator, 1220 Linden Drive, Van Hise Hall, Room 1848, Madison, WI; sharebo@uwsa.edu; (608) 262-6497.
ORDER OF THE BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
WISCONSIN SYSTEM
AMENDING AND ADOPTING
EMERGENCY RULES

The statements of scope for this rule, SS 082-20, were approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register 774A on June 19, 2020, and approved by Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

ORDER

An order of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System to amend UWS 11.02(1) and (2), UWS 11.05(1) and (2), 11.06(1) and (2), 11.07, 11.08, 11.10, 11.11, 11.12, 11.29(1), 11.31(1) and (3) and (5) and (6), 11.32(1), and 11.33; repeal and recreate 11.015(intro.) and (2) and (5) and (6) and (9) and (10) and (11); renumber 11.101, 11.102, 11.103, 11.104, 11.105, and 11.106 to 11.28, 11.29, 11.30, 11.31, 11.32, and 11.33 respectively; and create Subchapter I, UWS 11.01(4), 11.015(6m), Subchapter II, 11.016, 11.102(5), Subchapter III, and Subchapter IV, relating to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct against academic staff of the University of Wisconsin System.

Analysis prepared by the Board of Regents and the University of Wisconsin System.

ANALYSIS

Statutes interpreted: ss. 36.09 (1)(a) and 36.15 (3), Stats.

Statutory authority: ss. 36.09 (1)(a) and 36.15 (3), Stats.

Explanation of agency authority:

s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.: “The primary responsibility for governance of the system shall be vested in the board which shall enact policies and promulgate rules for governing the system.”

s. 36.15 (3), Stats.: “A person having an academic staff appointment for a term may be dismissed prior to the end of the appointment term only for just cause and only after due notice and hearing. . . . The board shall develop procedures for notice and hearing which shall be promulgated as rules under ch.227.”
Related statute or rule: N/A

Plain language analysis:

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct and Non-Title IX Sexual Misconduct**

The new federal regulations narrow the scope of conduct to which Title IX protections apply. However, the federal regulations specify that schools are not prohibited from addressing a broader scope of conduct under institutional codes of conduct. Under the new rule, allegations of sexual misconduct that do not fall within the scope of Title IX will continue to be addressed using student and employee conduct codes.

**Definitions**

The current rule defines sexual misconduct, such as sexual harassment and sexual assault, under the corresponding statutory definitions in the Wisconsin Statutes. The new federal regulations require adoption of definitions for sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking from the federal Clery and Violence Against Women Acts. Additionally, the new federal regulations define sexual harassment for Title IX purposes to include quid pro quo sexual harassment and hostile environment sexual harassment consisting of unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive.

The current rule allows University of Wisconsin System institutions to address allegations of sexual misconduct when the conduct occurs on university property, at university-sponsored events, or the conduct affects a substantial university interest. The new federal regulations narrow that definition to the following elements: (1) the school has actual knowledge of sexual harassment; (2) that occurred within the school’s education program or activity; (3) against a person in the United States. The regulations go on to define “education program or activity” to include situations over which the school exercised substantial control as well as buildings owned or controlled by student organizations officially recognized by a university, such as many fraternity and sorority houses. The new rule specifies the procedures University of Wisconsin System institutions must use in addressing sexual misconduct that meets the new definition and scope of the new federal regulations, as well as the procedures to be used in addressing sexual misconduct that falls outside of the scope of the regulations.

The current rule contains no definition for “sexual exploitation.” The new rule adds a definition of “sexual exploitation” to the list of sexual misconduct that University of Wisconsin System institutions address.

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct Procedures**

**Notice**
The current rule mentions several instances in which employees involved in an investigation of sexual misconduct must receive notice. The new federal regulations require notice to parties of formal Title IX complaints in more instances and in greater detail than the current rule provides. The new rule will update notice requirements to comply with the new federal regulations.

**Mandatory Dismissal and Discretionary Dismissal**

The current rule states that University of Wisconsin System institutions may or must dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct under certain circumstances. The new federal regulations define certain instances in which universities must or may dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct. For example, universities must dismiss allegations that do not meet the definitions of sexual misconduct under Title IX and may dismiss allegations if a complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint. A university may still address these dismissed complaints under other code of conduct provisions. The new regulations also grant the parties the right to appeal the university’s dismissal of allegations. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

**Investigation**

Under the current rule, University of Wisconsin System institutions investigate allegations of sexual misconduct through formal investigations, the investigator provides the opportunity for both parties to meet with the investigator to discuss the allegations, the investigator provides the chancellor with a written report that may include recommended sanctions against the academic staff member, the chancellor, if appropriate, files dismissal charges against the academic staff member and the academic staff member is entitled to a hearing before an academic staff committee. Under the federal regulations, universities must conduct investigation of formal Title IX complaints via an assigned investigator and must allow the parties an opportunity to present witnesses and evidence as well as review the evidence provided. Investigators must not make official findings of responsibility but may make recommended findings. The new rules incorporate changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

**Hearing**

The current rule provides an academic staff member facing charges of dismissal related to allegations of sexual misconduct with the right to a hearing before an academic staff committee. The federal regulations require universities conduct live hearings with cross-examination conducted directly, orally, and in real time for all Title IX cases. At a live hearing, if a party does not have an advisor, the institution must provide, without fee or charge, an advisor of the school’s choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party. The parties’ advisors must perform cross-examination. A hearing officer or hearing committee must preside over the hearing and determine the relevance of each question and explain any decision to exclude
a question. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

**Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:**

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides that "[N]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." The U.S. Department of Education has issued guidance through Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance over the years which established the federal agency's expectations for institutions of higher education that receive federal funding. The new federal regulations are the first to interpret this law with respect to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct and override any guidance provided in the previous Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance. Please see the Plain Language Analysis for further information related to the specific provisions under the new federal regulations.

**Comparison with rules in adjacent states:**

The new federal regulations require all universities that receive federal funding to comply with the regulations or risk losing federal funding. All universities that receive federal funding are required to revise policies and procedures to comply with the federal regulations.

**Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:**

Consulting with UW System and UW System institutions to determine how many Title IX cases are anticipated for this year, as well as the cost of advisors and hearing officers.

**Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business:**

UW System posted its Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate on its website to make it available for comment. UW System also informed the UW System institutions that it had posted these documents. The documents remained posted on the website for 14 days from October 12, 2020 through October 26, 2020. No comments on the economic impact or fiscal estimate were received.

**Fiscal Estimate:**

See attached Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate.

**Effect on small business:**

The new rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The new rules apply specifically to University of Wisconsin System institutions only.
Agency contact person:

Sarah Harebo
Title IX and Clery Administrator
University of Wisconsin System Administration
1848 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53706;
Telephone 608-262-6497;
email address: sharebo@uwsa.edu.

Public Comments:

The Board of Regents will hold a public hearing on November 30, 2020 preceded by a public comment period related to the proposed rule. During the comment periods, comments could be submitted to the agency in any of the following ways: (1) on the web at https://www.wisconsin.edu/compliance/public-comment-form/; (2) by email to compliance@uwsa.edu; (3) at the public hearing; or (4) by mail to Jess Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, 1860 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin.

TEXT OF RULE

Section 1. Subchapter I – General of Chapter UWS 11 [precedes UWS 11.01] is created to read:

UWS 11
SUBCHAPTER I
GENERAL

Section 2. UWS 11.01(4) is created to read:

(4) Indefinite appointment academic staff dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.13, shall be governed by ss. UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26.

Section 3. UWS 11.015 (intro.), (2), (5), (6), (9), (10), and (11) are repealed and recreated to read:

UWS 11.015 Definition. (intro.) In this chapter:

(2) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in this section. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.
(5) “Dating violence” means violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(6) “Domestic violence” means felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth complainant who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin as per ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075, Stats.

(9) “Sexual assault” means an offense that meets any of the following definitions found in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a):

(a) “Rape” means the penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of the complainant, without the consent of the complainant.

(b) “Fondling” means the touching of the private body parts of the complainant for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of their age or because of their temporary or permanent mental incapacity.

(c) “Incest” means sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as per s. 944.06, Stats.

(d) “Statutory Rape” means sexual intercourse with a complainant who is under the statutory age of consent as per s. 948.02, Stats.

(10) “Sexual exploitation” occurs when an individual attempts, takes or threatens to take, nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include:

(a) Engaging in the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:

1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.
2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.
3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the sexual activity or private body parts of the complainant.
(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in the complainant’s presence without the consent of the complainant, or inducing the complainant to do the same.
(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual activity.
(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making the complainant vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.
(e) Coercing the complainant to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.
(f) Threatening distribution any of the following, to coerce someone into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:
   1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of the complainant.
   2. Other information of a sexual nature involving the complainant, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

(11) “Stalking” means engaging in a course of conduct directed at the complainant that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

Section 4. UWS 11.015(6m) is created to read:

(6m) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol or drugs affects a person’s decision-making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments; capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

Section 5. Subchapter II – Procedures for Academic Staff Dismissal in Non-Title IX Related Cases of Chapter UWS 11 [precedes UWS 11.016] is created to read:

UWS 11
SUBCHAPTER II
PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC STAFF DISMISSAL IN NON-TITLE IX RELATED CASES

Section 6. UWS 11.016 is created to read:

(1) “Complainant” as means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual exploitation, as defined in this section.
“Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

(a) Quid Pro Quo

1. An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
2. An employee of the institution either explicitly or implicitly conditions the provision of an academic, professional, or employment-related opportunity, aid, benefit, or service on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

(b) Hostile Environment

1. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.
2. Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard is so severe or pervasive and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in an university sponsored or supported activity.

Section 7. UWS 11.02(1) and (2) is amended to read:

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution receives an allegation which concerns an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment which appears to be substantial and which, if true, might lead to dismissal under s. UWS 11.01, the chancellor shall request within a reasonable time that the appropriate dean, director, or designee investigate the allegation. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the chancellor shall direct the Title IX Coordinator, or designee, to initiate an investigation in accordance with applicable policies. The dean, director, or designee shall offer to discuss it informally with the academic staff member, and, if the allegation involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, with the complainant and provide information of rights under this chapter. Both the academic staff member and the complainant shall have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice at any meeting or proceeding that is part of the institutional disciplinary process. If such an investigation and discussion does not result in a resolution of the allegation and if the allegation is deemed sufficiently serious to warrant dismissal, the dean, director, or designee shall prepare a written statement of specific charges. A member of the academic staff may be dismissed only after receipt of such a statement of specific charges and, if a hearing is requested by the academic staff member, after a
hearing held in accordance with the provisions of this chapter and the subsequently adopted procedures of the institution. If the staff member does not request a hearing, dismissal action shall proceed along normal administrative lines but the provisions of ss. UWS 11.02, 11.08, and 11.09 shall apply. In those cases where the immediate supervisor of the academic staff member concerned is a dean or director, the chancellor shall, to avoid potential prejudice, designate an appropriate administrative officer to act for the dean or director under this section.

(2) Any formal statement of specific charges shall be served personally, by electronic means, or by certified mail, return receipt requested. If such service cannot be made within 20 days, service shall be accomplished by first class mail and by publication as if the statement of charges were a summons and the provisions of s. 801.11 (1) (c), Stats., were applicable. Such service by mailing and publication shall be effective as of the first insertion of the notice of statement of charges in the newspaper. If the formal statement of specific charges involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the formal statement shall be provided to the complainant upon request, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

Section 8. UWS 11.05(1) and (2) is amended to read:

(1) Each institution shall develop policies and procedures to provide for a fair hearing upon request in the event of dismissal. A fair hearing for an academic staff member whose dismissal is sought under s. UWS 11.01 shall include all of the following:

(a) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary evidence upon the basis of which dismissal is sought.

(b) A right to be heard in his or her defense.

(c) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representative, and to offer witnesses;

(d) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the hearing committee may reasonably restrict the academic staff member and the complainant from questioning each other.

(e) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, provided at no cost.

(f) Written findings of fact and decision based on the hearing record.

(g) Admissibility of evidence governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats.

(2) For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all the rights provided to the academic staff member in s. UWS 11.05 (1) (a) to (g), except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

Section 9. UWS 11.06(1) and (2) is amended to read:
(1) The following requirements shall also be observed:
   (a) Any person who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness shall not be qualified to participate as a member of the hearing body.
   (b) The hearing shall be closed unless the staff member under charges requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open.
       Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law).
   (c) The hearing body shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges.
   (d) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause is on the administration or its representatives.
   (dm) For complaints of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence.
   (e) If a staff member whose dismissal is sought has requested a hearing, discontinuance of the proceeding by the institution is deemed a withdrawal of charges and a finding that the charges were without merit.
   (f) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the administration and the staff member, with the chancellor's approval, at any time prior to a final decision by the chancellor; or when appropriate, with the board's approval prior to a final decision by the board.
   (g) Adjournments shall be granted to enable either party to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.

(2) If the institutional policies and procedures provide that dismissal cases be heard by a hearing committee, the following requirements shall be observed:
   (a) The committee may, on motion of either party, and, if the complaint involves sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, on the motion of the complainant, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of replacements equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the policies and procedures adopted by the institution.
   (b) If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the committee within the provisions of the policies and procedures adopted by the institution.
Section 10. UWS 11.07 is amended to read:

**UWS 11.07 Recommendations to the chancellor.** The hearing body shall send to the chancellor and to the academic staff member concerned, as soon as practicable after conclusion of a hearing, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its report, findings, and recommendations. After reviewing the matter on record and considering arguments if submitted by the parties, the chancellor shall issue a decision. In that decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the academic staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the academic staff member. The academic staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall be notified of the chancellor's decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member, grants review based on the record. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have all rights provided to the academic staff member in this paragraph.

Section 11. UWS 11.08 is amended to read:

**UWS 11.08 Suspension from duties.** Pending the final decision as to dismissal, the academic staff member with an indefinite appointment shall not be relieved of duties, except where, after consulting with the appropriate administrative officer, the chancellor finds that substantial harm may result if the staff member is continued in his or her position. Where such determination is made, the staff member may be relieved of his or her position immediately, or be assigned to another administrative unit, but his or her salary shall continue until the chancellor makes a decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in s. UWS 11.105 (1) in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the procedures set forth in s. UWS 11.105 shall apply.

Section 12. UWS 11.10 is amended to read:

**UWS 11.10 Board review.** A member of the academic staff on indefinite appointment who has been dismissed for cause by the chancellor following a hearing may appeal this action to the board. Any appeal must be made within 30 days of the date of the decision of the chancellor to dismiss. Upon receiving an appeal the board shall review the case on the record. Following such review the board may confirm the chancellor's decision, or direct a different decision, or approve a further hearing before the board with an opportunity for filing exceptions to the hearing body's recommendations or the chancellor's decision and for oral argument on the record. If further review with opportunity for oral argument on the record is provided, this review shall be closed unless
the staff member requests an open hearing. All decisions of the board, whether after review on the record or after oral argument, shall be expressed in writing and shall indicate the basis for such decision. For complaints involving sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, sexual exploitation, or stalking, the complainant shall have the same opportunity to appeal, file exceptions to the recommendations of the hearing committee or chancellor, and oral arguments, as provided to the academic staff member.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

Section 13. UWS 11.101 to 11.106 renumbered to UWS 11.28 to 11.33 respectively.

Section 14. UWS 11.11 is amended to read:

UWS 11.11  Dismissal for cause-fixed term or probationary academic staff appointments. A member of the academic staff holding a probationary appointment, or a member of the academic staff holding a fixed term appointment and having completed an initial specified period of time, may be dismissed prior to the end of the contract term only for just cause or for reasons of budget or program under ch. UWS 12. A nonrenewal of such an appointment is not a dismissal under this section. A dismissal shall not become effective until the individual concerned has received a written notification of specific charges and has been offered an opportunity for a hearing before the appropriate dean or director or his/her designee. If such hearing is requested, a determination of just cause and notification of dismissal shall be made by the dean or director or designee. If no hearing is requested the dismissal is effected by the specifications in the original notification of charges. The hearing before the dean, director, or designee shall provide the academic staff member with an opportunity to present evidence and argument concerning the allegations. Dismissal shall be effective immediately on receipt of written notification of the decision of the dean or director or designee unless a different dismissal date is specified by the dean or director. Dismissals for cause shall be appealable by filing an appeal with the hearing body established under s. UWS 11.03. The burden of proof as to the existence of just cause on appeal shall be on the administration or the authorized official. The provisions of s. UWS 11.04, procedural guarantees, contained in ss. UWS 11.05 and 11.06 and the review provisions of s. UWS 11.07, shall be applicable to the appeal proceeding. In no event, however, shall a decision favorable to the appellant extend the term of the original appointment. If a proceeding on appeal is not concluded before the appointment expiration date, the academic staff member concerned may elect that such proceeding be carried to a final decision. Unless such election is made in writing, the proceeding shall be discontinued at the expiration of the appointment. If the chancellor ultimately decides in favor of the appellant, salary lost during the interim period between the effective date of dismissal and the date of the chancellor's decision or the end of the contract period, whichever is earlier, shall be restored. In those cases where the immediate supervisor of the academic staff member concerned is a dean or director, the chancellor shall, to avoid potential prejudice, designate an appropriate administrative officer to act for the dean or director under this section. For complaints involving sexual
harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have all procedural rights provided to the academic staff member in this section and the standard of proof shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. Dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct, as defined in UWS 11.13, shall be governed by UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26.

Section 15. UWS 11.12 is amended to read:
UWS 11.12 Dismissal for cause-teaching members of the academic staff. The policies and procedures of each institution may provide that dismissal for cause of a member of the academic staff having teaching responsibilities and holding a probationary appointment or a fixed term appointment may proceed under ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10. If the institutional policies and procedures do not specifically make such provisions, dismissal for cause shall be made pursuant to s. UWS 11.11. Dismissal for cause and lesser discipline based on allegations of Title IX misconduct as defined in UWS 11.13 shall be governed by UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26.

Section 16. Subchapter III – Procedures for Academic Staff Dismissal in Title IX Related Case is created to read:

UWS 11
SUBCHAPTER II
PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC DISMISSAL IN NON-TITLE IX RELATED CASES

UWS 11.13 Subchapter III definitions. As used in ss. UWS 11.13 to UWS 11.26, the following terms shall have the meaning given below:

(1) “Complainant” means any individual who is alleged to be the subject of Title IX misconduct, as defined in this section.

(2) “Education program or activity” means, for purposes of Title IX misconduct only, locations, events, or circumstances over which the university exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the relevant misconduct occurs, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

(3) “Formal Title IX complaint” means, for the purposes of Title IX misconduct only, a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against an academic staff member and requesting that the institution investigate the allegations. At the time of filing of the formal Title IX complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal complaint may be filed in person, by mail, by electronic mail, or any other method designated by the university. A formal Title IX complaint shall include a physical or digital signature of the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.
(4) “Respondent” means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of Title IX misconduct as defined in this section.

(5) “Sexual harassment” means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies any of the following:
   (a) An employee of the institution conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution directly or indirectly on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.
   (b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in a program or activity of the university that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard, the conduct is so severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.

(6) “Title IX misconduct” means sexual harassment, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence as defined in this section.

UWS 11.14 Dismissal for cause or lesser discipline for Title IX misconduct.

(1) The board may dismiss an academic staff member for cause, or impose lesser discipline on an academic staff member, for Title IX misconduct as those terms are defined in s. UWS 11.13.

(2) Title IX misconduct allegations against academic staff shall follow the disciplinary procedure in ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26. An academic staff member may be dismissed only for just cause and may otherwise be disciplined only after due notice and hearing.

(3) The board's policy is that members of the academic staff are entitled to enjoy and exercise all rights of United States citizens and to perform their duties in accordance with appropriate professional codes of ethics. This policy shall be observed in determining whether or not just cause for dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, exists. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds for other discipline, is on the administration.

(4) The academic staff member is presumed to be not responsible for the alleged Title IX misconduct until a final decision regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary process. The burden of proof of the existence of just cause for a dismissal, or grounds other discipline, is on the university administration.

UWS 11.15 Application of Title IX misconduct disciplinary procedure. This disciplinary procedure for Title IX misconduct will be used only when all of the following requirements are met:

(1) There is a formal Title IX complaint alleging Title IX misconduct on the basis of sex.

(2) The conduct occurred in the United States.
(3) The conduct occurred within the university’s education programs or activities.

(4) The complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the university at the time of filing the formal Title IX complaint.

(5) The complainant or Title IX Coordinator have submitted a written formal Title IX complaint as defined in s. UWS 4.12.

Section 17. UWS 11.16 is created to read:

UWS 11.16 Dismissal of formal Title IX complaint and related appeal.

(1) The university shall dismiss formal Title IX complaints consisting of allegations that meet any of the following conditions:
   (a) The alleged conduct would not constitute sexual harassment if proved.
   (b) The alleged conduct did not occur in a university education program or activity.
   (c) The alleged conduct did not involve actions against someone physically located in the United States.

(2) The university may dismiss formal Title IX complaints under any of the following conditions:
   (a) The complainant formally requests in writing to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint.
   (b) The academic staff member is no longer employed by the university.
   (c) Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination on the allegations contained in the formal Title IX complaint.

(3) The university generally shall decide whether to dismiss a formal Title IX complaint within 30 days of receipt of the formal complaint, but the university may extend that timeline as necessary. If a formal complaint is dismissed, the university shall provide notice of the dismissal and reasons therefore to the academic staff member and complainant in writing.

(4) Within 20 days of receipt of the notice of dismissal, the complainant or academic staff member may appeal the dismissal by filing a written appeal with the chancellor. The complainant or academic staff member may appeal on any of the following bases:
   (a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.
   (b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the dismissal that could affect the outcome of the matter.
   (c) The university employee making the dismissal decision had a conflict of interest or bias for the academic staff member or against the complainant, or against complainants generally, that affected the dismissal decision.
(5) The chancellor shall provide the academic staff member and complainant the opportunity to provide a written statement supporting or challenging the dismissal. The chancellor shall simultaneously issue a decision to the complainant and the academic staff member within 30 days of receipt of a written appeal. The chancellor’s decision on the appeal of a dismissal shall be final.

(6) The dismissal of a formal Title IX Complaint does not preclude the university from otherwise pursuing discipline against the academic staff member under other administrative rules or university policies.

UWS 11.17 Investigation of Title IX misconduct allegations.

(1) Unless the university dismisses a formal complaint, the university shall appoint an investigator to conduct an investigation of the allegations in the formal complaint.

(2) The investigator shall provide the academic staff member and the complainant with a notice of investigation. The notice shall include all of the following:
   (a) The grievance process, including informal resolution options.
   (b) The allegations of Title IX sexual misconduct with sufficient detail for the academic staff member to prepare a response to the allegations, including the identity of the complainant as well as the date and location of the incident if available.
   (c) A statement affirming the academic staff member is presumed not responsible for the alleged violation until the disciplinary process finds otherwise.
   (d) The academic staff member and complainant have the right to an advisor of their choice.
   (e) The academic staff member and complainant have the right to inspect and review the evidence.
   (f) Information about any code of conduct rules which prohibit the academic staff member or the complainant from knowingly making false statements or submitting false information during the disciplinary process.

(3) The parties shall receive an amended notice of investigation any time additional charges are added during the course of an investigation. Formal complaints involving more than one complainant or respondent may be consolidated if they arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

(4) The university’s investigator shall do all of the following:
   (a) Provide both the academic staff member and the complainant an equal opportunity to provide witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, who may be interviewed by the investigators and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.
   (b) Not restrict the ability of either the academic staff member or complainant to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.
(c) Provide the academic staff member and complainant the same opportunity to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice during meeting relating to the investigation but may limit the participation by the advisor so long as those limits are applied equally.

(d) Provide both the academic staff member and the complainant an equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from an academic staff member, complainant, or other source, so that the academic staff member and complainant can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(5) As part of its investigation and disciplinary process, the university may not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use an academic staff member's or complainant’s records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the academic staff member or complainant, unless the university obtains the academic staff member’s or complainant’s voluntary, written consent to do so in relation to the investigation and disciplinary process.

(6) The university’s investigator generally shall complete the investigation and issue a final investigative report within 90 days of the investigator’s appointment. However, the investigator may extend the investigation’s time frame where circumstances warrant.

**UWS 11.18 Review of Evidence.**

(1) Prior to completion of the final investigative report, the investigator shall send to the academic staff member and complainant and their respective advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the investigation for inspection and review by the academic staff member and the complainant. The evidence may be provided in an electronic format or a hard copy. The evidence provided includes evidence upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from the academic staff member, complainant or other source to permit the academic staff member and complainant to meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(2) The academic staff member and the complainant shall have at least 10 days to submit a written response to the evidence. The investigator shall consider any written responses prior to completion of the final investigative report.

**UWS 11.19 Final Investigative Report.** The investigator shall create a final investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and send the report to the
academic staff member, the complainant, and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the academic staff member and complainant at least 10 days prior to a hearing. The university shall, upon receipt of the final investigative report, proceed to schedule a live hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the academic staff member and the complainant both waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

UWS 11.20 Standing academic staff committee and hearing examiner.

(1) The chancellor of each university, in consultation with academic staff representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the designation of a Title IX misconduct hearing examiner. The chancellor shall select hearing examiners pursuant to these policies to hear academic staff dismissal and discipline cases. Additionally, the academic staff of each university shall provide a standing hearing committee charged with hearing academic staff dismissal and discipline cases. The chancellor shall appoint the presiding member of the hearing committee, who may be a hearing examiner. The academic staff member shall have the right to decide whether a hearing examiner or a hearing committee will hear the matter.

(2) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall conduct the hearing, make a verbatim record of the hearing, and transmit such record along with factual findings and decision to the chancellor. The hearing shall be held no later than 45 days after completion of the final investigative report except that this time limit may be extended by the hearing committee or the hearing examiner.

UWS 11.21 Adequate due process.

(1) A fair hearing for an academic staff member against whom dismissal or other discipline is sought shall include all of the following:
   (a) Service of written notice of a live hearing on the allegations in the formal complaint at least 10 days prior to the hearing.
   (b) A right to the names of witnesses and of access to documentary and other evidence which serve as the basis for seeking dismissal or other discipline.
   (c) A right for the complainant and academic staff member to be heard on their own behalf.
   (d) A right to an advisor, counsel, or other representatives, and to offer witnesses. The academic staff member’s or complainant’s advisor or counsel may ask all witnesses relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility. Credibility determinations, however, may not be made based on a person’s status as a complainant, respondent, or witness. If the academic staff member does not have an advisor, the university shall provide the academic staff member, without charge, an advisor of the university’s choice to conduct cross-examination on behalf of the academic staff member. The advisor may be an attorney.
   (e) A right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses. The academic staff member’s or complainant’s advisor shall conduct cross examination directly,
orally, and in real time. The academic staff member and the complainant may not personally conduct cross examination. If the academic staff member, the complainant, or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, the hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not rely on any statement of the academic staff member, complainant, or witness in reaching its findings and recommendations. However, the hearing committee or hearing examiner may not draw a negative inference in reaching its findings and recommendations based solely on the absence of an academic staff member, complainant, or witness from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.

(f) A verbatim record of all hearings, which might be a sound recording, made available at no cost for inspection and review.

(g) Written findings of fact supporting the decision based on the hearing record. The written findings of fact and decision shall include all of the following:

1. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX misconduct.
2. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s decision, including any notifications to the academic staff member and the complainant, interviews with the academic staff member, the complainant, and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather evidence, and hearings held.
3. Conclusions regarding the application of the university’s conduct rules and policies to the facts including the following: a determination regarding responsibility for each allegation and the rationale behind each decision, any disciplinary sanction recommended to be imposed, any remedies recommended to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s educational program or activity, and the university’s procedures and permissible bases for complainant and academic staff member to appeal.

(h) Admissibility of evidence governed by s. 227.45 (1) to (4), Stats. Only relevant questions may be asked of the academic staff member, the complainant, and any witnesses. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall determine whether a question is relevant and explain the decision to exclude a question as not relevant. Questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions or evidence are offered to prove that someone other than the academic staff member committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or unless the questions or evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with the academic staff member and are offered to prove consent.

(i) Upon the academic staff member’s request, the university shall provide for the hearing to occur with academic staff member and complainant located in separate rooms with technology enabling the hearing committee or hearing examiner, the academic staff member, and the complainant to simultaneously see and hear witnesses answering questions.

(2) The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the academic staff member in sub. (1) (a) to (i).
UWS 11.22 Procedural guarantees.

(1) Any hearing held shall comply with the requirements set forth in the preceding section. All of the following requirements shall also be observed:
   (a) The burden of proof of the existence of just cause to support dismissal, or of grounds to support other discipline, is on the university administration.
   (b) The standard of proof shall be a preponderance of the evidence.
   (c) No academic staff member who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or who participated in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to sit on the hearing committee in that case.
   (d) No university employee or other person who participated in the investigation of allegations leading to the filing of a statement of charges, or who participated in the filing of a statement of charges, or who is a material witness, shall be qualified to serve as the hearing examiner in that case.
   (e) The hearing shall be closed unless the academic staff member requests an open hearing, in which case it shall be open.
      Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats.
   (f) The hearing committee may, on motion of the complainant or the academic staff member, disqualify any one of its members for cause by a majority vote. If one or more of the hearing committee members disqualify themselves or are disqualified, the remaining members may select a number of other members of the academic staff equal to the number who have been disqualified to serve, except that alternative methods of replacement may be specified in the rules and procedures adopted by the academic staff establishing the standing committee under this rule.
   (g) The hearing committee or the hearing examiner may not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges unless the person holding the privilege has waived it. The hearing committee or the hearing examiner shall follow the evidentiary rules in s. UWS 11.21(1)(h).
   (h) If the hearing committee requests, the chancellor shall provide legal counsel after consulting with the hearing committee concerning its wishes in this regard. The function of legal counsel shall be to advise the hearing committee, consult with them on legal matters, and such other responsibilities as shall be determined by the hearing committee within the provisions of the rules and procedures adopted by the academic staff of the institution in establishing the standing academic staff committee under this policy.
   (i) Nothing in this section shall prevent the settlement of cases by mutual agreement between the university administration, the complainant, and the academic staff member.
   (j) Delay or adjournment of the hearing for good cause may be granted. Good cause includes any of the following:
1. The need to investigate evidence as to which a valid claim of surprise is made.
2. To ensure the presence of the academic staff member or the complainant, an advisor, or a witness.
3. To provide language assistance or accommodation of disabilities.
4. To accommodate concurrent law enforcement activity.

UWS 11.23 Hearing Committee or Hearing Examiner Findings and Recommendations to the Chancellor. The hearing committee or hearing examiner shall simultaneously send to the chancellor, to the complainant, and to the academic staff member concerned, within 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or otherwise as soon as practicable, a verbatim record of the testimony and a copy of its factual findings and recommendations.

UWS 11.24 Chancellor’s decision.

(1) After reviewing the matter on record and considering any arguments submitted by the parties, the chancellor shall issue a decision. The chancellor may adopt the hearing committee or hearing examiner’s findings and recommendations as the chancellor’s decision. The chancellor shall explain in the decision any substantial differences from those findings and recommendations. If the chancellor’s proposed decision differs substantially from those recommendations, the chancellor shall promptly consult the hearing committee or the hearing examiner and provide the committee or the hearing examiner with a reasonable opportunity for a written response prior to making a decision. In that decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the academic staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the academic staff member. The academic staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. The complainant shall be notified of the chancellor's decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member or complainant, grants review based on the record.

(2) The chancellor decision shall be based on the record created before the hearing committee or hearing examiner, and the chancellor shall include the chancellor’s rationale in the decision. The chancellor’s decision shall be simultaneously sent to the academic staff member concerned, the complainant, and to the hearing committee or the hearing examiner within 45 days of the chancellor’s receipt of the hearing committee’s or hearing examiner’s materials. A decision by the chancellor ordering dismissal shall specify the effective date of the dismissal.

UWS 11.25 Appeal to the Board.

(1) A member of the academic staff or the complainant may file and appeal of the chancellor’s decision to the board. Any appeal must be made within 30 days of the date of the decision of the chancellor to dismiss. The board shall provide the academic staff member and the complainant an opportunity for filing written exceptions to the chancellor’s decision, and for oral arguments, unless the academic staff member and the
complainant waive in writing the right to file exceptions and for oral arguments. The
hearing of any oral arguments shall be closed unless the academic staff member or the
complainant requests an open hearing.

Note: See subch. V of ch. 19, Stats., Open Meeting Law.

(2) The academic staff member or complainant may file exceptions to the chancellor’s
decision, and the board shall conduct its review of the chancellor’s decision, on any of
the following bases:

(a) Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter.
(b) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time of the live hearing
that could affect the outcome of the matter.
(c) The Title IX coordinator, investigator, the chancellor, the hearing examiner, or
the hearing committee members had a conflict of interest or bias for or against the
academic staff member or complainant, or against complainants and respondents
generally, that affected the outcome.

(3) If the board decides to take action different from the decision of the chancellor, then
before taking final action the board shall consult with the chancellor.

(4) The board shall make its decision based on the record created before the hearing
committee or hearing examiner. Within 60 days of receipt of the chancellor’s decision, or
otherwise as soon as practicable, the board shall simultaneously notify the academic staff
member and the complainant of the board's final decision, which shall include the board’s
rationale for its decision.

(5) A decision by the board ordering dismissal of an academic staff member shall specify
the effective date of the dismissal.

UWS 11.26 Suspension from duties in Title IX misconduct dismissal cases. Pending
the final decision as to dismissal, an academic staff member with an indefinite
appointment may not be relieved of duties, except where, after consulting with the
appropriate administrative officer, the chancellor finds that substantial harm may result if
the staff member is continued in his or her position. Where such determination is made,
the staff member may be relieved of his or her position immediately, or be assigned to
another administrative unit, but his or her salary shall continue until the chancellor makes
a decision as to dismissal, unless the chancellor also makes the determinations set forth in
s. UWS 11.105 (1) in which case the suspension from duties may be without pay and the
procedures set forth in s. UWS 11.105 shall apply.

Section 18. Subchapter IV – Procedures for Dismissal for Cause in Special Cases –
Indefinite Academic Staff Appointments of Chapter UWS 11 [precedes UWS 11.27]
is created to read:

UWS 11
SUBCHAPTER IV
PROCEDURES FOR DISMISSAL FOR CAUSE IN SPECIAL CASES – INDEFINITE ACADEMIC STAFF APPOINTMENTS

Section 19. UWS 11.27 is created to read:

UWS 11.27 Subchapter IV definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) “Affected party” means any student, employee, visitor, or an individual participating in a university program or activity, who is a victim of an academic staff member’s serious criminal misconduct.

Section 20. UWS 11.28 is amended to read:

UWS 11.28  Dismissal for cause in special cases - indefinite academic staff appointments. A member of the academic staff holding an indefinite appointment may be dismissed for serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 11.102 UWS 11.29.

Section 20. UWS 11.29(1) is amended to read:

(1) In this chapter, “serious criminal misconduct” means:
   (a) Pleading guilty or no contest to, or being convicted of a felony, in state or federal court, where one or more of the conditions in par. (b), (c), (d), or (e) are present, and the felony involves any of the following:
      1. Causing serious physical injury to another person.
      2. Creating a serious danger to the personal safety of another person.
      4. Theft, fraud or embezzlement.
      5. Criminal damage to property.
      6. Stalking or harassment.
   (b) A substantial risk to the safety of members of the university community or others is posed.
   (c) The university's ability, or the ability of the academic staff member's colleagues, to fulfill teaching, research or public service missions is seriously impaired.
   (d) The academic staff member's fitness or ability to fulfill the duties of his or her position is seriously impaired.
   (e) The opportunity of students to learn, do research, or engage in public service is seriously impaired.

Section 21. UWS 11.31(1), (3), (5), and (6) are amended to read:

(1) Whenever the chancellor of an institution within the University of Wisconsin System receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment has pleaded guilty or no contest to, or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), in state or federal court, the chancellor shall:
(a) Within 3 working days of receipt of the report or information, inform the academic staff member of its receipt and, after consulting with appropriate institutional governance representatives, appoint an investigator to investigate the report or information and advise the chancellor as to whether to proceed under this section or ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of the report or information at the same time as the academic staff member. For cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall be notified by the chancellor of the receipt of the report or information at the same time as the academic staff member.

(b) Upon appointing an investigator and notifying the academic staff member, afford the academic staff member three working days in which to request that the investigator be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. In the event that the chancellor determines that a request for disqualification should be granted, the chancellor shall, within 2 working days of the determination, appoint a different investigator. The academic staff member shall have the opportunity to request that any second or subsequent investigators be disqualified on grounds of lack of impartiality or other cause. For cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have the disqualification rights that are afforded to the academic staff member in this subsection.

(3) Within 3 working days of receipt of the investigator's report, the chancellor shall consult with appropriate institutional governance representatives and decide whether to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10, to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.25, to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, or to discontinue the proceedings. The charges shall be served on the academic staff member in the manner specified in s. UWS 11.02 (2).

(a) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.101 to 11.106, the chancellor shall file charges within 2 working days of reaching the decision.

(b) If the chancellor decides to seek dismissal of the academic staff member pursuant to ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor shall file charges and proceed in accordance with the provisions of those sections of this chapter and implementing institutional policies. If, during the course of proceedings under ss. UWS 11.02 to 11.10 or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, the chancellor receives a report under s. UWS 11.103 or other credible information that the academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or has been convicted of a felony of a type listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (a), and one or more of the factors listed in s. UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (c) are present, the chancellor
may, at that point, elect to follow the procedures for dismissal pursuant to this section.

(c) If the chancellor decides to seek an alternative disciplinary sanction, the procedures under ch. **UWS 13** or ss. UWS 11.13 to 11.26, and implementing institutional policies, shall be followed.

(5) Within 3 working days of receipt of the findings and recommendation of the committee under sub. (4), the chancellor shall prepare a written decision on the matter. In the decision, the chancellor may order dismissal of the staff member, may impose a lesser disciplinary action, or may find in favor of the staff member. The staff member shall be notified of the chancellor's decision in writing. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall be notified of the chancellor’s decision at the same time as the staff member. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the university shall make a reasonable attempt to provide the affected party a copy of the chancellor’s final decision at the same time as the academic staff member. This decision shall be deemed final unless the board, upon request of the academic staff member, grants a review based on the record. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, the complainant shall have the same right to a review on the record as the academic staff member.

(6) The administration or its representatives shall have the burden of proof to show that just cause exists for dismissal under this chapter. The administration must demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the academic staff member engaged in serious criminal misconduct, as defined in s. **UWS 11.102**, except in cases of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, in which the evidentiary standard shall be by a preponderance of the evidence.

**Section 22. UWS 11.32(1) is amended to read:**

(1) The chancellor, after consulting with appropriate academic staff governance representatives, may suspend an academic staff member holding an indefinite appointment from duties without pay pending the final decision as to his or her dismissal where:

(a) The academic staff member has been charged with a felony of a type listed in s. **UWS 11.102 (1) (a)** and the chancellor, after following the provisions of s. **UWS 11.104 (1) through (3)**, finds, in addition, that there is a substantial likelihood 1) that one or more of the conditions listed in s. **UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e)** are present, and 2) that the academic staff member has engaged in the conduct as alleged; or

(b) The academic staff member is unable to report for work due to incarceration, conditions of bail or similar cause; or

(c) The academic staff member has pleaded guilty or no contest to or been convicted of a felony of the type listed in s. **UWS 11.102 (1) (a)** and one or more of the conditions in s. **UWS 11.102 (1) (b) through (e)** are present.
Section 23. UWS 11.33 is amended to read:

UWS 11.33  Board review. A member of the academic staff on an indefinite appointment who has been dismissed for serious criminal misconduct may appeal this action to the board as provided in s. UWS 11.10. If the university knows the identity of an affected party, the board shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the affected party of its decision at the same time as the academic staff member.

Section 20. Effective Date. This rule shall take effect upon approval of the Governor and the Legislature.

(End of Text of Rule)
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Analysis
The proposed rule affects Chapter UWS 17, relating to student discipline procedures for nonacademic conduct.
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STATE OF WISCONSIN
BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM
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PROCEDINGS BEFORE THE
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WISCONSIN SYSTEM

ORDER OF THE BOARD OF
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
WISCONSIN SYSTEM
AMENDING AND ADOPTING
EMERGENCY RULES

The statements of scope for this rule, SS 081-20, was approved by the Governor on June 11, 2020, published in Register 774A4 on June 19, 2020, and approved by Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System on July 20, 2020.

ORDER

An order of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System to repeal 17.09(2) and (3) and (17) and (18), and 17.13(2); renumber UWS 17.09 (4) and (5) and (6) and (7) and (8) and (9) and (10) and (11) and (12) and (13) and (14) and (15) and (16), 17.13(3) and (4), 17.16, 17.17, 17.18, and 17.19 to 17.09(2) and (3) and (4) and (5) and (6) and (7) and (8) and (9) and (10) and (11) and (12) and (13) and (14), 17.13(2) and (3), 17.22, 17.23, 17.24, and 17.25 respectively; amend UWS 17.02(1) and (2m) and (9) and (10) and (11) and (12) and (13m) and (15), 17.05, 17.06(2), 17.07(2), 17.08(1) and (2), 17.09 (intro.), 17.10(1), 17.11, 17.12(1) and (3) and (4), 17.13(2) and (3), 17.14, 17.15, 17.22, 17.23(2) and (3) and (5), 17.24, and 17.25(3) and (5) and (6); and create Subchapter I, UWS 17.02(2g) and (7m) and (8m) and (9m) and (12m), Subchapter II, 17.09(15), Subchapter III, 17.16, 17.17, 17.18, 17.19, 17.20, 17.21, Subchapter IV, and 17.25(2)(d), relating to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct against students of the University of Wisconsin System.

Analysis prepared by the Board of Regents and the University of Wisconsin System.

ANALYSIS

Statutes interpreted: ss. 36.09 (1)(a) and 36.35 (1), Stats.

Statutory authority: ss. 36.09 (1)(a) and 36.35(1), Stats.

Explanation of agency authority:

s. 36.09 (1)(a), Stats.: “The primary responsibility for governance of the system shall be vested in the board which shall enact policies and promulgate rules for governing the system.”
s. 36.35 (1), Stats.: “[T]he board shall promulgate rules under ch.227 governing student conduct and procedures for the administration of violations.”

Related statute or rule: N/A

Plain language analysis:

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct and Non-Title IX Sexual Misconduct**

The new federal regulations narrow the scope of conduct to which Title IX protections apply. However, the federal regulations specify that schools are not prohibited from addressing a broader scope of conduct under institutional codes of conduct. Under the new rule, allegations of sexual misconduct that do not fall within the scope of Title IX will continue to be addressed using student and employee conduct codes.

**Definitions**

The current rule defines sexual misconduct, such as sexual harassment and sexual assault, under the corresponding statutory definitions in the Wisconsin Statutes. The new federal regulations require adoption of definitions for sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking from the federal Clery and Violence Against Women Acts. Additionally, the new federal regulations define sexual harassment for Title IX purposes to include quid pro quo sexual harassment and hostile environment sexual harassment consisting of unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is severe, pervasive, and objectionably offensive.

The current rule allows University of Wisconsin System institutions to address allegations of sexual misconduct when the conduct occurs on university property, at university-sponsored events, or the conduct affects a substantial university interest. The new federal regulations narrow that definition to the following elements: (1) the school has actual knowledge of sexual harassment; (2) that occurred within the school’s education program or activity; (3) against a person in the United States. The regulations go on to define “education program or activity” to include situations over which the school exercised substantial control as well as buildings owned or controlled by student organizations officially recognized by a university, such as many fraternity and sorority houses. The new rule specifies the procedures University of Wisconsin System institutions must use in addressing sexual misconduct that meets the new definition and scope of the new federal regulations, as well as the procedures to be used in addressing sexual misconduct that falls outside of the scope of the regulations.

The current rule contains no definition for “sexual exploitation.” The new rule adds a definition of “sexual exploitation” to the list of sexual misconduct that University of Wisconsin System institutions address.

**Title IX Sexual Misconduct Procedures**
Notice

The current rule mentions several instances in which students involved in an investigation of sexual misconduct must receive notice. The new federal regulations require notice to parties of formal Title IX complaints in more instances and in greater detail than the current rule provides. The new rule will update notice requirements to comply with the new federal regulations.

Mandatory Dismissal and Discretionary Dismissal

The current rule states that University of Wisconsin System institutions may or must dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct under certain circumstances. The new federal regulations define certain instances in which universities must or may dismiss complaints of sexual misconduct. For example, universities must dismiss allegations that do not meet the definitions of sexual misconduct under Title IX and may dismiss allegations if a complainant wishes to withdraw the complaint. A university may still address these dismissed complaints under other code of conduct provisions. The new regulations also grant the parties the right to appeal the university’s dismissal of allegations. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Investigation

Under the current rule, University of Wisconsin System institutions investigate allegations of sexual misconduct through formal investigations, the investigator provides the opportunity for both parties to meet with the investigator to discuss the allegations, and the investigator provides the chancellor with a written report that may include recommended sanctions against the respondent, the chancellor, if appropriate, files dismissal charges against the student, and the student is entitled to a hearing. Under the federal regulations, universities must conduct investigation of formal Title IX complaints via an assigned investigator and must allow the parties an opportunity to present witnesses and evidence as well as review the evidence provided. Investigators must not make official findings of responsibility but may make recommended findings. The new rules incorporate changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

Hearing

Under the current rule, students found responsible by the investigator for sexual misconduct and subject to sanctions have a right to a hearing before a hearing committee or hearing examiner. The federal regulations require universities conduct live hearings with cross-examination conducted directly, orally, and in real time for all Title IX cases. At a live hearing, if a party does not have an advisor, the institution must provide, without fee or charge, an advisor of the school’s choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party. The parties’ advisors must perform cross-examination. A hearing officer or hearing committee must preside over the hearing and determine the relevance of each question and explain any
decision to exclude a question. The new rule incorporates changes to comply with these requirements under the federal regulations.

**Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:**

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 provides that "[N]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." The U.S. Department of Education has issued guidance through Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance over the years which established the federal agency's expectations for institutions of higher education that receive federal funding. The new federal regulations are the first to interpret this law with respect to addressing allegations of sexual misconduct and override any guidance provided in the previous Dear Colleague Letters or other informal guidance. Please see the Plain Language Analysis for further information related to the specific provisions under the new federal regulations.

**Comparison with rules in adjacent states:**

The new federal regulations require all universities that receive federal funding to comply with the regulations or risk losing federal funding. All universities that receive federal funding are required to revise policies and procedures to comply with the federal regulations.

**Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:**

Consulting with UW System institutions to determine how many Title IX cases are anticipated for this year, as well as the cost of advisors and hearing officers.

**Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business:**

UW System posted its Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate on its website to make it available for comment. UW System also informed the UW System institutions that it had posted these documents. The documents remained posted on the website for 14 days from October 12, 2020 through October 26, 2020. No comments on the economic impact or fiscal estimate were received.

**Fiscal Estimate:**

See attached Economic Impact Analysis and Fiscal Estimate.

**Effect on small business:**

The new rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The new rules apply specifically to University of Wisconsin System institutions only.
Agency contact person:

Sarah Harebo
Title IX and Clery Administrator
University of Wisconsin System Administration
1848 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53706;
Telephone 608-262-6497;
email address: sharebo@uwsa.edu.

Public Comments:

The Board of Regents will hold a public hearing on November 30, 2020 preceded by a public comment period related to the proposed rule. During the comment periods, comments could be submitted to the agency in any of the following ways: (1) on the web at https://www.wisconsin.edu/compliance/public-comment-form/; (2) by email to compliance@uwsa.edu; (3) at the public hearing; or (4) by mail to Jess Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, 1860 Van Hise Hall, 1220 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin.

TEXT OF RULE

Section 1. Subchapter I – General of Chapter UWS 17 [precedes UWS 17.01] is created to read:

UWS 17
SUBCHAPTER I
GENERAL

Section 2. UWS 17.02(1), (2m), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13m), and (15) are amended to read:

(1) “Chief administrative officer” means the chancellor of an institution or dean of a campus or their designees.

(2m) “Complainant” means any individual who is reported to have been subjected to sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking alleged to be the subject of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.09 UWS 17.16.

(9) “Hearing examiner” means an individual, other than the investigating officer, appointed by the chief administrative officer in accordance with s. UWS 17.06 (2) for the purpose of conducting a hearing under s. UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18.
“Institution” means any university, or an organizational equivalent designated by the board, and the University of Wisconsin colleges.

“Investigating officer” means an individual, or his or her designee, appointed by the chief administrative officer of each institution, to conduct investigations of nonacademic misconduct under this chapter.

“Nonacademic misconduct hearing committee” or “committee” means the committee appointed pursuant to s. UWS 17.07 to conduct hearings under s. UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18.

“Respondent,” means any student who is accused of violating any provision of this chapter, and was registered for study in an institution for the academic period, or between academic periods for continuing students, when the misconduct occurred and has been reported to have violated s. UWS 17.09 or UWS 17.16.

“Student affairs officer” means the dean of students, student affairs officer, or other personnel designated by the chief administrative officer to coordinate disciplinary hearings and carry out duties described in this chapter.

Section 3. UWS 17.02(2g) and (7m) and (8m) and (9m) and (12m) are created to read:

(2g) “Consent” means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent, indicating a freely given agreement to engage in sexual activity or other activity referenced in the definitions of sexual assault and sexual exploitation in s. UWS 17.16. A person is unable to give consent if the person is incapacitated because of drugs, alcohol, physical or intellectual disability, or unconsciousness.

(7m) “Education program or activity” means, for purposes of a Title IX misconduct only, locations, events, or circumstances over which the university exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the relevant misconduct occurs, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the university.

(8m) “Formal Title IX complaint” means, for the purposes of a Title IX misconduct only, a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking against a student and requesting that the institution investigate the allegations. At the time of filing of the formal Title IX complaint, the complainant shall be participating in or attempting to participate in an educational program or activity. A formal Title IX complaint may be filed in person, by mail, by electronic mail, or any other method designated by the university. A formal Title IX complaint shall include a physical or digital signature of the complainant or the Title IX Coordinator.

(9m) “Incapacitation” means the state of being unable to physically or mentally make informed rational judgments and effectively communicate, and may include
unconsciousness, sleep, or blackouts, and may result from the use of alcohol or other
drugs. Where alcohol or other drugs are involved, evaluation of incapacitation requires an
assessment of how the consumption of alcohol or drugs affects a person’s decision-
making ability; awareness of consequences; ability to make informed, rational judgments;
capacity to appreciate the nature and quality of the act; or level of consciousness. The
assessment is based on objectively and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation
when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

(12m) “Party” refers to a respondent or complainant involved in a disciplinary procedure
under this Subchapter III.

Section 4. UWS 17.05 is amended to read:

UWS 17.05  Designation of investigating officer. The chief administrative officer of
each institution shall designate an investigating officer or officers for allegations of
student nonacademic misconduct. The investigating officer shall investigate student
nonacademic misconduct and initiate procedures for nonacademic misconduct
under ss. UWS 17.11 and UWS 17.17. For allegations involving sexual assault, domestic
violence, dating violence, stalking, or sexual harassment sexual misconduct, as defined in
s. UWS 17.16, the chief administrative officer shall involve the Title IX Coordinator, or
designee shall serve as the investigating officer, in accordance with applicable
institutional policies.

Section 5. UWS 17.06(2) is amended to read:

(2) A hearing examiner shall be selected by the chief administrative officer from the
faculty and staff of the institution, pursuant to the policies adopted under sub. (1).

Section 6. UWS 17.07(2) is amended to read:

(2) A student nonacademic misconduct hearing committee shall consist of at least three
persons, including at least one student, except that no such committee shall be constituted
with a majority of members who are students. The presiding officer, who may be the
hearing examiner designated pursuant to s. UWS 17.06, shall be appointed by the chief
administrative officer. The presiding officer and at least one other member shall
constitute a quorum at any hearing held pursuant to due notice.

Section 7. UWS 17.08(1) and (2) is amended to read:

UWS 17.08  Nonacademic misconduct occurring on or outside of university lands.
(1) MISCONDUCT ON UNIVERSITY LANDS. Except as provided in s. UWS 17.08 (2), the
provisions contained in this chapter shall apply to the student conduct described
in ss. UWS 17.09 and UWS 17.16 that occurs on university lands or at university-
sponsored events.
MISCONDUCT OUTSIDE OF UNIVERSITYLANDS. The provisions contained in this chapter may apply to the student conduct described in ss. UWS 17.09 and UWS 17.16 that occurs outside of university lands only when, in the judgment of the investigating officer, the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest. In determining whether the conduct adversely affects a substantial university interest, the investigating officer shall consider whether the conduct meets one or more of the following conditions:

(a) The conduct constitutes or would constitute a serious criminal offense, regardless of the existence of any criminal proceedings.
(b) The conduct indicates that the student presented or may present a danger or threat to the health or safety of himself, herself themselves or others.
(c) The conduct demonstrates a pattern of behavior that seriously impairs the university's ability to fulfill its teaching, research, or public service missions.

Section 8. Subchapter II – Procedures for Student Nonacademic Discipline in Non-Sexual Misconduct Cases of Chapter UWS 17 [precedes UWS 17.09] is created to read:

UWS 17
SUBCHAPTER I
PROCEDURES FOR STUDENT NONACADEMIC DISCIPLINE IN NON_SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASES

Section 9. UWS 17.09(intro.) amended to read:

UWS 17.09 Conduct subject to disciplinary action (intro.). In accordance with s. UWS 17.08, the university may discipline a student for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in any of the following types of nonacademic misconduct. Conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09 shall use the disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes detailed in ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.15. However, at the university’s discretion, conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, when arising out of the same facts and circumstances as sexual misconduct defined in s. 17.16, may be consolidated with such charges and addressed with the disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes detailed in ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

Section 10. UWS 17.09(2) and (3) and (17) and (18) are repealed.

Section 11. UWS 17.09 (4) to (16) are renumbered UWS (2) to (14).

Section 12. UWS 17.09(15) is created to read:
**Section 13. UWS 17.10(1) is amended to read:**

(1) The disciplinary sanctions that may be imposed for nonacademic misconduct, in accordance with the procedures of ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.13, and ss. 17.17 to 17.19, are any of the following:

   (a) A written reprimand.
   (b) Denial of specified university privileges.
   (c) Payment of restitution.
   (d) Educational or service sanctions, including community service.
   (e) Disciplinary probation.
   (f) Imposition of reasonable terms and conditions on continued student status.
   (g) Removal from a course in progress.
   (h) Enrollment restrictions on a course or program.
   (i) Suspension.
   (j) Expulsion.

**Section 14. UWS 17.11 is amended to read:**

**UWS 17.11  Disciplinary procedure.**

(1) PROCESS. The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) for conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09.

(2) CONFERENCE WITH RESPONDENT. When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the investigating officer shall promptly contact the respondent in person, by telephone, or by electronic mail to offer to discuss the matter, review the investigating officer's basis for believing that the respondent engaged in nonacademic misconduct, and to afford the respondent an opportunity to respond. If the respondent fails to respond to the investigating officer, the investigating officer may proceed to decide on the basis of the available information. A complainant shall have all the rights provided to the respondent in this subsection.

(3) DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT NO DISCIPLINARY SANCTION IS WARRANTED. If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (2) or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did not in fact occur, or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted under the circumstances, the
matter will shall be considered resolved without the necessity for further action. The investigating officer shall simultaneously notify the respondent and the complainant of this outcome and offer to discuss it separately with either one. If the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did not occur or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted, the complainant may appeal this decision in accordance with s. UWS 17.13.

**(4) PROCESS FOLLOWING DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT NONACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED.**

(a) If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (2) or review of available information, the investigating officer determines that nonacademic misconduct did occur and that one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWS 17.10 (1) should be recommended, the investigating officer shall prepare a written report which shall contain all of the following:

1. A description of the alleged misconduct.
2. A description of all information available to the university regarding the alleged misconduct. Such information shall be available to the complainant and the respondent, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.
4. Notice of the respondent's right to a hearing.
5. A copy of this chapter and of the institutional procedures adopted to implement this section.

(b) The written report shall be delivered simultaneously to the respondent and complainant, excluding any information that may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(c) A respondent who receives a written report under this section has the right to a hearing under s. UWS 17.12 to contest the determination that nonacademic misconduct occurred, the choice of disciplinary sanctions, or both.

1. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g), and if the respondent desires a hearing, the respondent shall file a written request with the student affairs officer within 10 days of the date the written report is delivered to the respondent. If the respondent does not request a hearing within this period, the determination of nonacademic misconduct shall be regarded as final, and the disciplinary sanction sought shall be imposed.

2. Where the disciplinary sanction sought is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j), the investigating officer shall forward a copy of the written report under par. (b) to the student affairs officer. The student affairs officer shall, upon receipt of the written report, proceed under s. UWS 17.12 to schedule a hearing on the matter. A hearing shall
be conducted unless the respondent waives, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

Section 15. UWS 17.12(1), (3), and (4) are amended to read:

(1) A respondent who requests a hearing, or for whom a hearing is scheduled under s. UWS 17.11 (4) (c) 2. for conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee. In cases of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual harassment the university shall have the right to decide whether the matter will be heard by a hearing examiner or a hearing committee.

(3) No less than 5 days in advance of the hearing, the hearing examiner or committee shall obtain from the investigating officer, in writing, a full explanation of the facts upon which the determination of misconduct was based, and shall provide the respondent and the complainant with access to or copies of the investigating officer's explanation, together with any other materials provided to the hearing examiner or committee by the investigating officer, including any additional available information of the type described in s. UWS 17.11 (4) (a) 2.

(4) The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with all of the following guidance and requirements:
   (a) The hearing process shall further the educational purposes and reflect the university context of nonacademic misconduct proceedings. The process need not conform to state or federal rules of criminal or civil procedure, except as expressly provided in ch. UWS 17.
   (b) The respondent shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present information and witnesses, the right to be heard on his or her own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by an advisor of the respondent's choice. The advisor may be a lawyer. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (h), the advisor may counsel the respondent but may not directly question adverse witnesses, present information or witnesses, or speak on behalf of the respondent except at the discretion of the hearing examiner or committee. In cases where the recommended disciplinary sanction is identified in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (i) or (j), or where the respondent has been charged with a crime in connection with the same conduct for which the disciplinary sanction is sought, the advisor may question adverse witnesses, present information and witnesses, and speak on behalf of the respondent. In accordance with the educational purposes of the hearing, the respondent is expected to respond on his or her own behalf to questions asked of him or
her them during the hearing. The complainant shall have all the rights provided to the respondent in this subsection.

(c) The hearing examiner or committee:

1. Shall admit information that has reasonable value in proving the facts, but may exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony.
2. Shall observe recognized legal privileges.
3. May take reasonable steps to maintain order, and to adopt procedures for the questioning of a witness appropriate to the circumstances of that witness's testimony, provided, however, whatever procedure is adopted, the complainant and respondent are allowed to effectively question the witness.

(d) The hearing examiner or committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a file of the exhibits offered at the hearing. The respondent and the complainant may access the record, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(e) The hearing examiner or committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing.

(f) A hearing examiner's or committee's finding of nonacademic misconduct shall be based on one of the following:

1. Clear and convincing evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j).
2. A preponderance of the evidence, when the sanction to be imposed is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g).
3. A preponderance of the evidence, when the sanction to be imposed, in all cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking.

(g) The hearing examiner or committee may impose one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (g) that differs from the recommendation of the investigating officer. Sanctions under s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j) may not be imposed unless previously recommended by the investigating officer.

(h) The hearing shall be conducted by the hearing examiner or committee, and the university's case against the respondent shall be presented by the investigating officer or his or her designee.

(i) The decision of the hearing examiner or committee shall be prepared within 14 days of the hearing, and delivered simultaneously to the respondent and the complainant, excluding information that may be precluded by state or federal law. The decision shall become final within 14 days of the date on the written decision unless an appeal is taken under s. UWS 17.13.
(j) If a party fails to appear at a schedule hearing and to proceed, the hearing examiner or committee may issue a decision based upon the information provided.

(k) Disciplinary hearings are subject to the Wisconsin open meetings law and may be closed if the respondent or complainant requests a closed hearing or if the hearing examiner or committee determines that it is necessary to hold a closed hearing, as permitted under the Wisconsin open meetings law. Deliberations of the committee shall be held in closed session, in accordance with s. 19.85, Stats. As such, proper notice and other applicable rules shall be followed.

Section 16. UWS 17.13(1) is amended to read:

(1) For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09(20), where the sanction prescribed by the hearing examiner or committee is one of those listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (h) to (j), the respondent may appeal in writing to the chief administrative officer within 14 days of the date of the written decision to review the decision of the hearing examiner or committee, based upon the record. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual harassment, the complainant shall be notified of the appeal.

Section 17. UWS 17.13(2) is repealed.

Section 18. UWS 17.13(3) and (4) are renumbered to UWS 17.13(2) and (3) respectively.

Section 19. UWS 17.13(2) and (3) are amended to read:

(2) The chief administrative officer has 30 days from receipt of an appeal to respond and shall sustain the decision unless the chief administrative officer finds any of the following:

(a) The information in the record does not support the findings or decision.

(b) Appropriate procedures were not followed which resulted in material prejudice to the respondent or complainant.

(c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law.

(3) If the chief administrative officer makes a finding under sub. (2), the chancellor may return the matter for consideration, or may invoke an appropriate remedy of his own. The chief administrative officer’s decision shall be communicated simultaneously to the respondent and the complainant.

Section 20. UWS 17.14 is amended to read:
UWS 17.14 Discretionary appeal to the Board of Regents. For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, institutional decisions under ss. UWS 17.11 to 17.13 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any party respondent within 14 days of the final institutional decision. In cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, or sexual harassment, the non-appealing party shall receive notice of the appeal.

Section 21. UWS 17.15 is amended to read:

UWS 17.15 Settlement. For conduct defined in s. UWS 17.09, the procedures set forth in this chapter allow the university and a respondent to enter into a settlement agreement regarding the alleged misconduct, after proper notice has been given. Any such agreement and its terms shall be in writing and signed by the respondent and the investigating officer or student affairs officer. The case is concluded when a copy of the signed agreement is delivered to the respondent. The investigating officer shall confer with the complainant regarding the proposed settlement and provide notice of the outcome.

Section 22. Subchapter III – Procedures for Student Nonacademic Discipline in Sexual Misconduct Cases of Chapter UWS 17 [precedes UWS 17.16] is created to read:

UWS 17
SUBCHAPTER III
PROCEDURES FOR STUDENT NONACADEMIC DISCIPLINE IN SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASES

Section 23. UWS 17.16 is renumbered to UWS 17.22.

Section 24. UWS 17.16 is created to read:

UWS 17.16 Sexual Misconduct subject to disciplinary action under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21. In accordance with s. UWS 17.08, the university may discipline a student for engaging in, attempting to engage in, or assisting others to engage in any of the following types of nonacademic misconduct. Sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, shall use the disciplinary procedure, hearing, appeal, and settlement processes detailed in ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.21.

(1) Sexual Harassment. Conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies any of the following:

(a) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards a student, an employee, or a person participating in an education program or activity of the university that when using the legal “reasonable person” standard, is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies the person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity.
(b) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature directed towards an individual that, when using the legal “reasonable person” standard, is so severe or pervasive and objectively offensive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s academic or work performance or participation in a university sponsored or supported activity.

(2) **SEXUAL ASSAULT.** An offense that meets the definition of rape, fondling, incest, or statutory rape as defined below. 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), 34 CFR 668.46(a).
   (a) Rape: The penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus, with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of another person, without the consent of the complainant.
   (b) Fondling: The touching of the private body parts of another person for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the complainant, including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of age or because of temporary or permanent mental incapacity.
   (c) Incest: Sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law as per s. 944.06, Stats.
   (d) Statutory Rape: Sexual intercourse with a person who is under the statutory age of consent as per s. 948.02, Stats.

(3) **DATING VIOLENCE.** Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant; and where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

(4) **DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.** Felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the complainant, by a person with whom the complainant shares a child in common, by a persons who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the complainant as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the complainant under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin, or by any other person against an adult or youth individual who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Wisconsin as per ss. 813.12(1)(am) and 968.075, Stats.

(5) **STALKING.** Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress.

(6) **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION.** Occurs when an individual attempts, takes or threatens to take nonconsensual sexual advantage of another person. Examples include:
   (a) Engaging in any of the following conduct without the knowledge and consent of all participants:
1. Observing, recording, or photographing private body parts or sexual activity of one or more complainants.
2. Allowing another person to observe, record, or photograph sexual activity or private body parts of one or more complainants.
3. Otherwise distributing recordings, photographs, or other images of the same of one or more complainants.
(b) Masturbating, touching one’s genitals, or exposing one’s genitals in complainant’s presence without the consent of complainant, or inducing another person to do the same.
(c) Dishonesty or deception regarding the use of contraceptives or condoms during the course of sexual activity.
(d) Inducing incapacitation through deception for the purpose of making another person vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.
(e) Coercing the complainant to engage in sexual activity for money or anything of value.
(f) Threatening distribution of any of the following, to coerce the complainant into sexual activity or providing money or anything of value:
   1. Photos, videos, or recordings depicting private body parts or sexual activity of one or more persons.
   2. Other information of a sexual nature, including sexual history or sexual orientation.

Section 24. UWS 17.17 is renumbered to UWS 17.23.

Section 25. UWS 17.17 is created to read:

UWS 17.17 Sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure.

(1) PROCESS. The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10(1), for sexual misconduct defined in s. UWS 17.16, and conduct described in s. UWS 17.09 may be consolidated with sexual misconduct charges pursuant to this section and consistent with s. UWS 17.08.

(a) The university may consolidate disciplinary procedures as to allegations of sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of sexual misconduct arise out of the same facts or circumstances.
(b) In consultation with the complainant, the university may choose to address allegations of sexual misconduct with non-disciplinary measures outside the procedures of s. UWS 17. Non-disciplinary measures may include supportive measures and protective measures for complainant, which may or may not involve the respondent.

(2) TITLE IX MISCONDUCT. As required by 34 CFR Part 106, either a complainant or the Title IX Coordinator may file a formal Title IX complaint as defined in 17.02(8m).
Unless a formal Title IX complaint is dismissed under subsection 2(b) or 2(c), sexual misconduct under this section shall also be considered “Title IX misconduct” and require associated process.

(a) The university shall dismiss a formal Title IX complaint that does not meet all of the following requirements:
   1. The alleged conduct is on the basis of sex and meets the definitions of sexual harassment, as defined in s. UWS 17.16(1)(a), or sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, as defined in s. UWS 17.16 (2) to (4), and (6).
   2. The alleged conduct occurred within a university “education program or activity,” as defined in s. UWS 17.02(7m).
   3. The alleged conduct occurred against the complainant while in the United States.
   4. The complainant is participating in or attempting to participate in the university’s education program or activity at the time they file the complaint.

(b) The university may dismiss a formal Title IX complaint if any of the following conditions are met at any time during the disciplinary procedure or hearing:
   1. The complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the complainant would like to withdraw the formal Title IX complaint or any allegations therein.
   2. The respondent is no longer enrolled by the university.
   3. Specific circumstances prevent the university from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal Title IX complaint or allegations therein.

(c) Upon dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint, the university shall promptly send written notice of the dismissal and reason therefore simultaneously to the complainant and respondent. The complainant and respondent have the right to appeal the dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint under s. UWS 17.19(1).

(d) Dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint does not preclude other university action under this chapter.

(3) NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION. When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the investigating officer shall promptly distribute a written Notice of Investigation in person, by telephone or by electronic mail, to the complainant and respondent. The Notice of Investigation shall include all of the following:

(a) Details known at the time of issuing notice, including:
   1. The identities of the complainant and respondent involved in the incident, if known.
   2. The conduct allegedly constituting sexual misconduct.
   3. The date and location of alleged incident, if known.

(b) Notice to the complainant and respondent that they may have an advisor of their choice, who may be an attorney.
(c) Notice to the complainant and respondent that they may inspect and review evidence collected during the investigation.
(d) Notice of s. UWS 17.09(9), false statement or refusal to comply regarding a university matter.
(e) Notice that the respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged sexual misconduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the disciplinary procedure.
(f) Notice if the sexual misconduct disciplinary procedure also involves Title IX misconduct.
(g) Information about the nonacademic misconduct process available in ch. UWS 17 and about any available informal resolution process.
(h) If, during the course of an investigation, the university decides to investigate allegations that are not included in the Notice of Investigation, the university shall send an amended Notice of Investigation with additional allegations.

(4) INVESTIGATION. During the investigation, the investigating officer shall do all of the following:

(a) Provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.
(b) Not restrict the ability of either party to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.
(c) Provide the parties with the same opportunities to have others present during any grievance proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, and not limit the choice or presence of advisor for either the complainant or respondent in any meeting or grievance proceeding; however, the recipient may establish restrictions regarding the extent to which the advisor may participate in the proceedings, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties.
(d) Provide, to a party whose participation is invited or expected, written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all hearings, investigative interviews, or other meetings, with sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate.
(e) Not access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a party's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party, unless the university obtains that party's voluntary, written consent to do so for a grievance process under this section.

(5) REVIEW OF EVIDENCE. Prior to completion of the final investigative report, as defined in sub. (5), the university shall provide the complainant and respondent and their advisors, if any, the evidence gathered during the university’s investigation that is directly related to the allegations of sexual misconduct in an electronic format or hard copy.

(a) The evidence subject to review includes information upon which the university does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding
responsibility and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from a party or other source, so that each party can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

(b) The complainant and respondent shall have at least 10 days to submit a written response to the evidence, which the investigator shall consider prior to completion of the final investigative report.

(6) **Final Investigative Report.** The investigator shall create an investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence.

(a) The final investigative report shall be delivered simultaneously to the respondent and complainant and their advisors, if any, for their review and response at least 10 days prior to a hearing.

(b) The final investigative report may contain recommended determinations as to whether sexual misconduct occurred, and specification of any sanction recommended.

(c) After receipt of the final investigative report, the complainant and respondent have the right to a hearing under s. UWS 17.18 for a formal determination as to whether sexual misconduct occurred, potential disciplinary sanctions, or both.

(d) Upon distribution of the final investigative report to the complainant and respondent, the university shall proceed under s. UWS 17.18 to schedule a hearing on the matter. A hearing shall be conducted unless the complainant and respondent waive, in writing, the right to such a hearing or otherwise voluntarily choose to proceed with a settlement agreement or informal resolution under s. UWS 17.21.

**Section 26.** UWS 17.18 is renumbered to UWS 17.24.

**Section 27.** UWS 17.18 is created to read:

**UWS 17.18  Hearing.**

(1) The university shall have the right to decide whether a hearing examiner or hearing committee shall hear the matter.

(2) The university shall take the necessary steps to convene the hearing and shall schedule it within 15 days of the distribution of the final investigative report. The hearing shall be conducted within 45 days of the distribution of the final investigative report, unless a different time period is mutually agreed upon by the complainant, respondent and university or is ordered or permitted by the hearing examiner or committee.

(3) No less than 10 days in advance of the hearing, the hearing examiner or committee shall obtain from the investigating officer, in writing, the final investigative report and any additional available information of the type described in s. UWS 17.17(4).

(4) The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with all of the following guidance and requirements:
(a) The hearing process shall further the educational purposes and reflect the university context of nonacademic misconduct proceedings. The process need not conform to state or federal rules of criminal or civil procedure, except as expressly provided in ch. UWS 17.
(b) Both the complainant and respondent shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present information and witnesses, the right to be heard on their own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice. The advisor may be a lawyer. In accordance with the educational purposes of the hearing, the complainant and respondent are expected to respond on their own behalf to questions asked of them during the hearing.
(c) The hearing examiner or committee:
   1. Shall admit information that has reasonable value in proving the facts, but may exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony.
   2. May not permit questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior unless:
      i. Such questions and evidence about the complainant’s prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or
      ii. If the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.
   3. Shall observe recognized legal privileges including those described in s. UWS 17.17(3)(e).
   4. May take reasonable steps to maintain order, and to adopt procedures for the questioning of a witness appropriate to the circumstances of that witness's testimony, provided, however, whatever procedure is adopted, the advisors for the complainant and respondent are allowed to effectively question the party or witness.

(5) The party’s advisors shall conduct cross examination directly, orally, and in real time by the party’s advisor. A party may not personally conduct cross examination.
   (a) If a party does not have an advisor at the hearing to conduct cross-examination, the university shall provide someone, without fee or charge, who may or may not be an attorney, to conduct cross-examination.
   (b) Before a party or witness answers a cross-examination or other question, the hearing examiner or committee shall first determine whether a question is relevant or not and explain any decision to exclude those questions as not relevant.
   (c) The hearing examiner or committee may not draw an inference regarding responsibility based solely on a party’s or a witness’s absence from the hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination questions
   (d) At hearings involving Title IX misconduct, if a party or a witness does not submit to cross-examination at the hearing, then the hearing examiner or committee may not rely on any statement of that party or witness made prior to or during the hearing in reaching a determination regarding responsibility.
(6) If a party fails to appear at a scheduled hearing and to proceed, the hearing examiner or committee may issue a decision based upon the information provided except as described in sub (5)(d).

(7) The hearing examiner or committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a file of all evidence presented at the hearing. The respondent and the complainant may access the record, except as may be precluded by applicable state or federal law.

(8) The hearing examiner or committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing, using the preponderance of the evidence standard. The written report shall include all of the following:

(a) Identification of the allegations potentially constituting sexual misconduct.
(b) A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the initial complaint through the determination, including any notifications to the complainant and respondent, interviews with the complainant and respondent and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather other evidence, and hearings held.
(c) Findings of fact supporting the determination.
(d) Conclusions regarding the application of this chapter to the facts.
(e) A statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a determination regarding responsibility under this subchapter, including any Title IX misconduct, any disciplinary sanctions the university imposes on the respondent, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the university’s education program or activity shall be provided by the university to the complainant.
(f) The hearing examiner or committee may impose one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 17.10 (1) (a) to (j).
(g) Procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal.

(9) The decision of the hearing examiner or committee shall be prepared within 14 days of the hearing, and delivered simultaneously to the respondent and the complainant, excluding information that may be precluded by state or federal law. If an appeal is filed, the decision regarding responsibility becomes final on the date the university provides the complainant and respondent with the written determination of the result of the appeal. If no appeal is filed, the decision regarding responsibility becomes final once the last date to appeal passes.

(10) Disciplinary hearings are subject to the Wisconsin open meetings law and may be closed if the respondent or complainant requests a closed hearing. A closed hearing may also be held if the hearing examiner or committee determines it is necessary, as permitted under the Wisconsin open meetings law. Deliberations of the committee shall be held in closed session, in accordance with s. 19.85, Stats. As such, proper notice and other applicable rules shall be followed.
Section 28. UWS 17.19 is renumbered to UWS 17.25.

Section 29. UWS 17.19 is created to read:

UWS 17.19 Appeal to the chancellor.

(1) The respondent or complainant may appeal in writing to the chief administrative officer within 14 days of the date of the written decision for a review, based on the record, of the following:
   (a) A dismissal of a formal Title IX complaint.
   (b) The written decision of the hearing examiner or committee.
(2) The chief administrative officer has 30 days from receipt of an appeal to respond in writing simultaneously to both the complainant and respondent and shall sustain the decision unless the chief administrative officer finds any of the following:
   (a) The information in the record does not support the findings or decision.
   (b) A procedural irregularity affected the outcome of the matter.
   (c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law.
   (d) New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made that could affect the outcome of the matter.
   (e) The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing examiner, or a member of the hearing committee had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individual complainant or respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.
(3) If the chief administrative officer makes a finding under sub. (2), the chief administrative officer may return the matter for consideration, or may invoke an appropriate remedy of their own. The chief administrative officer's written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result shall be communicated simultaneously to the respondent and complainant.
(4) When an appeal is filed, the chief administrative officer shall notify the other party in writing and give both the complainant and respondent a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement supporting or challenging the outcome.

Section 30. UWS 17.20 is created to read:

UWS 17.20 Discretionary appeal to the Board of Regents. University decisions under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.19 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record, upon written request submitted by any party within 14 days of the final university decision. If the board of regents grants a review upon the record, it shall:
(1) Notify the other party in writing and give both the complainant and respondent a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement supporting or challenging the outcome.

(2) Issue a written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result and provide the written decision simultaneously to both the complainant and respondent.

Section 31. UWS 17.21 is created to read:

UWS 17.21 Settlement.

(1) The procedures set forth in this chapter allow the university, the respondent, and the complainant to voluntarily enter into a settlement agreement or informal resolution regarding the alleged misconduct, any time after the Notice of Investigation has been distributed to the complainant and respondent and prior to any final determination regarding responsibility. Any such agreement and its terms shall be in writing and signed by the complainant, respondent, and the Title IX Coordinator or designee except in any of the following circumstances:
   (a) There is no identified complainant.
   (b) The complainant has chosen not to participate in proceedings pursuant to this subchapter.
   (c) Title IX misconduct is involved, and the complainant has withdrawn the formal Title IX complaint.

(2) In the circumstances described in subsection (1), the agreement and its terms may be signed by only the respondent and the Title IX Coordinator or designee. The case is concluded when a copy of the signed agreement is delivered to the complainant and respondent. At any time prior to agreeing to a resolution, either party has the right to withdraw from the settlement process and resume the process under ss. UWS 17.17 to 17.20.

Section 32. Subchapter IV – Discipline, Petitions for Restoration, and Emergency Suspension of UWS 17 [precedes UWS 17.22] is created to read:

UWS 17
SUBCHAPTER IV
DISCIPLINE, PETITIONS FOR RESTORATION, AND EMERGENCY SUSPENSION

Section 33. UWS 17.22 is amended to read:

UWS 17.22 Effect of discipline within the institution. A respondent who, at the time of commencement, is subject to a continuing disciplinary sanction under s. UWS 17.10 (1) or unresolved disciplinary charges as a result of a report under s. UWS 17.11 or UWS 17.17, shall not be awarded a degree during the pendency of the sanction or disciplinary proceeding.
Section 34. UWS 17.23(2), (3), and (5) are amended to read:

(2) An individual who is suspended from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system until the suspension has expired by its own terms, except as provided in s. UWS 17.24 17.18.

(3) An individual who is expelled from one institution in the University of Wisconsin System may not enroll in another institution in the system, except as provided in s. UWS 17.18-17.24.

(5) Upon completion of a suspension period, an individual who is academically eligible may re-enroll in the institution which suspended him or her, provided all conditions from previous disciplinary sanctions have been met.

Section 35. UWS 17.24 are amended to read:

UWS 17.24 Petition for restoration of rights after suspension or expulsion. A respondent who has been suspended may petition to have their student status, rights, and privileges restored before the suspension has expired by its own terms under s. UWS 17.23 (2). A respondent who has been expelled may petition for the right to apply for readmission. The petition shall be in writing and directed to the chief administrative officer of the institution from which the respondent was suspended or expelled or of a different University of Wisconsin institution to which the respondent seeks admission or, if applying to a different University of Wisconsin institution, is seeking admission. The chief administrative officer shall make the readmission decision. In cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking sexual misconduct, the readmission decision should be made in consultation with the Title IX Coordinator, and the complainant should be notified of any change to the disciplinary outcome, and the complaint, if enrolled as a student at the time of the petition, shall be provided opportunity to respond regarding any review of responsibility findings.

Section 36. UWS 17.25(2)(d) is created to read:

(d) In cases of sexual misconduct as defined in s. UWS 17.16, the chief administrative officer makes reasonable attempts to consult with the complainant and offer protective measures.

Section 37. UWS 17.25(3), (5), and (6) are amended to read:
(3) If the chief administrative officer determines that an emergency suspension is warranted under sub. (2), the chief administrative officer shall promptly have written notification of the emergency suspension delivered to the respondent. In cases of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking sexual misconduct, as defined in s. UWS 17.16, the written notification of the emergency suspension shall be delivered simultaneously to the complainant and the respondent. The chief administrative officer's decision to impose an emergency suspension shall be effective immediately when delivered to the respondent and is final.

(5) An emergency suspension imposed in accordance with this section shall be in effect until the decision in the hearing on the underlying charges pursuant to s. UWS 17.12 or 17.18 is rendered or the chief administrative officer rescinds the emergency suspension. In no case shall an emergency suspension remain in effect for longer than 30 days, unless the respondent agrees to a longer period.

(6) If the chief administrative officer determines that none of the conditions specified in sub. (2) (c) are present, but that misconduct may have occurred, the case shall proceed in accordance with ss. UWS 17.12 or UWS 17.18, as applicable.

Section 38. Effective Date. This rule shall take effect upon approval of the Governor and the Legislature.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(END OF TEXT OF RULE)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
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## Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

**1. Type of Estimate and Analysis**
- [x] Original  
- [ ] Updated  
- [ ] Corrected

**2. Date**
- 10/12/2020

**3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)**
- UWS 4, 7, 11, and 17

**4. Subject**
- Responding to allegations of sexual misconduct under Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972

**5. Fund Sources Affected**
- [ ] GPR  
- [ ] FED  
- [ ] PRO  
- [ ] PRS  
- [ ] SEG  
- [ ] SEG-S

**6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected**
- [ ] Increase Costs  
- [ ] Decrease Costs

**7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule**
- [x] No Fiscal Effect  
- [ ] Increase Existing Revenues  
- [ ] Decrease Existing Revenues  
- [x] Increase Costs  
- [ ] Decrease Costs  
- [x] Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget

**8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)**
- [ ] State's Economy
- [ ] Local Government Units
- [ ] Specific Businesses/Sectors
- [ ] Public Utility Rate Payers
- [ ] Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

**9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).**
- $N/A

**10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be $10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?**
- [ ] Yes  
- [x] No

**11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule**
- Responding to allegations of sexual misconduct under Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972

**12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.**
- Students, staff, and faculty within the University of Wisconsin System

**13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.**
- N/A

**14. Summary of Rule’s Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State’s Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)**

1) The UW System will incur extra costs based on the Title IX requirements through staffing additional hearing officers and advisors to represent the parties during cross examination of the hearings, as well as resource and training requirements.

- Based on 2019 figures, approximately 30 hearings occurred across all UW System institutions during the 2019-20 school year. It is anticipated that approximately the same number of hearings will occur during the 2020-21 school year.
- UW System is providing to UW System institutions administrative law judges to serve as hearing officers, as well as attorneys from the Wisconsin State Public Defender Private Bar pool and other attorney associations to serve as advisors. UW System institutions will utilize the resources provided by UW System as needed.
  - Estimated annual cost of $130,000 for administrative law judges
  - The advisors from the Wisconsin State Public Defender pool are available at $100/hour which is capped at $1,500, subject to amendment if needed.
- UW-Madison is providing advisors for its hearings at $125/hour which is capped at $2,500.
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- UW System institutions are utilizing a training service from the State University of New York (SUNY) System to stay informed of the new Title IX regulations. All 13 UW System institutions intend to use this service annually for the foreseeable future. The service is available for an annual fee of $4,000 per institution, which totals to $52,000 annually across all UW System institutions.

2) Parties involved in Title IX complaints, if they elect not to accept the advisor UW System provides, could incur extra costs hiring their own advisor.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
Benefits: the UW System will be in full compliance with federal Title IX regulations. The potential consequence of noncompliance is revocation of federal funding, which totaled nearly $1 billion for the UW System in 2019. Alternatives: Not implementing the rule at all. Doing so could potentially result in revocation of federal funding to the UW System.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
Implementing the rules will ensure UW System’s long-term compliance with Title IX regulations.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
N/A

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The new federal regulations require all universities that receive federal funding to comply with the regulations or risk losing the federal funding. All universities that receive federal funding are required to revise policies and procedures to comply with federal regulations.

19. Contact Name
Jess Lathrop

20. Contact Phone Number
608-262-2326

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

November 30, 2020
10 a.m. to 11 a.m.

Virtual Meeting hosted on WebEx
requires registration

Register at:
https://wisconsin.webex.com/wisconsin/onstage/g.php?MTID=ef9f355599a9207016d1532058f77bc83

*After registering, you will receive an email with instructions on how to join the event.

Or join by telephone at:
+1-415-655-0003
Access code: 120 170 0026

The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System will hold a public hearing on proposed rules to amend Chapters UWS 4, 7, 11, 17, Wis. Admin. Code, to bring those Chapters into compliance with the new federal Title IX regulations in 34 CFR Part 106.

Appearances at the Hearing and Submittal of Written Comments

UW System personnel will preside over the public hearing on behalf of the Board of Regents to provide interested persons with the opportunity to make an oral presentation on the proposed rules. Each individual will be given up to five minutes to give an oral presentation. Persons making oral presentations are requested to submit their written comments.

The Board of Regents will accept written comments until 5 p.m. on November 30, 2020. Comments may be submitted:
(1) on the web at: https://www.wisconsin.edu/compliance/public-comment-form/
(2) by email to compliance@uwsa.edu;
(3) at the virtual public hearing; or
(4) by mail to:
Office of the Board of Regents,
1860 Van Hise Hall
1220 Linden Drive
Madison, Wisconsin 53706
To request a paper copy of the rules or fiscal estimate, contact the Office of the Board of Regents at the address above or by email at compliance@uwsa.edu or phone at (608) 262-2324.

**Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

The proposed rules will not have an effect on small businesses as defined under Wis. Stat. § 227.114 (1).

**Accessibility**

Persons with special needs or circumstances regarding communication or accessibility at the hearing should call Jessica Lathrop, Executive Director, Office of the Board of Regents, at (608) 262-2324 prior to the hearing date. Accommodations such as ASL interpreters, English translators, or materials in audio format will be available on request to the fullest extent possible.