

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING

of the

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

Held in the Great Room
Yellowjacket Union
University of Wisconsin-Superior
Superior, Wisconsin

Friday, April 13, 2012
9:00 a.m.

PRESIDENT’S GREETING4

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 10, 2012 MEETING.....5

REMARKS BY STUDENT GOVERNMENT LEADERS ON CULTIVATING SHARED GOVERNANCE RELATIONSHIPS5

 BACKGROUND5

 RECOMMENDATIONS6

Meetings with Student Leaders.....6

Open Forum During Board Meetings6

Board of Regents Agendas6

Policy Review.....6

 REGENT DISCUSSION7

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE SYSTEM.....8

 UPDATE ON RECENT EVENTS8

 NEWS FROM AROUND THE SYSTEM9

New Online Program for B.S. in Health Information Management & Technology.....9

UW-Madison’s WID Named 2012 Laboratory of the Year9

UW-Eau Claire Named to the 2012 President’s Higher Education Community Service Honor Roll10

UW-Stout Receives \$1 Million Scholarship Donation10

UW-Madison Researchers among Nation’s Most Successful at Securing Research.....10

UW-La Crosse’s Centennial Hall Selected as WI State Dept. of Facilities’ Best Project of 201110

UW-River Falls Hosts “Border Battle” Science Olympiad.....11

UW-Green Bay Distinguished Alumni Award to Maria Hinton.....11

UW-Whitewater’s Innovation Center Celebrates One-Year Anniversary11

UW-Whitewater’s Warhawks Claim Many Championships11

UW-Milwaukee’s Chancellor Lovell in Lakefront Marathon.....11

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD.....12

 WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE SYSTEM BOARD REPORT12

 UPDATE ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON BOARD ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND AD HOC WORK GROUP ON UW SYSTEM STRUCTURE AND GOVERNANCE12

 VARIOUS BYLAWS REVISIONS13

Approval of Revisions to Update Chapter I of the Bylaws of the Board of Regents13

REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE EDUCATION COMMITTEE.....14

COMMITTEE BUSINESS	14
CONSENT AGENDA	14
<i>Requests to Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate</i>	14
<i>Program Authorization (Implementation) B.B.A./B.S./B.A. in Interactive Web Management, UW-Oshkosh</i>	15
<i>Program Authorization (Implementation) B.F.A. in Interior Design, UW-Stout</i>	15
<i>Program Authorization (Implementation) B.F.A. in Industrial Design, UW-Stout</i>	15
<i>Program Authorization (Implementation) Ph.D. in Public Health, UW-Milwaukee</i>	15
REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE BUSINESS, FINANCE & AUDIT COMMITTEE	15
COMMITTEE BUSINESS	16
<i>Review of UW-Stevens Point’s Differential Tuition Proposal</i>	16
<i>Approval of Extension of Reviews of Differential Tuitions Previously Scheduled in 2011-13</i>	16
<i>Peer Endowment Benchmarking Report</i>	16
<i>Voting of 2012 Non-Routine Proxy Proposals</i>	16
<i>Review and Approval of the UW-Madison Contractual Agreement with Pfizer, Inc.</i>	16
<i>Review and Approval of the UW-La Crosse Dining Services Contract</i>	16
<i>Report of the Senior Vice President</i>	16
COMMITTEE ACTION	16
<i>Extension of Differential Tuition Reviews</i>	17
<i>UW System Voting of 2012 Non-Routine Proxy Proposals</i>	17
<i>UW-Madison Contractual Agreement with Pfizer, Inc.</i>	17
<i>UW-La Crosse Dining Services Contract with Sodexo Operations</i>	17
REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE CAPITAL PLANNING AND BUDGET COMMITTEE	17
JOINT MEETING WITH THE BUSINESS, FINANCE, & AUDIT COMMITTEE	17
COMMITTEE BUSINESS	18
COMMITTEE ACTION	19
<i>Approval of the Design Report of the All-Seasons Softball Practice Facility Project and Authority to Construct the Project, UW-Madison</i>	19
<i>Authority to Accept a Gift of Twenty-five Acres of Land for the Future Expansion of University Research Park II, UW-Madison</i>	19
<i>Approval of the Design Report and Authority to Increase the Project Scope and Budget and Construct the Student Athlete Performance Center–Phase III Project, UW-Madison</i>	19
<i>Authority to Grant a Permanent Easement to the Village of Shorewood Hills for Road Construction and Improvement Purposes, UW-Madison</i>	20
<i>Authority to Lease Space for the School of Freshwater Sciences and the College of Engineering and Applied Sciences, UW-Milwaukee</i>	20
<i>Authority to Purchase the Joseph J. Zilber School of Public Health Building, UW-Milwaukee</i>	20
<i>Authority to Develop a Residence Hall and Dining Facility under the Terms of a Lease Agreement with the University of Wisconsin-Platteville Real Estate Foundation, UW-Platteville</i>	20
<i>Authority to Construct Classroom Renovation/ Instructional Technology Improvement Projects, UW System</i>	20
<i>Authority to Construct All Agency Maintenance and Repair Projects, UW System</i>	21
UPDATE ON LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON UW RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITIES.....	21
CAPITAL ISSUES.....	21
PROCUREMENT.....	22
INSTITUTIONAL BOARDS	23
REGENT DISCUSSION	23
RECOGNITION OF TROY SHERVEN’S SERVICE ON THE BOARD.....	23
<i>Resolution of Appreciation to Troy Sherven</i>	24
RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO UW-SUPERIOR AS HOST OF THE APRIL MEETING	25

Resolution of Appreciation to UW-Superior 25

COMMUNICATIONS, PETITIONS, AND MEMORIALS 26

CLOSED SESSION 26

Closed Session Resolution 26

Authority to Change the Name of Carlson Hall to "Laurentide Hall," UW-Whitewater 27

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING

of the

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

Held in the Great Room
Yellowjacket Union
University of Wisconsin-Superior
Superior, Wisconsin

Friday, April 13, 2012
9:00 a.m.

- President Spector presiding -

PRESENT: Regents Jeffrey Bartell, Mark Bradley, Judith Crain, Tony Evers, Michael Falbo, Tim Higgins, Edmund Manydeeds, Katherine Pointer, Gary Roberts, Troy Sherven, Brent Smith, Michael Spector, Mark Tyler, David Walsh and Gerald Whitburn

UNABLE TO ATTEND: Regents John Drew, Charles Pruitt, and José Vásquez

- - -

PRESIDENT'S GREETING

President Spector expressed appreciation for the Regents' having been able to attend the inauguration of UW-Superior Chancellor Renée Wachter the evening before, congratulating her and stating that it was a wonderful event.

Since the February meeting, Governor Walker had appointed John Behling of Eau Claire and Regina Millner of Madison to full seven-year Regent terms. President Spector announced that both were unanimously confirmed by the Senate and would officially take their seats at the June Board meeting in Milwaukee. President Spector introduced Ms. Millner, who had travelled to Superior for the day's meeting. He noted that the Governor also appointed a new student-Regent, Tracy Hribar, a UW-Parkside student and Business Manager at James Hribar Trucking in Franksville, Wisconsin, in Racine County. She would succeed Regent Sherven as the nontraditional student-Regent.

- - -

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 10, 2012 MEETING

The minutes of the February 10, 2012 meeting had been distributed. Upon the motion of Regent Bartell and a second by Regent Falbo, the minutes were approved on a unanimous voice vote. There were no additions or corrections.

- - -

REMARKS BY STUDENT GOVERNMENT LEADERS ON CULTIVATING SHARED GOVERNANCE RELATIONSHIPS

President Spector said that the first order of business had been added earlier this week at the request of Allie Gardner, Chair of the Associated Students of Madison, and at least one of her student-leader colleagues. Ms. Gardner was present to speak about shared governance. President Spector said that he, Vice President Smith, and President Reilly had decided that it would be appropriate to grant Ms. Gardner's request to speak. He welcomed Ms. Gardner and observed that after his years on the Board, he had concluded that it is relatively easy to reach agreement in the abstract about shared governance principles, but it is much more difficult to apply those principles in real time.

Background

Ms. Gardner introduced herself, saying she was a junior at UW-Madison and chair of the Associated Students of Madison, the student governance group at UW-Madison. She was joined by Dylan Jambrek, a student at UW-Eau Claire and vice president and chair of the Board of Directors for United Council of UW Students, the statewide student association.

Ms. Gardner began by thanking President Spector for being receptive to the students' request to speak. Mr. Jambrek said that the primary theme of their presentation was how to better the relationship between the student body in the UW System and the Board of Regents of the UW System. Across the country we have seen such relationships had broken down, she said, to the point of violence at regents' and trustees' meetings in some instances. Both student leaders and Regents would prefer to avoid this in Wisconsin.

Ms. Gardner mentioned specific incidents of violence at Georgia, Illinois, New Jersey, and Santa Monica College. She said that in Wisconsin, Regents had been receptive to students, and she and other students wanted to ensure mechanisms were in place to continue to avoid such incidents. She said that she and Dylan would offer some recommendations.

Citing potentially-controversial issues such as tuition increases, although more moderate in Wisconsin than in other places; the proposed New Badger Partnership of the previous year; and current legislative discussions of the structure of the UW System, Mr. Jambrek said that students were interested in ensuring that the relationship between students and the Regents stays strong.

He said that, based on conversations with other students, there was a belief that students' concerns are sometimes not heard. Despite the tradition of shared governance in state law that applies to

UW institutions, there is not necessarily shared governance at the Board level, except for the fact that two student-Regents are selected by the Governor. He added that students had shown an unprecedented amount of activism and involvement in spring 2011 in protecting a unified UW System, fighting the \$250-million cut to the System that the Governor proposed, and providing advocacy in other areas. Mr. Jambrek suggested that in addition to having Regents and System Administration as advocates for students, students need to be allowed to be advocates for themselves. Frequently, student input is solicited from chancellors or chief student affairs officers, but students should be allowed to advocate for students.

Recommendations

Meetings with Student Leaders

Ms. Gardner reiterated that if students perceive that they do not have a way to communicate with Regents, this is when frustrations can boil over, as had happened elsewhere. Saying that she and Mr. Jambrek had consulted with students at other UW institutions, Ms. Gardner offered an initial recommendation that, to improve communication, each Regent come to one United Council event per year. She said that this would be a good way for Regents and administrators to interface with student leaders and others involved in student government.

Mr. Jambrek expressed thanks to Regent Falbo, who had attended a student representatives meeting at UW-Parkside. United Council has four conventions a year, in addition to an inclusivity and empowerment conference, as well as a statewide lobby day. The UW System President's cabinet met with student leaders during the last lobby day, and Mr. Jambrek expressed appreciation for this.

Open Forum During Board Meetings

Mr. Jambrek suggested Regents also consider an open forum or public comment section during their meetings, similar to what city councils and governing authorities sometimes have. This would be a time during which students, as well as faculty and academic staff, could voice concerns to the Regents.

Board of Regents Agendas

Ms. Gardner asked that, in the spirit of shared governance, students receive agendas and meeting materials at the same time that the Regents do. Students want to make sure they are well informed, and this would enhance communication, whether in pre-Board meeting teleconferences with the student-Regents or otherwise.

Policy Review

Mr. Jambrek said that the student representatives group, formed in 2005 to represent the elected student leadership of all UW institutions, is not always given the same policy-review opportunities given to chancellors, chief business officers, and provosts. A policy pertaining almost exclusively to students, RPD 30-4, was discussed at student representatives meetings recently, Mr. Jambrek said, but that group was not given a formal consultation. He suggested that it would be appropriate to have student representatives review policies together on a conference call, or in conjunction with one of their quarterly meetings, just as other groups do.

Ms. Gardner concluded the students' remarks by saying that there will always be disagreements between students and Regents. The recommendations are additional mechanisms for students who are becoming increasingly engaged to be able to communicate with the Regents. Students talk about issues raised at Regent meetings, and having additional ways that they can communicate their engagement would be a positive thing. Mr. Jambrek added his thanks for the opportunity and welcomed questions or comments.

Regent Discussion

President Spector complimented Ms. Gardner and Mr. Jambrek for adhering to the requested 20-minute time limit and said that they did a first-rate job. He said he wanted to point out that student-Regents Sherven's and Pointer's presence, and the presence of other student Regents, was significant for the Board. These students represent student interests very successfully.

As to Ms. Gardner's and Mr. Jambrek's requests, President Spector commented that he did not think that sharing meeting agendas was a problem, because agendas are public documents. He turned to Secretary Radue, who noted that student representatives receive Board-meeting agendas. President Spector suggested that they ensure their names were placed on the list to receive agendas. He suggested that the Board would take the students' other comments under advisement and consider a reasonable way of proceeding. He asked Regents if they had further comments.

Regent Falbo observed that he had had conversations with students other than at UW-Parkside, and President Spector noted that he and other Regents had met with them, as well.

Regent Sherven said that, especially as a nontraditional student-Regent, he had struggled with how best to make connections with students. He said that he had been unable to attend all of the Student Representatives' meetings. Consequently, he and Regent Pointer organized a regular conference call with student leaders; however, there was no student interest. He had cancelled the last two calls due to lack of student response. He asked what would be an effective way to receive input from students.

Ms. Gardner said that sometimes students are not familiar with issues until after decisions are made about them. She said that she and Mr. Jambrek were advocating for direct lines of communication, rather than going through one or two Regents who serve as advocates.

Regent Bartell complimented the student presenters, saying that their presentation was clear, organized, and effective. He suggested that in order for Regents to attend United Council events, they need to be invited; Regents could be sent an email. He also noted that he had received emails from individual students and had responded to some of them; when he receives 25 emails saying the same thing, he does not respond to all of those. He encouraged the use of email, however, and said that he was happy to communicate with students or others regarding the function of the Board of Regents and his participation in it. He said that Regents also receive the meeting agendas close to the meeting date; there is a lot of material for System staff to assemble, but students should be able to receive it when Regents do. As for open forums, Regent Bartell said that he thought this was something Regents should consider. Regents have a packed agenda, spend a lot of time, and are all volunteers; but direct student participation should be given some consideration. He observed that Regents should definitely focus on considering ways for students to have input on new policies or rules that affect them.

Regent Bradley noted that the Board of Regents has multiple constituencies and asked what advice Ms. Gardner and Mr. Jambrek would give if Regents were faced with an open forum request from unionized faculty, non-unionized faculty, classified staff, and unclassified staff.

Mr. Jambrek said that an open forum need not be limited to students but, rather, could be a general open forum, limited to a certain time period. Given the array of constituencies, he suggested a general open forum could be divided among types of speakers or managed on a first-come, first-served basis.

Regent Falbo said that he had had the pleasure of dealing with student leaders quite a bit, on both a formal and informal basis. He suggested that students' communications be more regular, and not only when they have an issue. Ms. Gardner agreed and said that efforts had been made to facilitate meetings or encourage students to meet with Regents, but the students are sometimes intimidated because they do not know the Regents. She said that the request was not only that students feel more comfortable about setting up meetings or emailing or calling Regents, but that Regents also show interest by setting up or having a point person for the Regents or from System to facilitate communication by email or otherwise. She agreed that the communication should not be only about concerns and said that she would like to see a two-way effort. Mr. Jambrek said that it is important to go to where students live, and they live on the Internet; the Internet could be used as a tool to collect input, perhaps through some sort of electronic digest, especially on an issue such as a tuition increase.

Regent Pointer thanked both students for coming to the Board meeting and stressed that she and the other student-Regent were available to students and could be relied upon more heavily to, for example, facilitate meetings with System Administration. She encouraged the students to contact individual Regents with concerns or questions.

President Reilly thanked Ms. Gardner and Mr. Jambrek for their thoughtful and constructive presentation. He said that his door was always open to students and student leaders, and he always returned their calls promptly. It is important to keep these channels open and wise to find more opportunities for communication. He said that in years past he would regularly meet every month or every other month with United Council leadership to talk about current issues. He extended the same offer to United Council leadership.

- - -

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE SYSTEM

Update on Recent Events

President Spector turned to President Reilly for his report to the Board. President Reilly noted that it had been a busy time in the state Capitol. In March, the Legislature concluded its 2011-12 general session, and several bills affecting the UW System were passed and signed by the Governor:

- Senate Bill 461 relates to the "Read to Lead" initiative, which contains provisions on teacher preparation, and helps to create more of a "one-stop shop" for information about UW institutions. Testimony from UW Education deans – particularly UW-Whitewater Dean Katy

Heyning, and UW-Madison's Dean Julie Underwood and Associate Dean Cheryl Hanley-Maxwell – helped to shape the provisions.

- Assembly Bill 144 creates a commission on financial aid. This is a continuation of a Legislative Council committee, which included at least five UW financial aid officers, who worked to understand the complexities of financial aid.
- Assembly Bill 322 relates to making instructional materials more readily and more quickly available for students with disabilities, which should result in a tangible improvement for students.

In other news from the Capitol, on March 16, Republican Senator Pam Galloway of Wausau announced her resignation. As an immediate result of that decision, President Reilly said the Senate would now be split, with equal numbers of Democrat and Republican members. Other changes would be forthcoming. As of the current week, 11 members of the Assembly and two Senators announced they will not seek re-election.

On the federal front, Board members recently received the latest UW System Federal Priorities Binder. This compilation of campus priorities is an important communications tool that helps to raise the UW System's visibility, and is a means for articulating the UW's goals, strengths, and priorities – both as individual institutions and as an integrated system of higher education. It provides the federal delegation with a clear reference guide for their work on behalf of the university. President Reilly thanked Assistant Vice President for Federal Relations Kris Andrews for her good work.

News from Around the System

New Online Program for B.S. in Health Information Management & Technology

Sharing news from around the UW System, President Reilly began by describing a new online program being offered by a consortium of four UW campuses and coordinated by UW-Extension. Starting in the fall, the new online Bachelor of Science in Health Information Management and Technology would provide students with the opportunity to earn a degree in one of the fastest-growing professions – health information. Students can receive their degrees through UW-Green Bay, UW-Parkside, and UW-Stevens Point. UW-La Crosse would also contribute courses. According to Bureau of Labor statistics, employment of medical records and health information technicians is expected to increase 20 percent by 2018.

UW-Madison's WID Named 2012 Laboratory of the Year

President Reilly said that the Wisconsin Institutes for Discovery, the innovative 330,000-square-foot public/private facility that opened just over a year earlier on the UW-Madison campus, was named the 2012 Laboratory of the Year. The international competition, sponsored annually by R&D Magazine since 1966, is judged by a panel of industry experts to recognize the highest standards in architecture, laboratory design and “push-the-envelope” concepts in science buildings. The unique facility, which houses the twin research institutes – the private, nonprofit Morgridge Institute for Research and UW-Madison's public Wisconsin Institute for Discovery – features architecture that's designed to encourage

human interaction and foster new interdisciplinary collaborations. The facility was made possible due to the generosity of UW-Madison graduates John and Tashia Morgridge whose funding was matched by the state of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF), the private nonprofit patent and licensing organization for UW-Madison. President Reilly congratulated Chancellor Ward and the UW-Madison campus community.

UW-Eau Claire Named to the 2012 President's Higher Education Community Service Honor Roll

For the fifth time since 2006, UW-Eau Claire was recognized for its outstanding community service programs by a federal agency charged with fostering an ethic of volunteerism and service in America. UW-Eau Claire was among about 500 public and private colleges, universities, and professional schools named to the 2012 President's Higher Education Community Service Honor Roll by the Corporation for National and Community Service. Launched in 2006, this honor roll is the most prestigious federal recognition a higher education institution can achieve for its commitment to service-learning and civic engagement. The program is designed to increase public awareness of the contributions that college students are making within their communities and across the country through volunteer service.

UW-Stout Receives \$1 Million Scholarship Donation

UW-Stout reported that two UW-Stout alumni recently contributed more than \$1 million in scholarship funds to the institution they say launched them into a successful business – and brought them together as a couple. Brian Jennerjahn, a 1964 graduate, and his wife, the former Ruth Hopfensperger, shared rides back and forth between the university and their hometowns in the Fox Valley. Jennerjahn Machine in Matthews, Indiana, began as a two-person operation, with Brian designing and building the machines, and Ruth acting as bookkeeper and "gofer" for parts; now it produces machines used in countries around the world, including China, the United Arab Emirates, and South Africa. The couple said that their UW-Stout education contributed to their success, and they wanted to extend that opportunity to others. President Reilly congratulated Chancellor Sorensen and the UW-Stout community.

UW-Madison Researchers among Nation's Most Successful at Securing Research

New statistics from the U.S. National Science Foundation show that scholars at UW-Madison continue to be among the nation's most successful at securing support for their research, President Reilly reported. Research expenditures at UW-Madison for fiscal year 2010 were slightly more than \$1 billion, an increase of about \$15 million from the previous year, ranking UW-Madison third among all U.S. universities after Johns Hopkins University and the University of Michigan.

UW-La Crosse's Centennial Hall Selected as WI State Dept. of Facilities' Best Project of 2011

President Reilly said that UW-La Crosse's new academic building, Centennial Hall, has been selected by the Wisconsin State Department of Facilities as its Best Project of 2011. Gov. Scott Walker recently recognized the building's architect, River Architects, with the award at the State Building Commission. Centennial Hall, which opened in August 2011, is the university's largest academic building and is expected to receive at least a silver rating for Leadership in Energy and Environmental

Design (or LEED), which recognizes features that save annual operating costs and conserve energy. The hall is the first built predominantly with state funding on campus since 1974.

UW-River Falls Hosts “Border Battle” Science Olympiad

As part of ongoing efforts to encourage increased student interest in the sciences, UW-River Falls recently hosted about 600 high school students from Wisconsin and Minnesota at the UW-River Falls “Border Battle” Science Olympiad Open Invitational Tournament. The event, organized by physics Professor Earl Blodgett, featured 39 high school teams competing in 23 National Science Olympiad events. Unlike many other competitions, the international non-profit Science Olympiad mixes the various science disciplines of biology, earth science, chemistry, physics and technology together in one competition. Now in its 29th year, the Science Olympiad has become the largest team science and technology competition in North America.

UW-Green Bay Distinguished Alumni Award to Maria Hinton

President Reilly said that later in April, UW-Green Bay would present a Distinguished Alumni Award to Maria Hinton, a 1979 graduate in Communication and the Arts. Mrs. Hinton, who is 101 years old, is a revered tribal elder who remains an active force in preserving Oneida stories, language and culture. Her keen memory was critical to the Oneida preserve-the-language movement, in which UW-Green Bay was involved. One of Wisconsin’s last surviving native speakers, Maria grew up in a household that spoke only Oneida, learning English at the government school at age 10. After receiving her UW-Green Bay diploma she helped found the tribe’s Turtle Elementary School, and worked there well into her 90s. Only two years ago, Mrs. Hinton and Professor Cliff Abbott completed their long-awaited recording of a spoken-word dictionary of Oneida, regarded as one of the world’s most endangered languages.

UW-Whitewater’s Innovation Center Celebrates One-Year Anniversary

UW-Whitewater would celebrate the following week the one-year anniversary of the Innovation Center at Whitewater University Technology Park, President Reilly said. The \$5.7-million, 38,000-square-foot business incubator is apparently nearly 70 percent full. The park and innovation center, collaborative projects of the city, Whitewater Community Development Authority, and UW-Whitewater, are an example of UW-Whitewater’s commitment to regional engagement, economic growth, and the Wisconsin Idea.

UW-Whitewater’s Warhawks Claim Many Championships

In the past few months, UW-Whitewater claimed national championship titles in men’s football, men’s basketball, men’s and women’s wheelchair basketball, and gymnastics. President Reilly detailed these accomplishments and congratulated Chancellor Telfer and the UW-Whitewater campus community.

UW-Milwaukee’s Chancellor Lovell in Lakefront Marathon

UW-Milwaukee Chancellor Michael Lovell accepted a friendly challenge from Concordia University President Patrick Ferry to see which university would field the largest team of faculty,

students, and staff at the Milwaukee Lakefront Marathon in October. Under the “Claws vs. Paws Challenge,” the university team with the fastest team average at the end of the race will be declared the winner.

- - -

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD

Wisconsin Technical College System Board Report

Beginning his report, President Spector said that the report for Wisconsin Technical College System Board had been provided. He recognized Regent Tyler, who expressed appreciation on behalf of the Wisconsin Technical College System Board. At that Board’s last meeting, it approved a number of new associate degrees that articulate into the UW System. An example is Chippewa Valley Technical College, which has an engineering technologist associate degree with three tracks which articulate to UW-Stout; CVTC graduates can go to UW-Stout as juniors. He thanked the institutions involved for working on the expanded opportunities for technical college graduates.

Update on Recommendations of the Ad Hoc Committee on Board Roles and Responsibilities and Ad Hoc Work Group on UW System Structure and Governance

President Spector said that he was pleased to provide a brief second update on the status of the recommendations made by the 2011-12 ad hoc committees, in the “Smith Committee” Report on UW System Structure and Governance and the “Bradley Committee” Report on Board Roles and Responsibilities.

The Board’s February discussion regarding governance indicated general agreement that institution-level advisory boards, including the possibility of Regent involvement, were a worthy approach. President Spector said that proposed revisions to relevant Regent policies were being drafted for consideration at a future meeting. Equally important, the legislative Task Force, chaired by Regent Falbo, took up the subject of governance at its meeting on Wednesday, to be discussed by Regent Falbo later in the morning.

As for the Roles and Responsibilities recommendations, implementation is moving ahead, President Spector said. As one example, the new Research Economic Development and Innovation (REDI) Committee would be meeting for the first time that morning. He said that a second example was Secretary Radue’s work with System personnel who work closely with each of the Board’s standing committees. Committee staff identified routine agenda items that could be eliminated or delegated, as well as strategies that would enhance the ability of the committees to focus on necessary and substantive items. President Spector said that he understood that at least two of the committees spent time on Thursday considering how to streamline and focus agendas; more changes are forthcoming. It is expected that the format of the Friday committee reports would evolve over time. He expressed confidence that through the use of written, primarily digital, reports, the Board would reduce the time of committee-chair reports, as suggested by the Bradley Committee. He also expressed confidence that

progress would continue on the engagement of Regents in more macro topics, including chancellor presentations that highlight strategic issues.

President Spector noted that Regent Bradley's committee volunteered to reconvene at a later time to assess the implementation of its recommendations; he said that while he would no longer be on the Board, he thought that would be a worthwhile endeavor for his successors to consider.

Various Bylaws Revisions

President Spector addressed proposed revisions to the Board of Regents Bylaws, Chapter 1, first providing some context for the changes. He said that soon after Secretary Radue began her work as Board Secretary, he and then-President Chuck Pruitt requested that she take on the long-term project of reviewing the bylaws and, as appropriate, recommending revisions. This was done in part because of then-Vice President Spector's long experience in public/government litigation, which suggested that judges like nothing better than to remind organizations that their bylaws say that an organization cannot do what it is trying to do. It is important to keep the bylaws up to date, and Secretary Radue has been working on that.

He said that the currently proposed revisions were an early step in that process. They accomplish several things, including: (1) recognizing that the majority of the Board's meetings are two-day meetings and that the Board recently reaffirmed its schedule of eight regular meetings per year; (2) updating notice requirements for special meetings; and (3) improving the special meetings language. President Spector, noted that the proposed changes brought the bylaws into conformance with how the Board was operating and that the revisions were in Regents' packets. He moved adoption of Resolution 10046. The motion was seconded by Regent Tyler and adopted on a unanimous voice vote.

Approval of Revisions to Update Chapter I of the Bylaws of the Board of Regents

Resolution 10046: That, upon the recommendation of the President of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the attached revisions to update Chapter I of the Bylaws of the Board of Regents.

President Spector recognized Regent Bradley, who said he wanted to make a comment, especially for the benefit of relatively newer Regents. Saying that there were many good examples, he suggested that the bylaws revisions were another in a series of examples of how the Board made a good decision in hiring Secretary Radue, with her combination of experience in the University of Wisconsin System, an educational background that includes a law degree, and attention to detail. When she was hired, there was discussion about the kinds of things that the President of the Board could delegate; delegation can only occur if the person has the requisite skills, and this was an example. President Spector expressed his agreement, and Ms. Radue thanked Regent Bradley for his remarks (which were very kind).

President Spector announced that the Board would recess its meeting and reconvene immediately as a committee of the whole, which would mean that the Board would meet as a committee consisting of all members, for the purpose of having a meeting of the REDI Committee. He said that he felt it important to show "the world," as well as the Board, that the subject matter of this committee is of

significant importance and that the full Board should be involved, at least for one or more meetings, after which the committee would probably become more of a typical committee.

- - -

The meeting was recessed at 10:05 a.m. for a meeting of the Research, Economic Development, and Innovation Committee, as a committee of the whole, and reconvened at 11:25 a.m.

- - -

REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

President Spector turned to Regent Crain, vice chair of the Education Committee, to provide the report of the committee on behalf of Regent Vásquez, the committee chair. Regent Vásquez had participated in the Thursday-afternoon committee meeting by phone, but was unable to attend the Friday Board meeting.

Committee Business

Noting that Board members had received the written report of the Education Committee, Regent Crain said that the report accurately conveyed the meeting highlights. She said that she wanted to especially mention the high quality of the committee's discussions and the two presentations that the committee heard, one on a new Ph.D. program in Public Health at UW-Milwaukee, and another by UW-Superior Provost Hensrud about UW-Superior's high-impact practices.

Consent Agenda

In the interest of implementing in good faith one of the key recommendations of the Bradley Report (i.e., brief reports from committee chairs), Regent Crain said she would add nothing more and would move onto the consent agenda. The Education Committee unanimously approved the minutes of the February 9, 2012 meeting, along with Resolutions 10047, 10048, 10049, 10050 and 10051, which she moved for adoption. The motion was seconded by Regent Bartell and adopted on a unanimous voice vote.

Requests to Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate

Resolution 10047: That, upon recommendation of the Chancellors of the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the request to the Trustees of the William F. Vilas Trust Estate for \$4,546,369 for fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, subject to availability, as provided by the terms of the William F. Vilas Trust, for Support of Scholarships, Fellowships, Professorships, and Special Programs in Arts and Humanities, Social Sciences, Biological Sciences, Physical Sciences, and Music.

Program Authorization (Implementation) B.B.A./B.S./B.A. in Interactive Web Management, UW-Oshkosh

Resolution 10048: That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Chancellor be authorized to implement the B.B.A., the B.S., and the B.A. in Interactive Web Management.

Program Authorization (Implementation) B.F.A. in Interior Design, UW-Stout

Resolution 10049: That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Stout and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Chancellor be authorized to implement the B.F.A. in Interior Design.

Program Authorization (Implementation) B.F.A. in Industrial Design, UW-Stout

Resolution 10050: That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Stout and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Chancellor be authorized to implement the B.F.A. in Industrial Design.

Program Authorization (Implementation) Ph.D. in Public Health, UW-Milwaukee

Resolution 10051: That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Chancellor be authorized to implement the Ph.D. in Public Health.

Regent Crain added that she had been a member of the Education Committee for most of her tenure on the Board, and this had been very important in her life as a Regent. She said she had great respect for the leaders in UW institutions in the academic areas. The provosts had been wonderful to interact with, she said; she also expressed great regard for UW System academic staff. She emphasized that as the Education Committee moves ahead in a restructured way and looks at things differently, the work of the committee remains important, because it is where the Regents learn and think about how to provide the best possible quality education to students.

- - -

REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE BUSINESS, FINANCE & AUDIT COMMITTEE

President Spector called upon Regent Falbo for a report of the Business, Finance and Audit Committee. Regent Falbo reported that there was a joint meeting of the Business, Finance, and Audit Committee and the Capital Planning Committee, which Regent Bartell would describe.

Committee Business

Review of UW-Stevens Point's Differential Tuition Proposal

Regent Falbo reported that the Business, Finance, and Audit Committee heard from UW-Stevens Point Chancellor Bernie Patterson and student body representatives from UW-Stevens Point and UW-Eau Claire, who spoke about the importance of the new differential tuition proposal at UW-Stevens Point. A letter was also read, offering an alternative viewpoint.

Approval of Extension of Reviews of Differential Tuitions Previously Scheduled in 2011-13

The committee approved a resolution delaying the scheduled five-year review of a number of previously-approved differential tuitions until February 2013.

Peer Endowment Benchmarking Report

Trust Funds Senior Portfolio Analyst Tom Reinders presented highlights from the annual Peer Endowment Benchmarking Study, Regent Falbo said.

Voting of 2012 Non-Routine Proxy Proposals

The committee approved the voting of the 2012 non-routine shareholder proxy proposals.

Review and Approval of the UW-Madison Contractual Agreement with Pfizer, Inc.

The UW-Madison contractual agreement with Pfizer, Inc., was approved by the committee.

Review and Approval of the UW-La Crosse Dining Services Contract

The committee approved the UW-La Crosse Dining Services contract with Sodexo Operations.

Report of the Senior Vice President

In the report of the Senior Vice President, Mr. Morgan offered an update on the status of the HRS implementation. Associated Vice President for Human Resources and Workforce Diversity Al Crist addressed the activity of the University Personnel System and the potential items of focus for future reports. In addition, Mr. Morgan gave an overview of the annual distribution adjustments for new GPR fee funding in the 2012-2013 fiscal year.

Committee Action

On behalf of the Business, Finance, and Audit Committee, Regent Falbo then moved adoption of Resolutions 10052, 10053, 10054 and 10055, which had been approved by the committee along with the February 9, 2012 minutes. The motion was seconded by Regent Sherven and adopted unanimously on a voice vote.

Extension of Differential Tuition Reviews

Resolution 10052: That, upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents suspends review of any current differential tuition programs until February 2013.

UW System Voting of 2012 Non-Routine Proxy Proposals

Resolution 10053: That, upon recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the non-routine shareholder proxy proposals for UW System Trust Funds, as presented in the attachment.

UW-Madison Contractual Agreement with Pfizer, Inc.

Resolution 10054: That, upon the recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the contractual agreement between the University of Wisconsin-Madison and Pfizer, Inc.

UW-La Crosse Dining Services Contract with Sodexo Operations

Resolution 10055: That, upon recommendation of the Chancellor of the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves the contract with Sodexo Operations to provide Dining Services at the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse effective July 1, 2012, for a period of one (1) year with the option of six (6) additional one-year periods.

- - -

REPORT AND APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE CAPITAL PLANNING AND BUDGET COMMITTEE

President Spector called upon Regent Bartell to present the report of the Capital Planning and Budget Committee.

Joint Meeting with the Business, Finance, & Audit Committee

The committee met, Regent Bartell said, with the Business, Finance, and Audit Committee to hear two presentations. The first concerned the physical transformation of the UW-Superior campus, a wonderful presentation by Vice Chancellor of Administration Jan Hansen and her colleagues. The second was a presentation by Associate Vice President David Miller on items taken up by the Legislative Task Force on UW Restructuring and Operational Flexibilities. Mr. Miller mentioned the management flexibilities that are requested in the areas of capital planning and procurement.

The committee discussed briefly the recommendation of the Bradley Committee concerning agenda items that might be delegated or abbreviated in some fashion to streamline the committee meetings. In particular, the committee discussed delegating decision making on minor real estate transactions, such as accepting gifts of small pieces of property or granting easements on small parcels and approving routine all-agency maintenance and repair projects as to which there are almost never any issues. The committee is open to such efficiencies if it appears appropriate, Regent Bartell reported.

Committee Business

In addition to approving its minutes, Regent Bartell said that the committee adopted nine resolutions which were unanimously approved by the Capital Planning and Budget Committee. They were covered in the written committee report. He said that he would spend time discussing only one of them, Resolution 10062, brought by UW-Platteville to request authority to develop a residence hall and dining facility in partnership with the University of Wisconsin-Platteville Real Estate Foundation, a foundation formed for the purpose of handling real estate for the campus. Under this proposal, which is somewhat different from anything the Board had approved before, the campus will lease two acres of Regent-owned land to the foundation. The foundation would develop and construct a \$28.7-million building on the land. Once completed, UW-Platteville will have the option to purchase it back, and it intends to do so. It will operate this facility as a residence hall and dining facility.

The committees discussed the terms of this agreement. As a result of avoiding various obstacles, expenses, and delays typical in the capital planning process, it is anticipated that this project will cost about \$7.5 million less than the cost of a traditional state-built facility, which is \$7.5 million of a \$28.7-million project. Of that, about \$6 million will be in savings to the students who will be renting rooms at the residence hall and the dining room facility. An additional \$1.5 million will go to the Real Estate Foundation, which will give the campus a memorandum describing what they plan to do with the funds; it is expected, according to Chancellor Shields, that it will be used for scholarships and other campus purposes to benefit students.

Regent Bartell remarked that this structure benefits the UW System, UW-Platteville, and students. It is hoped that the operational flexibilities currently being considered by the Task Force would include at least some efficiencies that would provide this kind of cost effectiveness in the capital planning process.

In response to a Regent question about the cost of the project if it were not done this way, Regent Bartell clarified that savings were not only in the “soft cost” to the project. The university is building a different building than the state would build. Most of the savings will be in the quality of the building, which will be more like a commercial-code building, not a traditional state-built building. For example, all interior walls will be drywall instead of block, which represents a significant savings.

Asked about other state requirements being shortcut, Regent Bartell responded that the university would not need to pay the usual fee of four percent of the construction cost to the Department of Administration, which represents a significant savings. Because it is a state building, the prevailing wage will be paid, which may be more of an added cost than if it were private; but this is similar to a state building. The other cost is the architectural and engineering fee, which is significantly less. He said he believed the Real Estate Foundation had negotiated a substantially lower fee than the state would

have negotiated, probably with the same firm. Ground will be broken on this, if approved at the State Building Commission, in June. The building will open next August. Regent Bartell said the building has 400 beds and a very large dining facility, and it will be done very well.

Regent Falbo asked about a \$1.5 million amount that was going to the Foundation and expressed concern that this money would need to be borrowed to pay the Foundation. Regent Bartell said that part of the \$28.7 million purchase price includes \$1.5 million as a development fee to the Foundation, and this is coming out of the purchase price.

Committee Action

On behalf of the Capital Planning and Budget Committee, Regent Bartell moved adoption of Resolutions 10056, 10057, 10058, 10059, 10060, 10061, 10062, 10063 and 10064, which along with the March minutes had been approved by the committee. The motion was seconded by Regent Roberts and adopted unanimously on a voice vote.

Approval of the Design Report of the All-Seasons Softball Practice Facility Project and Authority to Construct the Project, UW-Madison

Resolution 10056: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Madison Interim Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Design Report of the All-Seasons Softball Practice Facility project be approved and authority be granted to construct the project at an estimated total project cost of \$2,325,000 Gift Funds.

Authority to Accept a Gift of Twenty-five Acres of Land for the Future Expansion of University Research Park II, UW-Madison

Resolution 10057: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Madison Interim Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted to accept a gift of approximately twenty-five acres of land, which is located in the Town of Middleton, Dane County, for the future expansion of University Research Park II. The value of this gift is estimated at approximately \$1,500,000.

Approval of the Design Report and Authority to Increase the Project Scope and Budget and Construct the Student Athlete Performance Center–Phase III Project, UW-Madison

Resolution 10058: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Madison Interim Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Design Report be approved and authority be granted to increase the project scope and budget by \$9,365,000 Gift Funds and construct Phase III of the Student Athlete Performance Center project at a cost of \$33,315,000 Gift Funds, for a revised total estimated project cost of \$86,165,000 (\$49,200,000 Program Revenue Supported Borrowing and \$36,965,000 Gift Funds).

Authority to Grant a Permanent Easement to the Village of Shorewood Hills for Road Construction and Improvement Purposes, UW-Madison

Resolution 10059: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Madison Interim Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, a permanent easement 367.5 feet long and 27 feet wide along Oxford Road be granted to the Village of Shorewood Hills.

Authority to Lease Space for the School of Freshwater Sciences and the College of Engineering and Applied Sciences, UW-Milwaukee

Resolution 10060: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Milwaukee Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted for the Department of Administration to enter into a new lease of 15,107 gross square feet at the Water Accelerator Building on behalf of the UW-Milwaukee School of Freshwater Sciences and the College of Engineering and Applied Sciences.

Authority to Purchase the Joseph J. Zilber School of Public Health Building, UW-Milwaukee

Resolution 10061: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Milwaukee Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted to request the release of \$12,250,000 Program Revenue Supported Borrowing to purchase the Joseph J. Zilber School of Public Health building at 1240 North 10th Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and to exercise the request to purchase the building in July 2012.

Authority to Develop a Residence Hall and Dining Facility under the Terms of a Lease Agreement with the University of Wisconsin-Platteville Real Estate Foundation, UW-Platteville

Resolution 10062: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Platteville Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted to permit the development of a residence hall and dining facility under the terms of a lease agreement with options to purchase with the University of Wisconsin-Platteville Real Estate Foundation.

Authority to Construct Classroom Renovation/ Instructional Technology Improvement Projects, UW System

Resolution 10063: That, upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the allocation of the Classroom Renovation/Instructional Technology Improvement Program funds be approved and authority be granted to construct the related projects at an estimated total cost of \$10,585,250 (\$5,000,000 General Fund Supported Borrowing, \$5,000,000 General Fund Supported Borrowing All Agency Remodeling Funds, and \$585,250 Institutional Funds).

Authority to Construct All Agency Maintenance and Repair Projects, UW System

Resolution 10064: That, upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted to construct various maintenance and repair projects at an estimated total cost of \$2,683,100 (\$1,684,500 General Fund Supported Borrowing; \$267,600 Program Revenue Supported Borrowing; and \$731,000 Program Revenue Cash).

- - -

UPDATE ON LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON UW RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITIES

President Spector turned to Regent Falbo to provide an update on the Legislative Task Force on UW Restructuring and Operational Flexibilities, which had met on Wednesday, April 11, in Madison. Regent Falbo said that the discussion had been lively and covered capital issues, procurement issues, and institutional boards.

Capital Issues

The Task Force heard presentations on the capital budget and planning process from Associate Vice President David Miller, Chris Schoenherr, Deputy Secretary of the Department of Administration (DOA) and Summer Shannon-Bradley, Administrator for the DOA Division of State Facilities.

The UW presentation included several proposals for improving the current process, including revising the process to allow the UW to use its expertise to manage the planning, design, and operations phases, while DOA would leverage its skills in the bidding, contracting, and construction phases. Under this approach, the UW would handle the architect and engineering selection, while DOA would be retained as the state's construction authority.

In addition, the enumeration process would be revised with two categories of projects: (1) those exceeding \$1 million and involving the construction of new space would be considered major projects; (2) all other projects, those of less than \$1 million or involving facility maintenance, repair, or renovation, which would be requested as a block of funds through the Board of Regents capital budget, thus encouraging investment in existing facilities. In addition, the enumeration process would be streamlined to allow projects in existing facilities to move faster. This would also empower the Board of Regents with the authority to enter into leases for space.

DOA expressed support for the current centralized process, stating that it provides consistency and efficiency and allows a comprehensive perspective on the entire process. It also provides synergies across all state projects. DOA believes that the current 4 percent in administrative fees is money well spent and that the existing centralized process has served the state well. The Task Force has expressed interest in understanding whether the 4 percent fee is appropriate, how it relates to charges in other states and the private sector, what services it covers and how these funds are being used. The Task Force also discussed whether the existing dollar thresholds are too low to provide a meaningful distinction, as almost no gift-funded projects fall below the new \$500,000 level.

Regent Falbo reported that there was much discussion. Overall, it seemed that in both the capital and procurement areas, the UW was perceived as making very good, constructive presentations, and DOA offered very little.

Procurement

The Task Force also heard presentations on Procurement by Assistant Vice President Ruth Anderson; UW-Madison Purchasing Director Mike Hardiman; Chris Schoenherr, Deputy Secretary of DOA; and Helen McCain, Administrator for the DOA Division of Enterprise Services.

The UW presentation covered several topics. Regent Falbo noted that even if some levels of approvals are removed or eliminated, multiple checks and controls would remain, including review by the business community, required posting on the contract-sunshine website, and auditing requirements. Even without UW purchases, state agencies procure more than \$50 million of goods and services each year and participate in many purchasing consortia. This reduces the impact of the UW on any contracts. In addition, the UW makes its contracts available for use by other public entities.

The design of the current procurement process creates many unintended delays, which can have major impacts, especially on UW research projects. Therefore, Regent Falbo said that the appropriate question to ask is what value is added by the each of the multiple layers of approval. UW recommendations for reforming the procurement process are: (1) vesting procurement authority with the Board of Regents, including decisions regarding the use of mandatory state contracts; (2) allowing UW institutions to support their communities and local businesses; and (3) continuing to assure accountability through reporting and auditing requirements and the use of the contract-transparency website.

Regent Falbo said that DOA's presentation focused on the following: The UW represents approximately 40 to 50 percent of the state's purchasing, and removing it would increase prices from vendors by between 5 and 25 percent. This could increase prices for other state agencies and local governments that purchase off of state contracts. The UW has already been granted authority over the procurement of items that are unique to higher education, and that authority will begin on July 1, 2013. DOA is interested in modernizing the procurement process and implementing efficiencies, providing that the process continues to provide accountability transparency and protection against litigation.

The Task Force expressed interest in finding ways to allow UW institutions to find savings or better values in their local communities or to allow the UW to opt out of mandatory state contracts. The Task Force also stressed the importance for reforming the state waiver process. It currently takes the UW an average of 21 days to receive a waiver. The Task Force also discussed ways to leverage the UW's e-Procurement System and buying power to secure better prices and lower bids, which could be extended to all state agencies.

In addition, the UW could be used as a pilot for other procurement changes or proposals. For both procurement and capital budgeting, several members of the Task Force requested that DOA provide suggestions and recommendations for reforms that DOA would support.

Institutional Boards

Regent Falbo said that the Task Force then moved on to institutional boards, making a first attempt to draft a position. Some of the ideas that were presented included: (1) allocating more authority to UW institutions, either with or without the creation of institution-level boards; (2) having institutional governing boards with statutory authority; and (3) securing additional flexibilities. This discussion would be continued at the next meeting.

Regent Discussion

Vice President Smith expressed concern about the discussion on institution-level boards, saying that it sounded as though the discussion was wide-ranging, and did not reflect an earlier consensus among chancellors and Regents that institution-level boards should be advisory and not governing. He asked if Regent Falbo thought there was a need to reestablish the Regents' and chancellors' position on this.

Regent Falbo responded that "board" may not be the correct term. Based on presentations to the Task Force by Chancellor Lovell and Chancellor Ward, there was discussion that their two research institutions should have institution-level groups to advance some of their unique needs and goals. This was the way the conversation started, it was optional as to whether any of the other institutions could or should have a board or a group. He suggested the Chancellor-members of the Task Force might wish to comment.

Chancellor Wells, a member of the Task Force, commented that at the last Chancellors' meeting with the System President, the chancellors summarized their position. At the prior day's Task Force meeting, he agreed to bring a three-point summary of that position to the next Task Force meeting. Regent Higgins, another Task Force member, said that several members had not weighed in on this issue, and it is too early try to describe a Task Force position.

- - -

RECOGNITION OF TROY SHERVEN'S SERVICE ON THE BOARD

President Spector called upon Regent Falbo to present a resolution of appreciation for Regent Troy Sherven and to thank him for his service. Regent Falbo said that Regent Sherven had brought a new and significant voice into the Board's discussions. He may be the first member of the Board to have been enrolled in an online degree program during his service, he said. He was active and engaged from day one. He had been especially good at keeping in contact with the UW System student representatives and working before each Board Meeting to understand any concerns that students might have about topics on the agenda. Regent Sherven's comments and reflections, especially during the tuition and budget discussion, were also appreciated.

Regent Falbo recalled the first time he met with Regent Sherven, saying that he knew then that he was dedicated and inquisitive. Getting to know Regent Sherven gave him a feeling of comfort and confidence that the future is in good hands.

Regent Falbo presented the resolution of appreciation, which was adopted by acclamation and met with a standing ovation:

Resolution of Appreciation to Troy Sherven

Resolution 10065: WHEREAS, Troy Sherven has served as an insightful and dedicated Student Regent on the University of Wisconsin System Board of Regents from July 2011 through April 2012; and

WHEREAS, Troy drew upon his experiences as a returning adult student, having made the decision, after 18 years in the workforce, to earn a Bachelor of Science degree in Management from UW-Stout through an online degree program; and

WHEREAS, Troy articulated the unique needs of the growing nontraditional student population in the UW System, emphasizing the need to assist nontraditional transfer students by including options such as credit-for-prior-learning; and

WHEREAS, through his service as a member of the Business, Finance, and Audit Committee, Troy showed his commitment to the UW System's tradition of sound stewardship and financial preparedness; and

WHEREAS, Troy has a firsthand perspective on the difficulties arising from the university's budget cuts and the resulting impact on tuition – being both a current UW student and the father of a UW student – and has urged UW leaders to clearly communicate that increased tuition revenues offset only a portion of reduced state funding; and

WHEREAS, Troy has served students through his engaged participation in student government as a Regent liaison to the UW student representatives;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System commends Troy Sherven for his exemplary service on behalf of the UW System and the citizens of Wisconsin, and wishes him every success in the future.

Regent Sherven said hello to his four-year-old son, James, who was at home watching the meeting on the computer by webcast. He thanked Governor Walker for the incredible opportunity, saying that it had been an outstanding end to his educational experience. He also acknowledged his wife, Beth; without her strong support, continuing his education and serving on the Board would not have been an option.

Regent Sherven said that no manual comes along with serving as a Regent. He was appointed to the Board one week prior to his first meeting. He remembered feeling overwhelmed. His first meeting was the tuition-increase meeting, and he said he had a lot of groundwork to do. He said he realized then how valuable Secretary Radue is to the organization, and he thanked her for answering his questions and helping him along.

Regent Sherven thanked Regent Falbo for taking his time to mentor him. He said Regent Falbo is a tremendous asset to the Board.

Regent Sherven said that when he was appointed, he was full of ideas about what he wanted to accomplish, saying that it is easy for an outsider looking in to have all the answers. He quickly realized that those answers are not as easy as they seem. Many challenges and difficult decisions lie ahead. Regent Sherven said that he was truly encouraged about the future of the System when thinking of the men and women who are leading it.

He closed his remarks by thanking everyone with whom he had worked on the Board and in the UW System. He said that he had learned much, and it was his pleasure to serve with them.

- - -

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO UW-SUPERIOR AS HOST OF THE APRIL MEETING

President Spector called upon Regent Tyler to present the resolution of appreciation to UW-Superior for hosting the April Board meeting. Regent Tyler said that one of the most fun things about being a Regent is having the opportunity to, rather than look at text on a page, visit a campus and see the buildings, see what programs are helping to accomplish, and see students growing wings. He presented the resolution of appreciation:

Resolution of Appreciation to UW-Superior

Resolution 10066: WHEREAS, the University of Wisconsin System Board of Regents is delighted to have met UW-Superior students, faculty, and staff dedicated to the liberal arts mission, and to have learned more about the high-impact practices that are better preparing the UW-Superior students for a lifetime of learning; and

WHEREAS, the Board is inspired by UW-Superior's "Partnerships for Progress" with the local community and region, designed to provide mutual benefits and connect the public with UW-Superior and the UW System; and

WHEREAS, the Board appreciates the substantial positive impact that UW-Superior research centers and institutes have on the community, region, state, and environment, along with the excellent educational opportunities they provide to undergraduate students; and

WHEREAS, the Board has been impressed to see first-hand the physical transformation of campus and the resulting energy and excitement this has brought to the UW-Superior campus and surrounding community; and

WHEREAS, the Board appreciated the opportunity to participate in the inauguration of Chancellor Renée Wachter, alongside students, faculty, and staff; and

WHEREAS, the Board has been pleased to learn more about the new NorthWERD project, short for Northwest Wisconsin Educators for Regional Development, which aims to connect regional higher education institutions to each other and the region they serve;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the UW Board of Regents hereby congratulates the University of Wisconsin-Superior on its leadership in academics, community connections, and state-of-the-art learning facilities, and expresses its gratitude to the entire campus community for its hospitality during this April 2012 meeting.

- - -

COMMUNICATIONS, PETITIONS, AND MEMORIALS

President Spector called for any communications, petitions, or memorials. Vice President Smith, acknowledging that something more formal would be done at the June Board meeting, recognized that the current meeting would be the last for Regent Crain and Regent Spector. He said that all Regents around the table knew what a commitment of time and energy it is to be on the Board. These two Regents exemplified that ten-fold, he said. Both held leadership positions and had been tremendous assets to the Board.

- - -

The meeting recessed at 12:05 p.m. and reconvened at 12:20 p.m.

- - -

CLOSED SESSION

President Spector called upon Vice President Smith to present Resolution 10067, to move into closed session. The motion was seconded by Regent Whitburn and adopted on a roll-call vote, with Regents Bartell, Bradley, Crain, Evers, Falbo, Higgins, Manydeeds, Pointer, Roberts, Sherven, Smith, Spector, Tyler, Walsh, and Whitburn voting in the affirmative. There were no dissenting votes and no abstentions.

Closed Session Resolution

Resolution 10067: That the Board of Regents move into closed session to consider personal histories related to the naming of facilities at UW-Whitewater, as permitted by s.19.85(1)(f), Wis. Stats.; and to confer with legal counsel regarding pending or potential litigation, as permitted by s. 19.85(1)(g), Wis. Stats.

The following resolution was adopted during closed session:

Authority to Change the Name of Carlson Hall to “Laurentide Hall,” UW-Whitewater

Resolution 10068: That, upon the recommendation of the UW-Whitewater Chancellor and the President of the University of Wisconsin System, authority be granted to change the name of Carlson Hall to “Laurentide Hall.”

- - -

The meeting was adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

- - -

Submitted by:

/s/ Jane S. Radue
Jane S. Radue, Secretary of the Board
Office of the Board of Regents
University of Wisconsin System