# **Creating a Sense of Belonging in Small Groups** Shanna Nifoussi, Natural Science, Biology Program, UW-Superior

Group work is an integral component of the active learning classroom; establishing an individual's sense of belonging in small groups is an important aspect of learning as it allows students to feel more engaged with the class and to feel more comfortable asking questions. The questions to what extent does the way in which groups are formed influence an individual's sense of belonging in the group? Groups were either formed intentionally based on surveyed learning styles and maintained throughout the semester. While no differences in belongingness were found between feeling safe to share opinions or understanding the class content and a students' sense of belonging emerged. This data solidifies the importance of developing a student's sense of belonging to facilitate course engagement and content understanding.

## Background

The idea that students take in knowledge and learn new concepts through active Males Females Groups Ν construction rather than dissemination in not a new idea<sup>1</sup>. Studies have found that Active 15 8 students learn best when they are teaching one another and working to solve compelling problems<sup>1</sup>. The benefits to student learning include the development of higher order Reflective 🔊 10 16 thinking skills, such as critical thinking and problem solving,<sup>2</sup> which has led to the Homogeneous 10 18 incorporation of teamwork training and team-based learning into some medical school Heterogeneous curriculum<sup>3</sup>. Not only do successful small groups enhance student learning, they also Random promote a sense of belonging that can ultimately enhance student experience<sup>4</sup>. Yet the 19 9 negative associations of group work, the unshared distribution of work, the Table 1: Demographics of student participants. It is important to note that students in the interdependence on grade, the lack of preparedness and motivation, often prevents Cell Biology course (N = 31) are counted twice in this table based on their active/reflective instructors from implementing team-based learning<sup>5</sup>. Implementation of successful small and homogeneous/heterogenous grouping. Students in Microbiology (N = 28) were all in groups should encourage communication and cooperation, enabling students to develop randomized groups. a sense of belonging within their group and to the overall course. Students understand Working in a group decreased my course anxiety. 0.652\*\* the importance of belonging to learning as it allows them to feel "more comfortable" I am confident in my understanding due to my group. (?) 0.674\*\* engaging with others and the material" (student response). While belonging is often I feel like I contributed to the work of the group 📝 0.709\*\* examined in terms of retention in college, it is equally important for a student to feel My group was productive. 🕉 0.737\*\* belonging within the classroom as it relates to retention in their major program<sup>4,6</sup>. The I would like to work with these group members again. 0.768\*\* purpose of my work is to evaluate how creating learning communities can enhance a My group members listened to me. 💦 sense of belonging in the classroom by fostering a sense of belonging within small My group helped me understand the course content. groups with an overall goal of decreasing course and/or content-based anxiety.

## **Methodology**

Students in two upper level Biology courses participated in this study.

- Microbiology Course
  - Students were randomly placed into nine groups of 3-4 students.
  - Groups worked together for one unit, ~3 weeks, for a total of 4 units.
  - Groups were tasked with 5 minute reviews at the beginning of each class and data analysis PSETs once per week
- Cell Biology Course
  - Students were placed into nine of 3-4 students based on their results from a Learning Styles Survey<sup>7,8</sup> and their preferred team role (i.e. leader, co-leader, follower).
    - Groups were homogeneous based on Active/Reflective score and heterogeneous or homogeneous on Global/Sequential score based on students' perceived preference<sup>9</sup>.
  - Students remained in their group for the entire semester.
  - Groups were tasked with completing one data analysis PSET per week.
- All students were asked to complete a Likert-scale based survey regarding their group work experience with each exam.





Figure 1: Correlations between a sense of belonging in my group and group variables averaged across all courses and group styles.



Figure 2: Correlations between a sense of belonging in my group and group variables separated by active, reflective or random grouping styles.

Figure 3: Correlations between a sense of belonging in my group and group variables separated by homogeneous or heterogeneous grouping styles on the global/sequential scale.

#### **Conclusion**

- Students in active, reflective and homogeneous groups felt the strongest sense of belonging in groups that help with their understanding of course content (Fig. 2&3.).
- Students in randomly selected groups felt the strongest sense of belonging in groups where they felt safe to share their opinions (Fig. 2).
- Students in heterogeneous (mixed) groups felt the strongest sense of belonging in groups where they felt confident in their understanding of course content (Fig. 3).
- Overall a sense of belonging was strongly positively correlated with groups where students felt listened to, safe to share their opinions, and where the group helped them understand course content (Fig. 1).
- Conclusion: factors contributing to belonging within a group varied by group style; thoughtful group formation is important for establishing and maintaining productive groups where members feel that they are contributing to the work.

#### **References:**

- Major CH and Palmer B. (2001) Assessing the Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning in Higher Education: Lessons from the Literature. Academic Exchange Quarterly. 5
- Bossert ST. Cooperative activities in the classroom. In E.Z. Rothkopf (Ed.) Review of research in education. (Vol. 15 pg 225-252). Washington, DC. American Earnest MA, Williams J, and Aagaard EM. (2017) Toward an Optimal Pedagogy for Teamwork. Academic Medicine. 92:1378-1381
- Blumenfeld PC, Marx RW, Soloway E, and Krajcik J. Learning with Peers: From Small Group Cooperation to Collaborative Communities. 1996. Educational Researcher. 8:37-40.
- Cartney P and Rouse A. The emotional impact of learning in small groups: highlighting the impact on student progression and retention. 2006. Teaching in Higher *Education*. 11:79-91
- Masika R and Jones J. (2016) Building student belonging and engagement: insights into higher education students' experience of participating and learning ogether. Teaching in Higher Education. 21:138-150.
- Felder RM. (1998) Learning and Teaching Styles in Engineering Education. Engineering Education. 78:674-681. Felder RM and Soloman BA. Index of Learning Styles Questionnaire. <u>https://www.webtools.ncsu.edu/learningstyles/</u>
- Deibel K. (2005) Team Formation Methods for Increasing Interaction During In-Class group work. ITiCE.