# University of Wisconsin System 2009 Program Realignment Initiative

Stephen H. Kolison, Jr., Ph.D.
Associate Vice President for
Academic and Faculty Programs
February 4, 2010

## Background

 November 2008: Working Group charged with reviewing the System's undergraduate program array

Action precipitated by overall budget climate

#### Goals

 Assess viability and productivity of degree programs in terms of enrollment and completion

 Provide institutions with information to assist decision-making regarding program array

## Approach

- Collected and analyzed data on undergraduate enrollments and degrees conferred from 1998-2008
- Produced a clear picture of the System's undergraduate array
- Developed a set of guidelines for program realignments or discontinuations

## Findings: Array

Undergraduate array: 280 different majors or fields of study

60% or 169 majors were not duplicated

• 10% or 27 majors were offered by more than 50% of the institutions

One major (Psychology) was offered by all institutions

## Findings: Degree Production

• Determination of each major's share of total undergraduate degree production on a per institution basis (over the period 1998-2008)

- For example:
  - UW-Green Bay conferred about 10,273
     undergraduate degree during the period reviewed.
  - Business Administration contributed 16% or 1,643 degrees to that total

# Findings: Fewer-degreeproducing majors

- Several scenarios selected as starting points for discussion:
  - Scenario 1: Majors producing 50 or less degrees over the ten-year period

—Scenario 2: Majors producing 30 or less degrees over the ten-year period

# Findings: Fewer-degreeproducing majors

- 27% (75) of the 280 majors found to each produce 50 or less degrees over the ten-year period
  - However, 22 of these fewer-degree-producing majors were unique offerings

• 30 of the fewer-degree-producing majors were offered by at least 50% of UW institutions

#### **Initial Outcomes**

 Campuses encouraged to utilize report to initiate discussion on overall array and realignment

 Anticipated that some campuses will utilize report to make decisions on program closures

### **Initial Outcomes**

- Development of:
  - —Guidelines for program closures or discontinuations which
    - Encourage coordination among campuses
    - Ensure that the System maintain capacity to offer programs needed by Wisconsin citizens
  - Guidelines for program suspensions

## Conclusions

- Inferences regarding fewer-degreeproducing majors need to take into account several factors:
  - Campus mission and context
  - Uniqueness of the major
  - Capacity of the system as a whole to offer that major

# Working Group

- 1. Stephen Kolison, Chair
- 2. Rita Cheng, UW-Milwaukee
- 3. Yufeng Duan, OPAR
- 4. Joseph Holland, UW-Stout
- 5. Greg Lampe, UW Colleges
- 6. Christopher Markwood, UW-Superior
- 7. Mike Pagel, UW-Stevens Point
- 8. Perry Rettig, UW-Oshkosh
- 9. Nicholas Sloboda, UW-Superior
- 10. Marty Wood, UW-Eau Claire